

# MERIDIAN CRITIC

ANALELE

UNIVERSITĂȚII  
„ȘTEFAN CEL MARE” SUCEAVA

SERIA FILOLOGIE

## COMITETUL DE REDACȚIE

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Redactor-șef</b>         | Prof. univ. dr. Mircea A. DIACONU  |
| <b>Redactor-șef adjunct</b> | Prof. univ. dr. Elena-Brândușa STEICIUC  |
| <b>Colegiul de redacție</b> | Prof. univ. dr. Albumița-Muguraș CONSTANTINESCU<br>Conf. univ. dr. Sabina FÎNARU, Conf. univ. dr. Cornelia MACSINIUC<br>Conf. univ. dr. Luminița-Elena TURCU, Conf. univ. dr. Ovidiu MORAR,<br>Lector univ. dr. Daniela PETROȘEL, Lector univ. dr. Codruț ȘERBAN,<br>Dr. Oana STRUGARU |
| <b>Secretar de redacție</b> | Lector univ. dr. Raluca DIMIAN   |
| <b>Coperta</b>              | Conf. univ. dr. I. C. CORJAN   |
| <b>Responsabil de număr</b> | Lector univ. dr. Codruț-Cristian ȘERBAN  |

## COMITET EDITORIAL

Acad. Nicolae MANOLESCU (Academia Română)  
Prof. univ. dr. Alain MONTANDON (Clermont-Ferrand – Franța)  
Prof. univ. dr. Jean-Paul MADOU (Chambéry – Franța)  
Prof. univ. dr. Constantin GRIGORUȚ (Otago – Noua Zeelandă)  
Prof. univ. dr. Paloma GRACIA ALONSO (Granada – Spania)  
Prof. univ. dr. Enrique NOGUERAS VALDIVIESO (Granada – Spania)  
Prof. univ. dr. Maria ȘLEAHTIȚCHI (Bălți – Republica Moldova)  
Prof. univ. dr. Mircea MARTIN (Universitatea din București)  
Prof. univ. dr. Mircea MUTHU (Universitatea „Babeș-Bolyai”, Cluj-Napoca)  
Prof. univ. dr. Ion POP (Universitatea „Babeș-Bolyai”, Cluj-Napoca)  
Prof. univ. dr. Andrei CORBEA-HOIȘIE (Universitatea „Al. I. Cuza”, Iași)  
Prof. univ. dr. Cornel UNGUREANU (Universitatea de Vest, Timișoara)  
Prof. univ. dr. Al. CISTELECAN (Universitatea „Petru Maior”, Târgu Mureș)

## ADRESA REDACȚIEI

Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare” din Suceava  
Facultatea de Litere și Științe ale Comunicării  
Str. Universității nr.13, Corp A, Camera 4, 720229 Suceava  
Tel./Fax: 0230 524 097

Revistă recunoscută CNCSIS și cotatecategoriată categoria **B (cod 419)**.

Pagină web: <http://analelitere.usv.ro/>

**ISSN: 2069 – 6787**

© Copyright, 2012, Editura Universității „Ștefan cel Mare” din Suceava.  
Toate drepturile asupra acestei ediții sunt rezervate.

Procesare pe calculator:

Laborant Lăcrămioara SCHIOPU

Bun de tipar: 15.XII.2012

Apărut: 2012

Editura Universității „Ștefan cel Mare” din Suceava  
720229 – Suceava Str. Universității nr. 13

# MERIDIAN CRITIC

**ANALELE**

**UNIVERSITĂȚII  
„ȘTEFAN CEL MARE” SUCEAVA**

**SERIA FILOLOGIE**

NR. 2 (Volumul 19)

2012

Editura Universității din Suceava  
2012

# SUMAR

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>I. DOSAR CRITIC. AMERICAN FRONTIERS</b>   | <b>9</b>   |
| David RAMPTON, The Frontiers of American Literature: Frank Norris's<br><i>The Octopus</i> .....  | 11         |
| Daniela PETROȘEL, Un coșmar american .....   | 23         |
| Onoriu COLĂCEL, An All-American Narrative: Spin-offs and Borders in<br>Paul Auster's Fiction .....   | 31         |
| Codruț ȘERBAN, Western Values and Manifest Destiny in John<br>Cunningham's <i>The Tin Star</i> .....   | 39         |
| Dan-Nicolae POPESCU, Thus Spake Boethius! – Ignatian Intimations of<br>Boethian Wisdom in John Kennedy Toole's <i>A Confederacy of<br/>Dunces</i> .....  | 45         |
| Petru Ioan MARIAN, Cartografiind America: jocurile video <i>open world</i><br>între escapism și subversiune .....  | 53         |
| Luminița-Elena TURCU, "The Evening Redness in the West," in the East,<br>and Wherever Necessary .....  | 63         |
| <b>II. EXEGEZE</b>   | <b>73</b>  |
| Ovidiu MORAR, The Romanian Surrealism Before the War .....   | 75         |
| Cornelia MACSINIUC, The Mirrors in the Text: Angela Carter's ' <i>Tis Pity</i><br><i>She's a Whore</i> .....   | 85         |
| Om Prakash DWIVEDI, Rethinking the Politics of Postcolonial Studies ...  | 93         |
| Nicoleta-Loredana MOROȘAN, Crossing the National Borders into...<br>Interculturality – Peter Mayle writing on <i>l'art de vivre en Provence</i> ...  | 103        |
| Mircea ȚUGLEA, Making of <i>I</i> with <i>You</i> . Celan's Poetry and Gadamer's<br>Hermeneutics .....   | 117        |
| Ioana-Crina COROI, La presse littéraire aux frontières des siècles .....   | 123        |
| Tasente TĂNASE și Nicoleta CIACU, Analiza unui produs popular<br>culture. Studiu de caz: serialul de televiziune <i>Doctor House</i> .....   | 131        |
| <b>III. RECENZII</b>   | <b>141</b> |
| Pierre Bourdieu, <i>Regulile artei. Geneza și structura câmpului literar</i> , II <sup>ème</sup><br>édition, texte traduit par Laura Albușescu et Bogdan Ghiu,<br>préface de Mircea Martin, Grupul Editorial ART, București,<br>2007 (Florica TEODORIUC) ..... | 143        |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| Cornel Munteanu, <i>Eminescu. Polimorfismul operei</i> , Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Jagiellonskiego, Krakow, 2012, 248 p. (Sabina FÎNARU) .....   | 146        |
| Jill Lepore, <i>The Story of America</i> , Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford, 2012, 416 p. (Ana-Cristina CHIRILĂ ȘERBAN) ....  | 149        |
| Alex Goldiș, <i>Critica în tranșee. De la realismul socialist la autonomia esteticului</i> , Editura „Cartea Românească”, 2011 (Elena BĂICEANU PÂRLOG) .....  | 152        |
| Ana Selejan, <i>Adevăr și mistificare în jurnale și memorii literare apărute după 1989</i> , Editura Cartea Românească, 2011, 220 p. (Adriana ȚEPEȘ RUSU) .....   | 155        |
| Călin Horia Bârleanu, <i>Mircea Cărtărescu. Universul motivelor obsedante</i> , Editura „Universitas XXI”, Iași, 2011, 300 p. (Silvia COMANAC MUNTEANU) .....   | 158        |
| Cristina Scarlat (coordonator), Mac Linscott Ricketts, Marcello de Martino, <i>Mircea Eliade Once Again</i> , Editura Lumen, Iași, 2011, 345p., ISBN 978-973-166-276-3 (Mihaela TEODOR CHIRIBĂU-ALBU) ..... | 161        |
| Petru Ursache, <i>Omul din Calidor</i> , Editura Eikon, Cluj Napoca, 2010, 329 p. (Ramona JITARU) .....   | 163        |
| Michael P. Winship, <i>Godly Republicanism: Puritans, Pilgrims, and a City on a Hill</i> , Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts/London, England, 2012, 339 p. (Codruț ȘERBAN) .....           | 165        |
| <b>IV. NOTE DESPRE AUTORI</b>   | <b>169</b> |

# CONTENTS

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>I. CRITICISM. AMERICAN FRONTIERS</b>  | <b>9</b>   |
| David RAMPTON, The Frontiers of American Literature: Frank Norris's<br><i>The Octopus</i> .....  | 11         |
| Daniela PETROȘEL, An American Nightmare .....  | 23         |
| Onoriu COLĂCEL, An All-American Narrative: Spin-offs and Borders in<br>Paul Auster's Fiction .....   | 31         |
| Codruț ȘERBAN, Western Values and Manifest Destiny in John<br>Cunningham's <i>The Tin Star</i> .....   | 39         |
| Dan-Nicolae POPESCU, Thus Spake Boethius! – Ignatian Intimations of<br>Boethian Wisdom in John Kennedy Toole's <i>A Confederacy of<br/>Dunces</i> .....  | 45         |
| Petru Ioan MARIAN, Mapping America: Open World Video Games<br>between Escapism and Subversion .....  | 53         |
| Luminița-Elena TURCU, "The Evening Redness in the West," in the East,<br>and Wherever Necessary .....  | 63         |
| <b>II. EXEGESIS</b>  | <b>73</b>  |
| Ovidiu MORAR, The Romanian Surrealism Before the War .....   | 75         |
| Cornelia MACSINIUC, The Mirrors in the Text: Angela Carter's ' <i>Tis Pity<br/>She's a Whore</i> ' .....   | 85         |
| Om Prakash DWIVEDI, Rethinking the Politics of Postcolonial Studies ...  | 93         |
| Nicoleta-Loredana MOROȘAN, Crossing the National Borders into...<br>Interculturality – Peter Mayle writing on <i>l'art de vivre en Provence</i> ...  | 103        |
| Mircea Țuglea, Making of <i>I with You</i> . Celan's Poetry and Gadamer's<br>Hermeneutics .....  | 117        |
| Ioana-Crina COROI, The Literary Press at the End of the 19th Century .....   | 123        |
| Tasente TĂNASE și Nicoleta CIACU, Analysis of a Popular Culture<br>Product. A Case Study: <i>House M.D.</i> TV Series .....  | 131        |
| <b>III. REVIEWS</b>  | <b>141</b> |
| Pierre Bourdieu, <i>Regulile artei. Geneza și structura câmpului literar</i> , II <sup>ème</sup><br>édition, texte traduit par Laura Albușescu et Bogdan Ghiu,<br>préface de Mircea Martin, Grupul Editorial ART, București,<br>2007 (Florica TEODORIUC) ..... | 143        |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| Cornel Munteanu, <i>Eminescu. Polimorfismul operei</i> , Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Jagiellonskiego, Krakow, 2012, 248 p. (Sabina FÎNARU) .....   | 146        |
| Jill Lepore, <i>The Story of America</i> , Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford, 2012, 416 p. (Ana-Cristina CHIRILĂ ȘERBAN) ....  | 149        |
| Alex Goldiș, <i>Critica în tranșee. De la realismul socialist la autonomia esteticului</i> , Editura „Cartea Românească”, 2011 (Elena BĂICEANU PÂRLOG) .....  | 152        |
| Ana Selejan, <i>Adevăr și mistificare în jurnale și memorii literare apărute după 1989</i> , Editura Cartea Românească, 2011, 220 p. (Adriana ȚEPEȘ RUSU) .....   | 155        |
| Călin Horia Bârleanu, <i>Mircea Cărtărescu. Universul motivelor obsedante</i> , Editura „Universitas XXI“, Iași, 2011, 300 p. (Silvia COMANAC MUNTEANU) .....   | 158        |
| Cristina Scarlat (coordonator), Mac Linscott Ricketts, Marcello de Martino, <i>Mircea Eliade Once Again</i> , Editura Lumen, Iași, 2011, 345p., ISBN 978-973-166-276-3 (Mihaela TEODOR CHIRIBĂU-ALBU) ..... | 161        |
| Petru Ursache, <i>Omul din Calidor</i> , Editura Eikon, Cluj Napoca, 2010, 329 p. (Ramona JITARU) .....   | 163        |
| Michael P. Winship, <i>Godly Republicanism: Puritans, Pilgrims, and a City on a Hill</i> , Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts/London, England, 2012, 339 p. (Codruț ȘERBAN) .....           | 165        |
| <b>IV. NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS</b>  | <b>169</b> |

**I. DOSAR CRITIC**

**AMERICAN  
FRONTIERS**



## THE FRONTIERS OF AMERICAN LITERATURE: FRANK NORRIS'S *THE OCTOPUS*

David RAMPTON,  
University of Ottawa  
[David.Rampton@uottawa.ca](mailto:David.Rampton@uottawa.ca)

**Abstract:** *The Octopus*, un roman de Frank Norris publié en 1901, possède tous les traits d'un roman "frontière". Les conflits entre les fermiers en Californie et les compagnies de chemin de fer nous situent sur la dernière frontière de l'Amérique au début du siècle. Ceci dit, les subtilités de ce livre nous montrent les dons extraordinaires d'un romancier souvent sous-estimé. Lisant attentivement les allusions à une gamme de livres, par exemple, on apprécie mieux les idées littéraires et philosophiques qui ont fasciné Norris pendant toute sa carrière.

**Keywords:** frontier, railways, corruption, politics, tragedy

Frank Norris's longest and most ambitious novel, *The Octopus*, was published in 1901. It is a robust attempt to depict the rawness of strong emotion, an illustration of some the Naturalists' essential precepts, a case study in the machinations of 19<sup>th</sup>-century American oligarchs, a powerful political tract, an extended meditation on timelessness and death, and one of America's great frontier novels. As such, it belongs on any long list of such novels, one that would include Willa Cather's *O Pioneers*, O.E. Rølvaag's *Giants in the Earth*, John Steinbeck's *Grapes of Wrath*, Page Stegner's *Angle of Repose*, Thomas Pynchon's *Mason and Dixon* – it is an impressive genre.

*The Octopus* tells the story of a group of settlers at the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century who have come to California to make their fortunes, and it features all the themes associated with the frontier genre: the determination to enjoy a new start by bending to one's will the pristine and the primitive; the complex relations between

first chance and last chance, the desire to be in at the beginning and the feeling of having one last opportunity to make it big; the idea that some force beyond the human is both inspiring the characters and standing in their way; the serene indifference of the natural world across which the newcomers scramble, and the important role of that world for the consequences of their quest for adventure; the difficulties of reconciling a desire for freedom in that environment with a desire for legal security; and the distinctive qualities of a group of individuals that make them representative of an entire nation.

Norris arrived early on the frontier scene, in the sense that his tragically short career as a novelist was confined to the last decade of the 19<sup>th</sup> century and the first years of the 20<sup>th</sup>, so he didn't get a chance to see the genre of the frontier novel develop. His fictional inspiration is bound up with contemporary events, and his reputation as a crusading writer attaches his novel firmly to the iniquities in turn-of-the-century America that he sought to expose. Like Steinbeck, he is one of those authors whose polemical gifts and political convictions make them sound simplistic and hortatory at times, which means that, in formal terms, his novels are often found wanting. As one commentator put it: 'All in all, composition in Norris' novels seems to be reckoned exclusively in calculations of decibels and gross tonnage' (Berthoff 225). The critical consensus seems to be that seekers of subtleties should shun him – I am not so sure.

Certainly, the struggles associated with the American frontier intrigue Norris, and he depicts the battle between the settlers and the Southern and Central Pacific in lots of colourful and compelling detail. The railway is the octopus of the title, its tentacles everywhere, a vivid image of the insidious ways power corrupts and spreads, creating both oligarchs, their cronies, and the innocent and not so innocent whom they exploit. Interestingly, the force that has facilitated all the westward movement that enables the development of the Pacific coast is the same one that seems bent on destroying those whose ambitions precipitated that movement. This makes for vivid drama but also considerable confusion, as has often been pointed out.<sup>1</sup> Norris is interested in defending some fairly conventional ethical standards – cheating is bad, greed is an ugly emotion – even while he subverts those very standards by offering a cosmic view that diminishes the importance of all these merely human problems and would seem to absolve individuals of actual responsibility for all the nasty things they do. The frontier is always the place where value systems conflict.

---

<sup>1</sup> For a good discussion of this confusion see McElrath.

However, *The Octopus* is a frontier novel in another sense as well, and I want to argue a quite carefully crafted one. It deals with micro-measures as well as gross tonnage in depicting the forces arrayed against literature, as it tries to find radically new ways to analyze and comment on the changed nature of human experience as the century turns. There is confusion here too. We learn practically on page one that Norris's protagonist, observer, commentator, and alter ego, a young adventurer named Presley, wants to write the great American poem. This notion is articulated in language that is important for understanding Norris's interest in literary frontiers, but also rather puzzling because of the gap between his character's poetry and his poetics. There are also the novel's many literary allusions to consider. They show how *The Octopus* works to create and occupy its own intertextual space, and in what follows I propose to annotate some of them, with a view to illustrating how Norris's interest in the novel's status as an artefact actually manifests itself.<sup>2</sup>

On the self-reflexive frontier, Presley's poem is going to be one of the definitive features of a new culture, but we are told that it is anti-civilization, anti-culture, in its original inspiration. The poem that he aspires to write constitutes a hymn to the sort of atavistic passions that proved to be so central for the naturalists' project. Believing that convention, conservatism, and censorship had worked together to prevent American writers from telling the truth about the generative darkness in the souls of ordinary citizens, they wanted to confront this darkness. If that is the poet's agenda, we need to think about why his poem turns out to be a sentimental, derivative, kitschy jeremiad on the fate of the honest worker.<sup>3</sup>

Some of the references to literature and history illustrate just how many large issues are at stake in these explorations on the literary frontier. Towards the end of *The Octopus*, Norris assiduously goes about demolishing what he thinks is wrong with American culture, namely its frivolousness and its aestheticism. This last is inherited from England, and it was already something of a straw man by the time Norris got around to attacking it. He sees aestheticism as exemplified by British writers like Austin Dobson, who produced books of poetry with titles such as *Proverbs in Porcelain* and *Old World Idylls*; or Walter Pater, a master at evoking the sensuous appreciation of ascetic beauty, and a writer who invites us to think of life as a series of radiant moments, as evocative as a work of art and as ephemeral. Only

---

<sup>2</sup>See also Graham: "examination of the aesthetic background yields a renewed respect for Norris's accomplishment, both in discrete details and general conception" (122).

<sup>3</sup> See, for example, Walker (261-62), for the identity between Presley's poems and Edwin Markham's "The Man with the Hoe".

people of a certain class care about these superficialities, and Norris wants to explore other, more radical possibilities, both on the aesthetic stage and on the political one.

One sign of how different a writer Presley aspires to be is the profoundly disintegrative quality of his aesthetic sensibility. Norris says that his alter ego dreams of “one single, mighty song, the Song of the West ... while things without names—thoughts for which no man had yet invented words, terrible formless shapes, vague figures, colossal, monstrous, distorted—whirled at a gallop through his imagination” (10). The inspiration for Presley’s art then is not going to be something the Chamber of Commerce can use. It is an attempt at what at first sounds like an improbable marriage between America’s tireless expansionism and the throbbing insistence that animates the frontier spirit, on the one hand, with a quasi-allegorical figure that stands in for the unmoved mover in the universe, on the other.

Revealingly, the passage quoted above concludes as follows: ‘Presley heard the sound of a bell from the direction of the Mission itself. It was the *de Profundis*, a note of the Old World; of the ancient regime, an echo from the hillsides of mediaeval Europe, sounding there in this new land, unfamiliar and strange at this end-of-the-century time’ (48). The formless menace of the monsters has dissipated, but there are competing strains here too. The passage juxtaposes an allusion to the Old Testament, David’s psalm as *cri de coeur* from the depths of a real despair, with an invocation of the comforts of religion and an array of apocalyptic hints. If the tolling bell is being heard in real time, then the old timeless world still has something to teach those who respond to its rhythms. If, on the other hand, words like “ancient” and the “medieval” mark the sound of the bell as a throwback to a utopian past, then America is the “new land” where everything important is happening. Presley’s reaction to it is more interesting than any transcendent meaning it hints at. It both speaks the language of a conservative appeal to tradition and announces, in plaintive self-abnegation, the radical’s acceptance of a sharp break with the past.

Before examining in more detail some of the literary references in *The Octopus*, it may be useful to take a brief look at how the novel inscribes itself in American history. The way that Norris draws on contemporary events for his fiction has been well documented (Graham 66-67). And of course the battle between railway and ranchers that Norris chose for his subject has a great deal of resonance for those interested in economic development in the American west. Yet the subtext of some of these references makes it clear that Norris wants his novel to constitute a comment, not only on the literary antecedents of his fiction or the history of California, but also on the major texts of the American republic itself. In short, Norris makes *The Octopus* a forging of a new aesthetic frontier as well as a record

of one, by suggesting that this is a drama already scripted by and anticipated in the Declaration of Independence and the American Constitution.

Such claims will seem more plausible if we remember precisely what forces were deployed in the attempt cut the tentacles of the octopus, to bring down the seemingly almighty railroad. They were marshalled by those who sought to bring a different sort of democracy to California, and the battle they fought constitutes the most important struggle for power in the state's history. Inspired by Switzerland's experiment with direct democracy, Californians hoped that a more direct form of citizen involvement, with its referendums and private initiatives, might give back to the people the power that the railroad had appropriated to itself.

But reapplying this ideal on the frontier of the New World proved difficult. The Swiss model came into existence when a thriving sort of democracy had already been in place for hundreds of years. It was also always understood as a mode of compromise, whereas the California model, conceived in confrontation between the frontiersmen and the railway, was to become a system that turned California politics into internecine warfare on a semi-continuous basis. Citizens were empowered to make their own laws, which the legislature could not overturn, with all the chaotic consequences that naturally ensued. Norris would simply smile ruefully if he could be brought back to hear about Proposition 13 and the chaos, bizarre political consequences, and general ill feeling it created.<sup>4</sup>

In political terms, *The Octopus* invites readers to consider the idea that corruption of this magnitude is a violation of first principles of American democracy, which helps explain, in the description of a murderous encounter between the two sides, the fervid references to the battle at Lexington, where the first shots of the Revolutionary War were fired. In the same passage, Presley repeatedly invokes the workers' plight by saying 'Let my people go'. This depiction of a quintessentially adversarial situation helps explain Norris's emphasis on something that on the face of it seems senseless, namely the idea of winning at all costs as opposed to compromise, the notion that those who grow the grain are the fight-to-the-death opponents of those who move it from one place to another. The political dimension adds to the book's amplitude, in the sense that it reminds readers of an "American narrative", something that transcends its humble objectives in space and time. At the practical level, the political subject is left open. Presley's attempt at actual involvement in the political struggle turns out to be a damp squib. He throws a bomb – to no effect. He announces his sympathy for Caraher, a communist, saying "*By God, I too, am a Red*" (535), but

---

<sup>4</sup> In this section I have drawn extensively on "Democracy in California", *Economist*.

he is no more a communist than Norris is, and he quickly goes back to the role of impassioned observer and commentator.

The point is clearer if we consider another passage describing Presley's aesthetic and political ambitions. Presley is determined, we are told, that his epic creation be larger than life, larger than the individual, focussed on that part of the United States where everything was happening: it 'should be of the West, that world's frontier of Romance, where a new race, a new people—hardy, brave, and passionate—were building an empire; where the tumultuous life ran like fire from dawn to dark, and from dark to dawn again, primitive, brutal, honest, and without fear' (9). Such language identifies the goal with a mystic's clarity, the description of a world that is immersed in history and transcends it. Presley wants 'the diapason, the great song that should embrace in itself a whole epoch, a complete era, the voice of an entire people, wherein all people should be included—they and their legends, their folk lore, their fightings, their loves and their lusts, their blunt, grim humour, their stoicism under stress, their adventures, their treasures found in a day and gambled in a night, their direct, crude speech, their generosity and cruelty, their heroism and bestiality, their religion and profanity, their self-sacrifice and obscenity—a true and fearless setting forth of a passing phase of history, uncompromising, sincere' (9-10). Specific and general at the same time, he outlines here an epic account of America at the confines of history, of a country that is going to make its own history, its own myths, its own language.

The idea of making a grand harmony out of what only seems like noise, a quintessentially sociological approach to human behaviour, takes us back to Benjamin Franklin and his reluctance to judge and condemn, his interest in describing and understanding. It is also a language that invokes both a particular time and a representative one, the specifics of American experience in a recognizable place, and the homogeneity of diversity. Norris's narrator goes on to refer to the whole continent, 'the Dakotas to the Mexicos, from Winnipeg to Guadalupe, gathered together, swept together, welded and riven together' (10) to drive home the ambiguity. What these have in common is that they mark the differences and the immensity of the frontier to be settled. Their multi-faceted nature will be that much more apparent once he has proven to his readers that they are part of a whole.

At such moments, the language suggests that the British Romantics, particularly Wordsworth, and their great American counterpart, Walt Whitman, constitute the tradition to which he wants to contribute. Wordsworth's diatribe against consumerism in 'The World is too Much with Us' is quoted at a crucial point in *The Octopus*, in a passage that features laudatory references to epic poets from a range of cultures. The 'new race, new people' rhetoric comes straight out

Homer and the Nibelungen poets, but the giant who bestrides like a colossus even these monumental figures is Whitman. Wordsworth's pagan sympathies, the idea that modern man is "out of tune" with his environment, the sense of power wasted and of regenerative potential, all these notions in Wordsworth have obvious relevance for Norris's *Octopus*, but Whitman's project as outlined in the Preface to *Leaves of Grass* is the mirror image of Presley's.

What is interesting about this link with Whitman is how little Norris's critics have made of it. No doubt this is partly so because, despite all the important parallels and corresponding sympathies, Whitman is curiously under-admired by his would-be successor. We are told that Presley thinks of America's poetic achievement as rather limited: 'Something (to his idea not much) had been done to catch at that life in passing, but its poet had not yet arisen' (9). This dismissive comment suggests that Whitman's work is of a piece with other experiments in American literature in the second half of the 19<sup>th</sup> century, in that it merely catches contemporary American life 'in passing'? That seems an odd way to characterize it when one considers that it was Whitman's deliberate flouting of convention and his courage in writing without judging, describing without omitting, presenting without moralizing, that made him such a revolutionary force in the first place.

A number of reasons for this casual sleight suggest themselves. In one sense Whitman is too eastern-seaboard American for Norris's purposes, too New York oriented, and too urban generally, despite all those vicarious flights of fancy over the continent that the great grey poet effects. In another sense, he may not be American enough, in that he sometimes downplays American exceptionalism at the expense of its universality. Norris is also more class conscious than Whitman too. In *Song of Myself*, for example, Whitman enlists in his cast of characters the president, prostitutes, opera singers, a boy on a hay rick, a frontiersman with his Indian bride, firemen, lobbyists, sea captains, Jesus and so on. The effect is a massive exercise in levelling, an egalitarian take on America's work-a-day drama and its spiritual antecedents.

Presley wants his great poem to tell the story of man and nature, but the focus is on the human going about his work in a more orderly way: 'each group in its proper environment; the valley, the plain, and the mountain; the ranch, the range, and the mine' (10), as he puts it at one point. In this environment class distinctions remain important. Whitman is happy to celebrate the things men and women do every day in America, but shows little sense of proper environment or appropriate place. Besides, he is just as interested in birds and animals; in fact, in some moods he liked them better. Norris's novel has its share of outcasts and misfits, but those who aspire upward often seem as materialistic and self-centred as those who are already there.

Whitman's omnipresence is suggested by the long meditation on death featured at the end of the novel. Here it is the wistful Vanamee, a character who has lost his beloved to a mysterious rapist, someone whose views on life and the circle of death make him sound a lot like Whitman too. A typical example:

'Death and grief are little things,' he said. 'They are transient. Life must be before death, and joy before grief. Else there are no such things as death or grief. These are only negatives. Life is positive. Death is only the absence of life, just as night is only the absence of day, and if this is so, there is no such thing as death. There is only life, and the suppression of life, that we, foolishly, say is death. 'Suppression,' I say, not extinction. I do not say that life returns. Life never departs. Life simply *is*. For certain seasons, it is hidden in the dark, but is that death, extinction, annihilation? I take it, thank God, that it is not. Does the grain of wheat, hidden for certain seasons in the dark, die? The grain we think is dead *resumes again*; but how? Not as one grain, but as twenty. So all life. Death is only real for all the detritus of the world, for all the sorrow, for all the injustice, for all the grief. Presley, the good never dies; evil dies, cruelty, oppression, selfishness, greed—these die; but nobility, but love, but sacrifice, but generosity, but truth, thank God for it, small as they are, difficult as it is to discover them—these live forever, these are eternal. You are all broken, all cast down by what you have seen in this valley, this hopeless struggle, this apparently hopeless despair. Well, the end is not yet. What is it that remains after all is over, after the dead are buried and the hearts are broken? Look at it all from the vast height of humanity—the greatest good to the greatest numbers.' What remains? Men perish, men are corrupted, hearts are rent asunder, but what remains untouched, unassailable, undefiled? Try to find that, not only in this, but in every crisis of the world's life, and you will find, if your view be large enough, that it is not evil, but good, that in the end remains' (635-36).

This passage is a curious mix. Vanamee says pseudo-oracular things like 'Life must be before death', the sort of ponderous cliché that anticipates those of the prophet in Leacock's *Arcadian Adventures*, who assures us that 'Many things are yet to happen before others begin.' Yet Vanamee also argues, like Wordsworth in 'We are Seven', that human life is incomprehensible unless one attributes to it dimensions beyond the physical, that to live and live intensely is more important than insisting on classification or trusting in biological categories. So to assert that 'Life is positive' or 'Life simply *is*' is at once to attribute far too much importance to individual existence and to ignore the significance of nature's extraordinary fecundity. It follows from this that 'the good never dies', but only if one means by "good" something like procreative energy and the irrepressible nature of human

life. The best way to think of Vanamee is as a mystic with the ability to hint suggestively at what cannot be articulated.

The novel alludes to other kinds of literature in similarly suggestive ways. We are told that, in the midst of an intellectual upheaval, Presley flings aside the books of the poets whom he most admires and ‘addressed himself to Mill, Malthus, Young, Poushkin, Henry George, Schopenhauer’. He is particularly interested, we are told, in ‘the subject of Social Inequality’ (387). Again, the novel’s critics have been curiously reluctant to take up the implications of this sharp shift in the protagonist’s intellectual interests and the eclectic list that results. Perhaps this is just so much posturing, Presley dropping a lot of names? Earnest Marchand suggests that ‘If Norris, however, ever read these or similar writers, no hint of his doing so exists.’

No hint? That cannot be right. We know that Norris was extraordinarily erudite even as a young man. Mill’s interest in defining the relations between a potentially coercive society and a potentially vulnerable set of individuals, the conflicting claims of utility and natural rights, ways in which certain socialist tenets should be part of any set of principles of political economy – these are all important topics in Norris’s novel. Malthus, perhaps the most famous evolutionary biologist who ever lived and an important political thinker who restated the ways in which humanity was defined, made important contributions to economics and even wrote a treatise on wheat and the prices at which it should be sold. He sounds like a tutelary spirit for Norris’s project. Edward Young made himself the spokesperson for the idea that Presley articulates at great length, namely of the poet as inspirational genius and the importance of originality as superior to imitation, an idea that informs Young’s view of the poet as prophet, another of Presley’s great subjects. Pushkin is the immortal creator of the 19<sup>th</sup> century’s most famous ‘superfluous man’, Eugene Onegin. Pushkin links his hero’s exploits, much as Norris does Presley’s, to the social and political well-being of the nation. And so it goes: the reader interested in linking Schopenhauer’s thought to the novel might begin with the notion of a force in man that supersedes mere reason. Norris’s protagonist is known for what it is and turns his novel at times into a disquisition on the subject of desire that the German philosopher singled out for special mention.

But the name that really stands out on this list Henry George. The reasons Norris would be interested in him are at least fivefold. First, he sold three million copies of a book in which he mused about how poverty and grown along with progress, and the oddity of the extent of poverty in developed nations and its consequences; second, he was convinced that ownership of land, something that should by rights be available to everyone, was the prime cause of this growing inequity in the west; third, he predicted that the railway boom would only benefit

the lucky few who owned the railways or the enterprises they directly benefited; fourth, he foresaw the particular effect on land value and rents in areas served by the California railway and how their dramatic increase would make wage earners poorer; and, finally, although his ideas on the communal ownership of property did not in the end carry the day, they made an eloquent and compelling case that influenced a wide range of writers and thinkers.

As different as they all are, these writers have at least one large thing in common: in their most important works they discuss the interactions of the individual and society as a confrontation of forces, pitted against each other in a struggle that by definition can have no clear outcome. Norris thinks that these writers have a didactic function to perform despite the fact that they don't pretend to having arrived at definitive answers. That is why it is so much more important for us to read writers like Malthus and Mill and Henry George to see if humanity, with all its contradictory tendencies, is actually capable of learning something from them. He mentions them at a crucial juncture in *The Octopus* because he wants to remind his readers that the large questions he chosen to engage with in cannot possibly be answered in a work of fiction.

Because the works referred to in the novel's allusions have so much to say about the book's large subject, it would seem that Frank Norris has been undersold, even by his admirers. Distracted by the crudity and the power of his fiction, his critics have tended to overlook the intricacies of the work he actually produced. The matrix of allusions that he uses to orchestrate the assault on American frontiers in *The Octopus* show just how skilled he was at creating complex texts. They shed light on his interest in a range of writers and thinkers who worked hard on explaining how the individual and society were to sort out their relations. His auto-commentary on the esthetic frontier that opened out before him and other writers of fiction at the turn of the 20<sup>th</sup> century reminds us of just how deeply indebted the modernists were to their precursors. The texture of *The Octopus* shows how inextricably bound up with previous texts Norris's extraordinary novel was. The care with which he chose these texts and the skill with which he integrated them prove just how essential such references were to the overall scheme of his ground-breaking novel.

The last frontier referred to in Norris's novel is the one his characters see when they gaze at the heavens. 'The stars were out, strewn thick and close in the deep blue of the sky, the milky way glowing like a silver veil. Ursa Major wheeled gigantic in the north. The great nebula in Orion was a whorl of shimmering star dust. Venus flamed a lambent disk of pale saffron, low over the horizon. From edge to edge of the world marched the constellations, like the progress of emperors, and from the innumerable glory of their courses a mysterious sheen of diaphanous light disengaged

itself, expanding over all the earth, serene, infinite, majestic' (382). Those constellations are scheduled to flame out, annihilated in slow-time the way those emperors were. In their place have come the new lords of the earth, America's robber barons, as impressive in their wealth and pomp and as doomed to ultimate oblivion. They constitute the deep sky objects that mark the acme of human aspirations and fears.

Humanity has mythologized all the galaxies that Norris mentions in the passage, representing Ursa Major as a bear and then making a verisimilar drama – lusty Zeus, jealous Hera, the beautiful Callisto – out of this collection of stars, incorporating them in a story of human desire and folly. Similarly, Orion the helpless hunter, and Venus the planet that oversees human passion and its (often disastrous) consequences. These are stories from the old frontier, and because they bear an uncanny resemblance to their present-day versions, we are invited to entertain the notion that there is something eternal about them. Our encounter with their fiery celestial counterparts in the new future, in the new frontier, will perhaps be more exotic and compelling. Yet the 'mysterious sheen' of galaxies still to be discovered and explored, like the one that obscures our own complex selves from investigation, will no doubt help to keep their darkest secrets hidden for a good while yet.

#### WORKS CITED

- Berthoff, Warner. *The Ferment of American Literature, 1884-1919*. New York: Free Press, 1965.  
"Democracy in California" at <http://www.economist.com/node/18548109> [accessed December 2, 2012]
- Graham, Don. *The Fiction of Frank Norris: the Aesthetic Context*. Columbia: University of Missouri Press, 1978.
- Leacock, Stephen. *Arcadian Adventures with the Idle Rich*. Teddington, Middlesex: Echo Library.
- Marchand, Earnest. *Frank Norris: A Study* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1964.
- McElrath, Joseph L. "Frank Norris's *The Octopus*: The Christian Ethic as Pragmatic Response," in ed. Don Graham, *Critical Essays on Frank Norris* (Boston: G.K. Hall, 1980), pp. 138-52.
- Norris, Frank. *The Octopus: A Story of California*. Harmondsworth, Middlesex: Penguin, 1986.
- Walker, Franklin. *Frank Norris: A Biography*. New York: Doubleday, 1932.

## UN COȘMAR AMERICAN

**Daniela PETROȘEL,**  
Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare” Suceava  
[daniela.petroșel@gmail.com](mailto:daniela.petroșel@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** The present paper investigates the features of an American icon, the automobile, as presented in Stephen King's novel, *Christine*. This symbol is used in King' horror novel to portray the social mechanisms of an American community and to convey the overwhelming meaning of alienation. The text goes beyond the supernatural or inexplicable forces which determine intricate love and death relationships, and focuses on technofetishism and its ethical implications.

**Keywords:** Stephen King, automobile, technofetishism

Esența spiritului american poate fi lecturată prin emergența mai multor simboluri, dar cu siguranță *automobilul* este unul dintre cele mai apropiate de specificul acestei națiuni. Numeroase studii<sup>1</sup> consideră acest icon ca fiind de primă importanță pentru cultura americană, urmărindu-i metamorfozele și diferitele implicații estetice. Așa cum precizează Roger Casey, automobilul este cel care „a marcat profund dezvoltarea literaturii acestei națiuni”<sup>2</sup>(t.n.) O astfel de afirmație ar putea fi cu lejeritate considerată drept deplasată, dacă implicată nu ar fi identitatea literară a unei națiuni născute sub semnul modernizării și al progresului. Căci generată de și plasată într-un alt context național, această

---

<sup>1</sup> Cynthia Golomb Dettelbach, *In the Drivers's Seat. The Automobile in American Literature and Popular Culture*, Greenwood Press, 1976; *The Automobile and American Culture*, editat de David Lancer și Lewis Lawrance Goldstein, University of Michigan Press, 1983, *The Automobile in American History and Culture. A Reference Guide*, de Michael L. Berger, Greenwood Publishing Group, 2001; Shelby Smoack, *Framing the Automobile in Twentieth Century American Literature: A Spatial Approach*, Proquest, 2007; Deborah Clarke, *Driving Women: Fiction and Automobile Culture in Twentieth Century America*, John Hopkins University Press, 2007; Jerry W. Passon, *The Corvette in Literature and Culture: Symbolic Dimensions of America's Sports Car*, McFarland, 2011 etc.

<sup>2</sup> Roger N. Casey, *Textual Vehicles. The Automobile in American Literature*, Garland Science, 1997, p. xi.

observație ar putea naște un surâs politicos sau poate o reacție mai virulentă care ar chestiona chiar (im)posibila dependență a discursului literar de un astfel de obiect. Dar, intim legat de dinamica acestei națiuni, de transgresarea granițelor și de afirmarea unui individualism bine ancorat în economic, acest obiect-simbol apare frecvent în literatura americană, mai ales cea a secolului al XX-lea. *Marele Gatsby* al lui F. Scott Fitzgerald, *Fructele mâniei* al lui Steinbeck sau remarcabilul *Pe drum* al lui Kerouac sunt câteva dintre textele care exploatează bogata încărcătură simbolică a automobilului. Plasat la întretăierea unor complexe relații economice și personale, prilejuind etalarea unor spații geografice și subiective diverse, automobilul este și o modalitate de a oferi coerență și adâncime portretizărilor umane. Parte componentă a scenariilor tehnofile sau tehnofobe, el se manifestă, cel mai adesea, drept spațiu *al violenței, al sacralului sau al consumului* (Shelby Smoack). Automobilul este, afirmă Deborah Clarke, și un complex motiv literar, mai ales pentru că unește puterea tehnologică cu antropomorfizarea; astfel sunt puse în dialog, influențându-se reciproc, două lumi, una tehnică și una umană.

Plecând de la constatarea relevanței simbolice a acestui (în cele din urmă) obiect, prezenta lucrare urmărește semnificațiile automobilului în romanul horror al lui Stephen King, *Christine*. Opțiunea pentru acest autor, considerat de mulți dintre criticii literari americani drept un *autor de consum*, aflat mai degrabă în căutarea unui senzațional (poate ieftin, uneori) pe care știe foarte bine să îl ofere cititorilor, ar putea părea nejustificată. Unul dintre textele canonice ale literaturii americane ar părea o alegere mai logică. Dar succesul la public înregistrat de romanele lui Stephen King este un și semn că acest autor reușește să surprindă elemente de maximă relevanță colectivă, fiind, cum spune Tony Magistrale „un barometru social al vieții din America cea contemporană”<sup>3</sup>. Căci textele lui Stephen King pun adesea un diagnostic nemilos asupra unei întregi societăți; așa cum explică Kenneth S. Wagner, în *Introducerea* volumului scris de Tony Magistrale (*Landscape of Fear: Stephen King's American Gothic*, Popular Press, Chicago, 1988): „Pentru a fi înțeleasă în mod adecvat, literatura lui King trebuie văzută ca o satiră socială contemporană, care scoate la iveală fantezii și temeri culturale care altfel ar fi trecut neobservate. Astfel, groaza (*the horror*) din literatura lui King oglindește maladiile curente ale relațiilor noastre sociale”<sup>4</sup>. Iar receptarea în cheie *noir* a textelor nu ține, neapărat, de intenția autorului, ci scoate la iveală temerile mult mai adânci ale societății contemporane. Acest lucru este, de altfel, și ceea ce își propune autorul, care scria: „În această carte [*Danse macabre*], am încercat în variate moduri să sugerez că povestirea horror este, în multe feluri, o experiență

---

<sup>3</sup> Tony Magistrale, *The Moral Voyages of Stephen King*, Wildside Press LLC, 1989, p. 9.

<sup>4</sup> Kenneth S. Wagner, *Introduction*, Tony Magistrale, Tony Magistrale, *Landscape of Fear: Stephen King's American Gothic*, Popular Press, Chicago, 1988, p. 6.

optimistă și revigorantă; că adesea este modul prin care o minte dură înțelege să se confrunte cu probleme groaznice care s-ar putea să nu fie deloc supranaturale, ci foarte reale”(t.n.)<sup>5</sup> Astfel, literatura horror devine o modalitate de a testa limitele umanului și justetea sistemelor de norme morale, iar exercițiul poate fi adesea o experiență traumatizantă; nu prin subiectele tratate de autor, ci prin concluziile la care ajunge cititorul.

Poate neașteptat, acest autor este reprezentativ pentru ceea ce înseamnă America, lucru sugerat și de Tony Magistrale; criticul vede, dincolo de elementele horror din textele lui Stephen King, o literatură cu autentică tematică socială care „conține un comentariu asupra și o critică a sistemului de valori american – politica noastră, relațiile interpersonale, instituțiile noastre venerate și de încredere. Opera lui descrie o anumită felie temporală; poate fi asociată direct cu aspectele esențiale ale culturii americane și cu tipurile de relații umane pe care aceasta le întruchipează”<sup>6</sup> Plasarea unei mașini într-o comunitate americană devine un prilej pentru a cartografia dinamica relațiilor umane și a valorilor morale. Relațiile de cuplu și cele dintre părinți și copii, atmosfera ucigătoare (și la propriu, și la figurat) din liceu și problematica maturizare a adolescenților, variatele metode a încălcare a legii, toate sunt raportate la enigmatică și independenta Christine. Pentru că autorul construiește un roman cu o complexă relevanță culturală ce are drept personaj principal o mașină. Antropomorfizată, demonică, dar totuși o mașină. Cu riscul de a pierde mult din ramificațiile poveștii, evenimentele romanului ar putea fi rezumate astfel: adolescentul cuminte și tăcut Arnie Cunningham vede, în timpul unei plimbări cu prietenul său, Dennis, o mașină veche, un Plymouth Fury model 1958, pentru care face, instantaneu, o pasiune anormală. Mașina, aflată în evidentă stare de degradare, este cumpărată, reparată, devenind punctul central și ulterior unic al vieții lui Arnie. Mașina pare a avea o capacitate uimitoare de a se repara singură, de a-i pedepsi pe cei care încearcă să-i facă rău și, nu în cele din urmă, mână de o suspectă gelozie, îi elimină pe aproape toți cei dragi din viața lui Arnie. Istoricul ei, aflat de Dennis din diverse surse, pare a sta sub semnul unor nesfârșite șiruri de morți. În cele din urmă și Arnie moare, iar Christine își găsește sfârșitul (deși aici ar fi niște semne de întrebare) sub o presă de fiare vechi. Evenimentele sunt narate din două perspective: o narațiune la persona I, ce apare în părțile inițială și cea finală ale textului, care-i aparține lui Dennis Guilder, cel care-și rememorează astfel anii de liceu. Într-o încercare de a înțelege evenimentele inexplicabile la care a fost martor, Dennis urmărește neputincios treptata metamorfoză a

---

<sup>5</sup> Stephen King, *Danse macabre*, disponibil la <http://www.retro-cafe.com/scayre/books/Stephen%20King%20-%20Danse%20Macabre%20%281981%29.pdf>, accesat 12 decembrie, 2012.

<sup>6</sup> Tony Magistrale, *Landscape of Fear: Stephen King's American Gothic*. Chicago: Popular Press, 1988, p. 25

prietenului său. Partea mediană a textului, când Dennis este în spital, este o narațiune obiectivă la persona a III-a. Acest joc al perspectivelor naratoriale contribuie din plin la suspans și la sentimentul de alienare indus cititorului. Denumirile celor trei părți ale textului, *Dennis – cântecele de volan ale adolescenței*, *Arnie – cântecele de dragoste ale adolescenței* și *Christine – cântecele de moarte ale adolescenței*, surprind atât triumphiul personajelor principale, cât și întrepătrunderea permanentă dintre exuberanță și macabru.

Textul nu este doar o poveste despre un tânăr și o mașină, ci despre întreaga încărcătură simbolică a acestui obiect și despre relevanța lui în înțelegerea culturii americane; iar dovada o formează toate motto-urile ce însoțesc fiecare capitol al cărții. Acestea conțin extrase din numeroase melodii reprezentative, din cântecele unor artiști sau formații foarte cunoscute: Eddie Cochran, Chuck Berry, The Doors, Bruce Springsteen, Glen Frey, The Beach Boys, Janis Joplin, The Who, The Clash, Elvis Costello etc. Toate fragmentele de versuri susțin omniprezența acestui simbol în imaginarul cultural american, iar portretizările, multe dintre ele poetice, de care automobilul beneficiază, mai contracarează atmosfera horror din roman; „părticică de rai aici pe pământ”, „dacă Ea ar avea aripi,/ Știu că ar putea să zboare”, „sub învelișul de rugină, ea era aur,/ Nu o rablă.” În același timp, alte versuri susțin aspectul terifiant al poveștii: „Pe șosea este un ucigaș,/ Creierul său se agită ca o broască râioasă...”, „Cauciucuri care țipă, focuri scânteietoare și/ dus a fost acel tânăr star”. Scrise în registre diferite, patetic-duios sau agresiv, versurile descriu o civilizație trăind permanent sub semnul vitezei, într-o neobosită încercare de a păcăli monotonia și de a înghiți spații geografice diferite.

Este cunoscut modul în care mai toate textele lui Stephen King meditează asupra subtilului raport dintre ființa umană și descoperirile științei; așa cum sintetizează Tony Magistrale, „povestirile lui King sugerează două perceptive care contrazic premisele fundamentale ale științei moderne: „1. că fiecare descoperire în domeniul chimiei sau al fizicii are drept consecință imediată un pericol ce va produce, la rîndul său, o reacție ce va scăpa controlului uman (cum s-a întâmplat cu energia nucleară și rezultatele combustibilului radioactiv); 2. că universul nu este, în cele din urmă, un loc deschis înțelegerii și dominației umane.”<sup>7</sup> Pe acest fundal plasate, evenimentele din *Christine* traduc alienarea umană și fetișizarea tehnologiei, autodistrugerea și izolarea. Iar tehnologia, ilustrată aici printr-o agresivitate nițel tezistă, nu este intrinsec malefică, ci devine astfel prin puterea cu care omul o investește. Dependența de lucruri traduce, cum spunea un cunoscut teoretician al kitsch-ului, Abraham Moles<sup>8</sup>, nu doar o relație de tip hedonist, ci și una profund kitsch, marcă a alienării. E o altă ipostaziere a

---

<sup>7</sup> Tony Magistrale, *The Moral Voyages of Stephen King*, Wildside Press LLC, 1989, p. 4.

<sup>8</sup> Abraham Moles, *Psihologia Kitsch-ului. Arta fericirii*, Editura Meridiane, București, 1980, p. 26.

consumismului, nu cantitativă, ca acumulare irațională de obiecte, ci calitativă, ca anulare a independenței ființei umane. Și aici intră în scenă marele paradox; și poate așa s-ar explica și tezismul textului. În condițiile în care toți analiștii culturali (de exemplu Peter Marsh și Peter Collet, *Driving Passion: The Psychology of the Car*) preocupați de impactul automobilului asupra vieții americanului aduc în discuție efectele benefice, mai precis afirmarea individualității și a libertății, posibilitatea de a-și exercita controlul asupra mediului fizic și social, asupra vitezei și timpului, textul ilustrează, dimpotrivă, modul în care autonomia individuală devine izolare, iar libertatea se transformă în egoism. Devine evident astfel profunda încărcătură etică a textelor lui Stephen King, autor care, dincolo de variatele forțe supranaturale ce străbat textele sale, urmărește permanenta confruntare dintre bine și rău și, mai ales, reacția umană în fața inexplicabilului. În acest text supranaturalul este camuflat de tehnic, iar umanul este chemat să rezolve dilemele sociale și etice generate.

Fetișizarea tehnologiei, tradusă în acest text prin relația ciudată pe care adolescentul Arnie o are cu misterioasa mașină Christine (care are un nume feminin, dar, mai mult, pe tot parcursul romanului se vorbește despre ea ca despre o persoană – folosindu-se, în engleză, nu pronumele *it*, specific obiectelor, ci *she*, propriu ființelor umane) susține această nouă relație dintre ființa umană și lumea materială; automobilul nu mai este doar un instrument care servește scopurile ființei umane, ci devine centrul unic la care ființa umană se raportează, o autentică proteză, cum ar spune teoreticienii postumanismului. Iar dependența tehnologică (cu schimbătoare vârste ale tehnologiei, de la cea mecanică la cea digitală, tradusă prin variate produse) ilustrează exemplar precaritatea ontologică a ființei umane. Căci, cu cât automobilul este mai animat de sentimente, cu atât mai inanimată și mecanizată este lumea în care Arnie se mișcă: ciudat este că un obiect a fost cel care i-a determinat o dureroasă înțelegere a mecanizării vieții pe care o duce, și a modului în care familia sa nu este decât o mașinărie aproape funcțională; cu o mamă permanent la conducere, cu un tată obedient și cu dorințe permanent ignorate, cu un copil ce-și obișnuise părinții să fie doar model de ascultare. Într-un fel, caracterul horror al textului este anulat, căci amenințarea nu este indusă de maleficul automobil (sau dacă o formează, ea ține, mai degrabă, de senzaționalul de suprafață al textului), ci tocmai de dezumanizarea și vulnerabilitatea ființei umane pe care acest automobil le scoate în evidență.

Răsfățata Christine este frecvent descrisă ca mustind de senzorialitate și de un tip de viață ce scapă înțelegerii umane a lui Dennis, iar relația dintre Arnie și Christine e construită dintr-un amestec de iubire și moarte, cu întregul arsenal de emoții: tandrețe și disperare, gelozie și adorație, delicatețe și morți violente. Întâlnirea dintre ei (prezentată într-un capitol ce de intitulează *Arnie se însoară*) este o dragoste la prima vedere, deși mașina nu are, de fapt, nimic atrăgător; într-o avansată stare de degradare, plină de rugină și cu multe piese lipsă, ea reușește totuși să atragă atenția unui simplu trecător. Dar dincolo de această drăgăstoasă

întâlnire, există deja sentimentul unei amenințări sumbre: intrarea lui Arnie în mașină este surprinsă astfel de Dennis: „Într-un fel, era ca și cum mașina l-ar fi înghițit”<sup>9</sup> Ecourile acestei fraze premonitorii din începutul textului vor pluti pe tot parcursul romanului, alimentând imaginea unei treptate anihilări a personajului masculin. Nu doar afectiv sunt legați cei doi, ci și fizic, împărțășind un evident proces de ameliorare a aspectului; după achiziționarea mașinii, fața plină de coșuri a lui Arnie devine mult mai netedă și arătoasă, în vreme ce Christine, dincolo de reparațiile, mai ales minore, pe care i le face stăpânul său, pare a se repara singură. Dar aceste retușări ale exteriorului (o variantă la *Portretul lui Dorian Gray*) ascund mult mai grave răni interioare. Acest *dans macabru* dintre Arnie și Christine pigmentează și impulsionează firul evenimential; ruperea lui de sânul familiei și maturizarea, însingurarea și criza de comunicare în care se afundă, șirurile de morți suspecte în care pare a fi implicată Christine, crescândă ei gelozie născută mai ales după intrarea în viața adolescentului a frumoasei Leigh, reducerea ei la un „simplu obiect, un mijloc de transport”<sup>10</sup> sunt câțiva dintre pașii acestui tangou macabru dansat de cei doi. Christine pune în practică răzbunări teribile: pedepsirea cu maximă cruzime a celor care au vandalizat-o, încercarea (sau poate e doar o nefericită coincidență) de a o ucide pe Leigh prin asfixiere, eliminarea părinților lui Arnie etc. Cert este că prietenii lui Arnie percep mașina ca fiind fundamental malefică, incapabili totuși să-și explice rațional evenimentele la care asistă; există mai degrabă o rețea de vise și viziuni, de secvențe derulate rapid și încărcate de imagini violente. Iar interiorul ei poartă mirosul morții („un miros oribil, de putregai”, „un miros pătrunzător de carne putrezită”) pe care toți cei apropiați lui Arnie (Dennis, Leigh) îl simt. Și, cu excepția lui Arnie, nimeni nu se simte bine și în largul său în acea mașină, care pare să aibă o viață autonomă. Deși încearcă să înțeleagă profunda schimbare a prietenului său și, mai ales, rolul pe care Christine îl are în acest proces, Dennis simte din plin ridicolul explicațiilor sale: „Ce era? Un fel de diavol? O mașină ordinară care, într-un fel, devenise sălașul periculos, urât mirositor, al unui demon? O manifestare misterioasă a personalității persistente a lui Le Bay, o casă infernală și bântuită ce se rostogolește pe cauciucuri Goodyear? Nu știam. Știam doar că eram speriat, terorizat.”<sup>11</sup> Lipsa unei explicații mulțumitoare întreține un mister ce va persista până în finalul textului. Agent al morții, cu violente accidente auto sau sinucideri, Christine scapă unei catalogări definitive, iar supranaturalul păleşte în fața spectacolului naturalului uman la care asistăm. Nu cruzimea lui Christine șochează, ci lipsa de reacție a lui Arnie, incapabil să se poziționeze etic în contextul evenimentelor la care asistă.

---

<sup>9</sup> Stephen King, *Christine*, traducere de Dana Sarca, Editura Nemira, București, 1994, p. 45.

<sup>10</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 166.

<sup>11</sup> Stephen King, *op.cit.*, p. 408.

Spre deosebire de celelalte personaje, Arnie pare a fi cuprins de o buimăceală pe care refuză să o traducă rațional, dar, mai ales, de dorința de a se contopi cu obiectul pasiunii sale; reveriile sale, nițel patetice, sunt totuși ilustrative pentru ceea ce înseamnă automobilul în fantezia consumatorilor, sau pentru armonioasa fuziune dintre om și mașină: „el evada din aceste visuri și ajungea la realitate cu o singură imagine repetată: El era la volanul Christinei, conducând încet printr-o furtună de zăpadă, ninsoarea fiind atât de deasă încât nu putea să vadă mai departe de botul mașinii. Vântul nu urla; producea un sunet mai grav, mai sinistru, un muget de bas. Apoi imaginea se schimba. Ninsoarea nu mai era de zăpadă; erau confeti. Vaietele vântului erau aclamațiile mulțimii adunate de ambele părți pe Fifth Avenue. Mulțimea îl aclama pe el. Ei o aclamau pe Christine. Ei ovaționau pentru că el și Christine... *Evadaseră*.”<sup>12</sup> Ea devine astfel pretext pentru ieșirea din anonimat și pentru consolidarea respectului de sine, sau pentru a păcăli o realitate ce ar putea părea claustrantă. Adolescentul își trage energia din contactul fizic cu această mașină; cu cât se afundă mai tare într-o răutate ce nu-i este caracteristică și cu cât este mai încolțit de poliție, suspectat fiind că este implicat în morțile acelor oameni, cu atât dependența lui de Christine crește: „încordarea din corpul lui s-a risipit, iar el s-a sprijinit de mașină atingând-o cu mâna stângă, mângâind-o, părând să-și tragă putere de la ea”<sup>13</sup> „a găsit-o pe Christine – suprafața ei tare, răcoroasă, reconfortantă – și totul a devenit iarăși normal.”<sup>14</sup> „În schimb se va gândi la Christine. Nu la mama lui sau la tata, nici la Leigh sau la Will Darnell, nici la Slawson – toți căcănarii mizerabili care îl trădaseră. Se va gândi la Christine.”<sup>15</sup> E un refugiu care nu oferă căldură umană, ci un neproblematic suport inanimat. Căci în vreme ce familia și prietenii vin cu dramele și așteptările lor solicitante, Christine pare a fi tăcută și docilă, răbdătoare și de încredere. Evident că dincolo de acest calm înșelător, Christine se dovedește a fi mai de temut decât umilii oameni, cu umilele lor drame.

Simbol de o amplă rezonanță culturală, automobilul reprezintă o lume a experiențelor banale, dar și profunde, a violenței greu de imaginat, dar și a gentileții profund umane. Iar textul lui Stephen King vorbește, în cele din urmă, despre fragilitatea ființei umane și despre căutarea confortului psihic, indiferent de sursa ce-l poate furniza, fie obiect sau persoană.

---

<sup>12</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 349.

<sup>13</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 186.

<sup>14</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 326.

<sup>15</sup> *Ibidem*, p. 337.

## BIBLIOGRAFIE

### Opera

King, Stephen, *Christine*, traducere de Dana Sarca, Editura Nemira, București, 1994

### Studii critice

Casey, Roger N., *Textual Vehicles. The Automobile in American Literature*, Garland Science, 1997.

Clarke, Deborah, *Driving Women: Fiction and Automobile Culture in Twentieth Century America*, John Hopkins University Press, 2007.

Golomb Dettelbach, Cynthia, *In the Drivers's Seat. The Automobile in American Literature and Popular Culture*, Greenwood Press, 1976.

King, Stephen, *Danse macabre*, disponibil la <http://www.retro-cafe.com/scayre/books/Stephen%20King%20-20Danse%20Macabre%20%281981%29.pdf>, accesat 12 decembrie, 2012.

Magistrale, Tony, *Landscape of Fear: Stephen King's American Gothic*. Chicago: Popular Press, 1988.

Magistrale Tony, *The Moral Voyages of Stephen King*, Wildside Press LLC, 1989.

Moles, Abraham, *Psihologia Kitsch-ului. Arta fericirii*, Editura Meridiane, București, 1980.

Passon, Jerry W., *The Corvette in Literature and Culture: Symbolic Dimensions of America's Sports Car*, McFarland, 2011.

Rutski, L. R., *High Technē: Art and Technology from the Machine Aesthetic to the Posthuman*, University of Minnesota Press, 1999.

Shelby Smoack, *Framing the Automobile in Twentieth Century American Literature: A Spatial Approach*, Proquest, 2007.

## AN ALL-AMERICAN NARRATIVE: SPIN-OFFS AND BORDERS IN PAUL AUSTER'S FICTION

Onoriu COLĂCEL,  
Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare”, Suceava  
[onoriucolacel@litere.usv.ro](mailto:onoriucolacel@litere.usv.ro)

**Abstract:** The paper argues that Paul Auster's novel, *The Book of Illusions*, is an all-American spin-off. Considering the development of the writer over a fifteen year period, I read him in terms of the follow-up routine he indulges in. The bond between his 2002 novel and *The New York Trilogy* (1987) is the unfolding of a coveted literary discourse, heavily indebted to its cultural environment. However, I think that the exchange between the former and the latter is mutual: tapping into the reservoir of what has become mainstream – partly due to its own accomplishments – both redeems and plagues his writing.

I aimed to track down the translation of social references into fictional language, with a view to proving that the author evolves from the mannerism of aesthetical disengagement (*The New York Trilogy*) to interventional practices (*The Book of Illusions*). I mean that cultural action politicises the novel, with the notions of economics and liberation lurking in the background. The resulting post-capitalist narrative quotes the camerawork technique, dormant in the movie-going audience of the twentieth century, and climaxes in pop-culture stock phrases.

**Keywords:** popular culture, literary-cultural studies, identity recognition, USA ethos

Paul Auster is a household name of the 20<sup>th</sup> century United States fiction. After his celebrated *The New York Trilogy* (1987), his other seven novels single him out for the nowadays reader. Up to his 2002 *The Book of Illusions*, he delivered on his literary promise, broadening the scope and the means of his output. He openly extrapolated, paralleled, or partly reiterated all of his prior productions. I primarily mean the three short novels *City of Glass*, *Ghosts* and *The Locked Room* of the trilogy, which are a benchmark against which Paul Auster tuned his later fiction, at least up to *The Book of Illusions*.

The bond between his 2002 novel and the trilogy is the case in point for the idiosyncratic makeup of an influential literary discourse. At the same time, this literature is heavily indebted to its cultural environment. However, I think that the exchange between the latter and the former is mutual. Tapping into the reservoir of what has become mainstream – partly due to its own accomplishments – both redeems and plagues the writing of Paul Auster.

My paper argues that his 2002 novel is an all American spin-off, both literarily and culturally. I read it in such terms because of the follow-up routine the author indulges in: the novel epitomizes the literary convention (plots, themes, motifs, and characters) that made Auster's name, while spelling out the abridged version of the cultural enterprise his ten novels (at the time) convey. His work edits the immediately recognizable setup of commonsensical America, as showcased by hackneyed literary and, cinematic narratives.

A brand of aesthetical mannerism, quite fashionable in the second half of the last century, took over his literature in terms of self-absorbed narrative technique and stylishness. Its identifying mark, inescapably, is the postmodern label. Considering the development of Auster's discourse over a fifteen-year period, one is tempted to read his choices in terms of a minutely articulated first production which, when revisited, gradually gives up self-righteousness.

However, the context-oriented reading of cultural literary studies (McGuigan, 1992) is able to circumvent such questions and to understand his work outside the box of literary criticism. The ideologically charged phrasing of his writing classifies and assigns meaning within the most influential pop culture of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. I intend to conclude on the literary appropriation of a culturalist (Rimmon Kenan, 2002) vocabulary, quite conspicuous with Paul Auster. Basically, I will track down the translation of social references into fictional language, with a view to proving that the author evolves from the mannerism of the aesthetical disengagement (*The New York Trilogy*) to interventional practices (*The Book of Illusions*) which politicise the novel genre. In the Marxist wording of cultural studies, economics and liberation (Bowman, 2007) lurk in the background of a post-capitalist narrative which quotes social and ideological traditions (Jameson, 1981).

The negotiation of the author with the reader (sometimes viewer), the ethnic and gender discrimination, and the comprehensive USA ethos bring together my interpretation of *The Book of Illusions*<sup>1</sup>.

Emancipation is the anecdote the novel professes in the background of the detective story. The protagonist, David Zimmer, retraces the biography of Hector Mann in order to stumble on the story of Blue Stone Ranch where, unknown to the world, his hero has been making his movies. The public and the

---

<sup>1</sup> Auster, P., 2002, *The Book of Illusions*, London: Faber & Faber Ltd, hereafter *TBOI* in quotations only.

private overlap in the redemption all characters seem to be after. Personal trauma and racial anxiety fuel the search for a safe haven, outside the reach of social and historical constraints. On the other hand, the text hints at ordinary economic models (Gramsci, 1971) that believe scarcity is the cause of collective interaction. This comes against the emphasis on surplus and expenditure, particular to the popular beliefs about American society. By focusing on the borderline behavior between the two paradigms, the narrative prompts a circumstantial positioning of characters and readers.

*The Book of Illusions* conclusively analyses the function of the ‘folk’ author, openly an American one. Zimmer is mesmerised by the resplendent screen persona of Hector Mann in his white tropical suit. The meaning of his characters and of the way they evolve against the universal backdrop of Hollywood identity codes is the concern of the story-telling. For example, the reader is provided with a professional description of Hector’s stage persona, in his twelve silent movies he made before disappearing. Identity attribution between literature and its social context is achieved by the opinionated assessment of otherness, community, ethnicity, irrespective of literary achievement or failure. The author and the characters become the detectives in a proficient story which exhausts itself and, sometimes, the reader too. The mirroring of their intellectual pursuit is less a highbrow achievement than it is a matter of cultural conditioning, targeting one audience or another.

The example of the readership the author envisages for the novel helps to discuss the cultural credentials of a book of illusions. The figment of the author’s imagination is a volume which inhabits a literary convention occasionally proven obsolete in the progress the plot. I presume the reader of Auster’s novel(s) to be, ostensibly, an expedient ‘he’, i.e., gendered according to the patent features most of his heroes and narrators boast – male, Caucasian (in fact, Semitic), white collar, on a self-proving quest, etc. Such a reader is shown to believe he faces a traditional plot, in which the story is in control of the discourse, the events being ordered in a way that offers a close meaning. It turns out that he is misled by a text which only apparently does so. As he goes on reading, this likely persona passes for the cultural marker that corroborates the undertones of the discourse, while playing along with the intricacies of the story.

On the contrary, women characters are secondary and briefly paid attention to, usually in a gender-biased language, which reinforces offensive female stereotypes. For instance, ‘Sylvia was an uneducated hick, a semi-illiterate vulgarian...’ (*TBOI*: 188); even more: ‘You screw like a princess, darling [...] but you lack much in the thought department, don’t you?’ (*TBOI*: 189-190). If it is not entirely the case and one woman is multifaceted enough to sustain the narrator’s scrutiny for more than a couple of paragraphs, she is still the subject of the same overgeneralising, paternal reading. I’m thinking of Alma Grund, the overemotional figure who suddenly shows up with a gun in her hand. She is also,

eventually, wiped out of the picture, whenever actual events occur. Everything happens much to the dismay of the story-teller (himself) who concludes that: 'It couldn't have happened that way, but that's how I remember it' (*TBOI*: 223). Nevertheless, she is closest to taking over the control of the story, once even becoming its narrator, recounting to Zimmer Hector's missing years.

The narrative discourse makes a point out of repeatedly addressing notions pertaining to identity recognition, for instance circumscribed to language skills: '...he had advanced to the point where it was becoming increasingly difficult to tell where he had been born. He didn't sound like an American, perhaps, but neither did he sound like a raw, uneducated immigrant (*TBOI*: 157). The pronunciation lessons for the Jewish national Chaim Mandelbaum are, alongside all other trials of his American alias Hector Mann, constituting the binary opposition which instantiates both terms of the ethnic contrast in the text. For example, the disappearance of the hero strengthens the narrator's own feeling that he too is a nonentity, a dead man, with the probable outcome that David and Hector are, once more, shown to be akin. Correspondingly, they share the same blood feud with the world that famously targeted them, accidentally or not. The narrative voice plays on this subject matter enthusiastically enough to keep the audience guessing about the outcome of the process.

I find particularly revealing the packaging of controversial issues, seldom addressed in racial terms by American public narratives. A blunt statement about inter-war U.S. mores construes the already mentioned Caucasian-Semitic contrast which, it is suggested, victimises minorities. Namely: 'It wasn't a crime to be a Jew in Hollywood back then. It was merely something that one chose not to talk about. [...] ...he might have been ashamed of it, but it was difficult for me to imagine that he had been killed for it' (*TBOI*: 86). Once more, the narrator trades sides with the (male) hero, and, possibly, with the addressee: '...I was too caught up in Hector's story...' (*TBOI*: 200); 'As we listen to Martin's narration...' (*TBOI*: 245.) The substitution blurs distinctions, while laying bare taboos, so that the story ends up displaying revisionist overtones which may very well, ultimately, account for the withdrawal-from-the-world tale of Chaim/Hector.

On the other hand, the national narrative whose many versions have been detailed by Paul Auster's carrier is obvious in the revisiting of the *New York Trilogy* by the authorial discourse in *The Book of Illusions*. Hector Mann's sudden disappearance, the work he left behind, his appearance at the end of the novel and his death, all these remind of Fanshawe in *The Locked Room* and of the unnamed narrator who throughout the novel was in search of a biography and, implicitly, of self-identity. The similarity between the two novels is openly acknowledged. At the beginning of the novel, we find out that Hector Mann is dead, and then, a letter overturns the narrative: 'Hector has read your book and would like to meet you. Are you interested in paying us a visit? Yours sincerely,

Frieda Spelling (Mrs. Hector Mann)' (*TBOI*: 4). Zimmer has joined what Auster calls in *The New York Trilogy* 'the ghosts'. However, he is not the only ghost in the novel; there is also Hector Mann, the most prominent ghost-figure, who although physically missing, is perceived as being the most present of the characters. Like in *The Locked Room*, Zimmer's search for Hector Mann becomes a quest for the author of the text or for its meaning. At the same time, the protagonist-narrator reasserts his identity by the end of the novel and, along with Hector Mann's coming back to life (not only through Zimmer's book, but through Alma Grund's narration of Mann's biography as well), we witness the narrator's development from a non-entity to a clearly defined one.

Travelling is an iconic practice that fosters a sense of apprehension caused by the cultural geography of the U. S. Time and space are secondary to the text's discourse. What is of concern is, for instance, the narrative of assent to the ritual of driving, commonly, to scheduled tasks: 'The last time I had driven to Logan Airport ... [...] Turn by turn, they had made the same trip; mile by mile, they had covered the same ground. Route 30 to Interstate 91; 91 to the Mass Pike...A part of me welcomed this grotesque re-enactment' (*TBOI*: 117). As always, locomotion is the same American infatuation with the internal combustion engine. Mainly the car and, occasionally, the plane secure the juxtaposition of exemplary political and cultural borders within U.S.A. The narrative highlights the troublesome inclusiveness of a compressed geography that agglomerates otherwise mutually exclusive landmarks.

Vermont and New Mexico are locations which contrastively function in order to signal the magnitude of the project entailed by the quest at hand. Devious Spanish names trigger conservative reflections on whether or not they are actual places of the U.S, regardless of the matter of fact landscape: 'Every address in America was listed in the zip code directory, and if Tierra Del Sueño wasn't there, I could throw away the card and forget all about it. But it was there. [...]...a proper town with a post office and its own five digit number' (*TBOI*: 4).

The melodrama structure of *The Book of Illusions* amounts to a new text derived from the ones that already exist: perplexing revelations, switched identities, sudden disappearances, improbable coincidences and overlapping pasts. The relation between David Zimmer, the narrator-protagonist, and the long forgotten comedian of the silent age Hector Mann paraphrases the story-telling routine. 'The Silent World of Hector Man', a study of Mann's film, is written by the Vermont literature teacher not as a biography. Only *The Book of Illusions* may be called a biography, and to some extent, an autobiography. As the story goes with Auster's novels, the trigger of their plots is the protagonist's recently suffered great loss or somebody's disappearance.

Even though the narrator claims to be the author of *The Book of Illusions*, the reader is right to consider Hector Mann to be the one who assumes the authorial role in the story, because his movies and disappearance have

generated the plot of the book David Zimmer is writing. Irrespective of the paternity dispute the novel hints at, this vernacular is embedded with obvious national references. They range from the literary canon, conveniently clustered in a library ('Hawthorne, Melville, Emerson and Thoreau' *TBOI*: 283), to the founding fathers of the 20<sup>th</sup> century cinema ('Chaplin, Keaton, Lloyd' *TBOI*: 10), or to casual fashion comments ('Unlike the hair of most American Women in June of 1928 ... *TBOI*: 39).

Zimmer decides to embark on the search for the twelve two-reel comedies Mann made in the late 1920s, before he walked out of his house one morning in January 1929 and vanished. This quest introduces to the reader the underpinnings of every noir story – the deadly occurrences. The narrator records quite a number of violent murders and a few demises. Several characters, usually female, die: the pregnant Brigid O'Fallon confronting the new fiancée of Hector, prior to his departure, Alma who, once Hector has expired, accidentally kills Frieda Spelling, his wife, and then commits suicide. In addition, the son of the Spellings died a long time ago too, after they moved to the New Mexico ranch. The dramatic device of death structures the plot and contextualizes much of the authorial intentions.

The focus on the US pop-culture of silent cinema is legitimating the author's actual mode of expression which, to all intents and purposes, does its best to mimic such media: 'Cut to Hector's office. Chase enters carrying something... [...]. What is it called? Hector asks' (*TBOI*: 41). The literary discourse takes over the trappings of motion picture and paraphrases their usage in the narrative unfolding of events. Alongside the literary manner proper to the author, the impersonation of the filmic communication amounts to a coherent discourse which internalizes skills particular to the target audience. *The Book of Illusions* derives an expository formula which depends for its meaning on the camerawork assumptions dormant in the movie-going audience of the twentieth century.

As far as its production and reception are concerned, the book is a cultural construct which complements the dramatic art of the big screen. The cinematic technique of the novel is layered, ranging from the above-mentioned story-board quotes to less obvious establishing frames that work up the audience to climactic resolutions: 'A paper bag rose up from the ground and flew into the window of Sam's Army-Navy Store; an empty soda can went clattering down the street toward the river; bullets of rain pelted the sidewalk' (*TBOI*: 95). This is the regular detective fiction setting the author is always keen to promote as a means to assemble the verifying circumstances for the male characters who are rooted in the template that gave to the world a leadership recipe coined in the Western genre (John Wayne, Clint Eastwood, etc.): '...I was knocking off more than half a bottle of whiskey every night' (*TBOI*: 8-9); '...looked like a second rate leading man...' (*TBOI*: 32); 'I don't think I was wrong to have held onto my secrets for all those years...' (*TBOI*: 318).

The borrowing of means and attitudes from dramatic arts, as they are over-simplified in Hollywood movies, is conducive to ‘the responsibilities of the novel as a medium for shaping our pasts and giving them public meaning’ (Morrison, 2003: 23).

The concept of the American ethos, in terms of shared beliefs inscribed in public narratives, is resistant to the idea of shaping up into a list of entries identifiable as such in a literary text. Respectively, *The Book of Illusions* is not the depository of a national ideology. Nevertheless, it presupposes the context of a cultural language which makes it intelligible: ‘Poland is remote country to Americans, far more remote than Germany...’ (*TBOI*: 84). The values which conceptualise U.S.A., by comparison with other contrastive ideologies and their in-between boundaries, are embedded in the fabric of the text. They totalise no brief list of topics prompted by a possible inventory. The original Promised Land comes with its current disclaimer which amends notions of equality under the law, safety of moral practices, transparency of public agenda, lawfully enforced coercion, etc. All of these challenges concern familiar parties – i.e., the characters and the readers. American popular culture circulates right or wrong designations which originate in a number of sources: truth as God-guided enterprise, the Protestant work-ethics, liberal and pragmatic standards, the exemplary new and virtuous republic, etc. To pursue the effective embodiments of similar insights seems doomed to wishful thinking. It is safe to say that they may very well be everything one can think of – the best case scenario for fallacious interpretation.

And yet, for instance, the author’s investigation of Hector’s epic ramblings about his birthplace and, generally, ancestry, is riddled with value-judgments which suffuse all orthodoxies. Moral commitments are intertwined in the biography pieced together from various interviews, with the underlying purpose of advocating cultural pluralism and, of course, civil liberties: ‘that’s where Sandusky, Ohio, comes into the picture. [...] The journalist is side-tracked, and instead of asking more questions about Poland, he [...] begins reminiscing with Hector about alfalfa fields of the Midwest. Stanislaw is located just south of the Dniestr River, halfway between Lvov and Czernowitz in the province of Galicia’ (*TBOI*: p 85). Bearing in mind the events of the plot, it becomes obvious that Hector failed in North America, the way his forefathers had in Eastern Europe.

The legitimation of the novel is provided by the cinematic lingua franca which turns out to be less of a conceptual abstraction than literature. It follows that the narrative accommodates cultural recognition rather than logical procedures. Consequently, everything boils down to the understanding that reflecting the norm (the most widely accepted views – and not the exceptional) is the likeliest choice in order to secure approval. Furthermore, fostering mistaken perceptions (sensory or intellectual), although the purported mission

of the book, is a policy that the author employs in order to downplay the stylistic proficiency of his original grand narrative – the trilogy. There is a debate on what is customary practice going on in the plot.

This argument is never settled and, yet, every party struggles, at given moments in time, to make its point: ‘In 1946, the conventions of moviemaking would have required the scene to end here’ (TBOI: 254.) As a result, the same twofold structure (*The New York Trilogy* vs. *The Book of Illusions*; the written text vs. the visual story; America vs. the world) binds the text together.

Intently or incidentally, the novel is a representational by-product of Auster’s prior experience. It is properly marketed to secure both the commercial venture, analogous to a Hollywood sequel, and the literary package, matching expectations of advised audiences. The previous statement is consistent with the story’s quotational camerawork technique which climaxes in the broadcast of pop-culture stock phrases. Conclusively, the popular story-telling and the ideological debate on false needs/consciousness firmly place the *The Book of Illusions* in the mainstream of the publishing industry.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Auster, P., 1987, *The New York Trilogy* (1985, *City of Glass*; 1986, *Ghosts*; 1986, *The Locked Room*, Los Angeles: Sun & Moon Press). London: Faber & Faber Ltd.
- Auster, P., 2002, *The Book of Illusions*, London: Faber & Faber Ltd.
- Bowman, P., 2007, *Post-Marxism versus Cultural Studies: Theory, Politics and Intervention*, Edinburgh U.P.
- Fiske, J., 2005, *Reading the Popular*, London and New York: Routledge
- Gramsci, A., 1971, *Prison Notebooks*, New York: International Publishers
- Jameson, F., 1981, *The Political Unconscious. Narrative as a Socially Symbolic Act*, New York: Cornell U.P.
- Layder, D., 2004, *Understanding Social Theory*, London, Thousand Oaks, New Delhi: Sage Publications Ltd
- McGuigan, J., 1992, *Cultural Populism*, London: Routledge
- Morrison, J, 2003, *Contemporary Fiction*, London and New York: Routledge
- Nan, L., 2003, *Social Theory. A Theory of Social Structure and Action*, Cambridge U.P. (Virtual Publishing)
- Rimmon Kenan, S, 2002, *Narrative Fiction. Contemporary Poetics*, London and New York: Routledge

## WESTERN VALUES AND MANIFEST DESTINY IN JOHN M. CUNNINGHAM'S *THE TIN STAR*

Codruț ȘERBAN,  
Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare” Suceava  
[codrutserban@litere.usv.ro](mailto:codrutserban@litere.usv.ro)

**Abstract:** This article approaches the symbolism of the sheriff badge in John M. Cunningham's short story, *The Tin Star*. It follows the moral dilemma of sheriff Doane, confronted with a Ricoeurian boundary situation, and his final decision to face history rather than try to escape it. The analysis focuses on interpreting the ways in which wearing a tin star changed one's destiny, transforming the individual into a historical tool, predestined to defend the values of Americanness.

**Keywords:** Americanness, manifest destiny, American West

Better known for its filmic adaptation as *High Noon*, John M. Cunningham's *The Tin Star* remains a classic of the Western literary genre, with a simple plot that accommodates all necessary elements of a typical frontier story. It follows the ever-successful recipe of a binary structure, good vs. evil, in an attempt to highlight the implacable, archetypal forces that conflicted at the dawn of Americanness.

In almost real historical time, this short-story questions some of the fundamental, consecrated values of the Old West – order, loyalty, freedom, to name the most relevant ones – through the mind of the main protagonist, sheriff Doane, who finds himself in the middle of a moral dilemma, which is likely to have repercussions upon his social existence. The set of values he adhered to for a lifetime seems to lose meaning when deputy Toby tells him that he intends to quit. But it is not fear that drives him to this conclusion, but the lack of belief in what was generally accepted to represent the western code of values, both moral and social: 'I'm not afraid. I'll see you through this shindig. I'm not afraid of Jordan or young Jordan or any of them. But I want to tell you know. I'll wait till Jordan's train gets in. I'll wait to see what he does. I'll see you through whatever happens. After that, I'm quitting.' (Cunningham, 1975, 209) Of course,

Toby's refusal to take over and continue the legacy of sheriff Doane has deeper meanings: he does not merely refuse a public position, but a way of life. In Toby's mind, it's not necessarily being a sheriff that loses meaning, but it is the type of existence associated with it that no longer interests him: 'What's the use of holding down a job like this? Look at you. What'd you ever get of it? Enough to keep you eating. And what for?' (Cunningham, 1975, 209) Thus, Toby clearly states his distrust in the set of values normally associated with a sheriff of the Old West. He can see no positive outcome at the personal level because being a sheriff implied a total surrender of one's existence to the needs of the job. And it was not a job one could adapt to personal needs, but a job one could only adapt to without much negotiation. Being a sheriff implied the open acceptance of a predestined existence, in which the individual transformed into a tool in the service of American history. Apparently, a sheriff no longer lived for himself, but for history. He was transformed into an agent of Americanness, pushing forward and imposing, often by force, the values required to settle, that is, to Americanize, an ever-transforming and moving frontier. A sheriff led a double existence and belonged to two different, yet converging histories: on the one hand, he belonged to his local community, identifiable as micro history, which he had to protect, and on the other hand, he belonged to macro history, which defined and determined his destiny. Caught between the two, he had to mediate his existence between his inner self and his social self, constructing or reconstructing, adjusting or readjusting himself so as to fit the position. And, by questioning the meaning of this, Toby questions the meaning of American history. He no longer wants to belong to a history that is not his own, but is rather imposed on him by forces larger than he can control. In his understanding, being a sheriff meant not only lending your existence to the community and, by doing so, lending it to national history, but also risking it all for apparently no outcome. For Toby, being a sheriff, associated with an inevitable surrender of the self, has now become void of meaning. He refuses to become an agent of history, to be one of those who make history happen, and seems to prefer instead a more passive role, retiring within the community, where history moves at a slower pace and is likely to take less out of the individual. While being an active agent of history gives nothing to the individual, quitting the front row of a fast moving historical system provides at least a hope for survival. The pressure of history is less intense if one steps out of the center of the historical system and stays on the margin. To be more specific, the West is easier to cope with if you are not the one pushing it forward, but the one being pushed forward with it. All risks are taken and annihilated by those who push the West westward, who make the West meet its own destiny; those who come behind follow a smooth road. While the former take on the wild side of the West, the latter enjoy its tamed version. And this is Toby's wish: to take a step backward and stay with those who enjoy a safe ride

across the frontier. Sheriff Doane doesn't contradict him. Having placed his entire life in the service of the West, he now admits he cannot see much meaning in it: 'That's right. They don't even hang the right ones. You risk your life catching somebody, and the damned juries let them go so they can come back and shoot at you. You're poor all your life, you got to do everything twice, and in the end they pay you off in lead. So you can wear a tin star. It's a job for a dog, son.' (Cunningham, 1975, 209)

The tin star becomes an intriguing and multi-layered symbol not necessarily of the position of authority usually associated with a sheriff, but rather of a way of life which imposes itself on the individual through a set of values which, apparently, cannot simply be discarded. The tin star functions as a reminder of a predestined existence which one cannot give up. It becomes a symbol of the pressure of history upon the individual – an individual left only two alternatives: one would be to accept history and the other one to escape it. And, in my opinion, it is around this situation that lies the moral dilemma of the whole text: how should sheriff Doane react when confronted with the usual inevitability of (American) history: should he accept his destiny or should he try to run away from it? The community for which he stands strongly encourages him to leave and give personal life a chance, while his inner self constantly reminds him that his only acceptable alternative is to stay and face whatever is to come. From this perspective, the community behind sheriff Doane becomes an atypical one – the West was created, as a space and as a history, by pioneering spirits, driven forward by undaunted courage. Fear, cowardice and refusal to either face or accept history were not among the typical features of such a community. Perhaps that is why John Wayne, who had been offered the role, referred to this as being the most un-American thing he had ever heard of. The reaction of the community contradicts the core values of the type of Americanness generally associated with the West. They don't fit any of the two major theories which attempted to define the way the West was won and the nation was built. Thus, the community in *Tin Star* lies somewhere in-between Buffalo Bill's perspective (according to which the West was built with the help of the gun) and the famous turnerian frontier theory (according to which the West was built by hard-working hands). In my opinion, they are neither heroes, nor cowards. Instead of being those who push the values forward, instead of facing, assuming, and controlling history, they choose to lay low in order to escape the pressure of history. In Ricoeurian terms, this community faces a 'boundary situation' which asks for a preconditioned reaction. According to Ricoeur, when a community's identity is threatened, it will seek refuge in its mythical structures: 'There are certain boundary situations, such as war, suffering, guilt, death, in which the individual or community experiences a fundamental crisis. At such moments, the whole community is put into question. For it is only when it is threatened with destruction from without or from within

that a society is compelled to return to very roots of its identity; to that mythical nucleus which ultimately grounds and determines it.' (Ricoeur, 1991, 484) However, the community in Cunningham's short-story behaves differently and the responsibility of activating the core values is transferred to the sheriff. The cradle of the values that have to stand is the tin star. Through the tin star, sheriff Doane becomes the repository of the Western code, the guard of identity, the representative of the American spirit. The tin star transforms him into a pushing engine of Americanness. Therefore, I consider that the tin star is history itself, forcing the individual to act based on a prewritten scheme, one which echoes the ideals behind the concept of manifest destiny, which was developed by John O'Sullivan in 1845 'to overspread the continent allotted by Providence for the free development of our yearly multiplying millions.' (O'Sullivan, 1839) But the roots of this concept go deeper into American history, back to the colonial beginnings. The tin star not only encompasses significant elements of the manifest destiny policy, but it also echoes some of the destinarianism that characterized America's beginnings. 'English Protestantism, early on, had developed a notion of England as not only spatially but also spiritually separate from the European continent, as the bastion of true religion and chief source of its expansion: a place divinely singled out for higher missions.' (Stephanson, 1995, 3) The tin star that sheriff Doane keeps in his hands is a re-encoded mytheme of the space and spirituality that stood at the basis of America. It is the basic, irreducible unit of Americanness, it is the idea of America itself. Just like the Separatist Puritans were driven across the ocean by the idea of America, so is sheriff Doane driven back into the main course of American history by a symbol of that idea. His destiny is charged with a sense of mission which annihilates the individual and forces him into abandonment of the self and surrender to the mission itself. This sense of mission has always been part of American history, and responding to the call of mission has been the key element of the American spirit. A spirit which leaves sheriff Doane without the possibility of personal choice and offers him the only acceptable, and therefore American, alternative: embarking on the ship that takes that spirit across the new 'oceans' of the American West. His duty is to save the *idea of the tin star* and, because of that, he cannot leave town. The tin star is like a mark on his chest which allows no behavioral variation and offers him no middle road to approach history. The tin star stands as a reminder of the fact that he is an actor playing on the vast and tumultuous scene of macro history. Leaving town would have been acceptable for the *individual* Doane, a normal individual seeking refuge from a threatening situation (or an overwhelming historical pressure), but that cannot be the solution for *sheriff* Doane, in spite of all encouragements to do so. The tin star gives him an identity different from that of the common individual within himself, and his only choice is to act upon it. As a human being, he is afraid and he trembles, and that is not a condemnable behavior, but

as a sheriff, he must respond to the call of duty, he must respond to the call of America. 'His knees began to quiver very slightly and he began to walk, very slowly, down the road. (...) He began to hurry, stumbling a little in the ruts. And then he stopped short, his face open in fear. 'My God, my empty horse, those shots-Toby, no!' He began to run, shambling, awkward and stumbling, his face ashen.'(Cunningham, 1975, 221) Such was the reaction of the man behind the tin star; nonetheless, the man wearing the star fulfilled his mission, like he was supposed to. If we were to return to the ricœurian concept of the boundary situation, sheriff Doane can be singled out as being both the repository of mythical values and the actant of those values. He saves the community by acting upon them. And, in the end, I consider that it is not actually Doane who faces a boundary situation, but the tin star, and, through it, the pioneering, westering American spirit. Doane becomes a necessary tool for American history to pursue its goals. He basically shares the same behavior as the rest of the community, only that, because of the tin star, he acts differently – and in Cunningham's text, 'different' means 'American.' Thus, the role attributed to him through the tin star, as a defender and protector of American national values, gives him a universal value, with strong prophetic connotations. He mixes the two elements of manifest destiny – 'a particular (and particularly powerful) nationalism constituting itself not only as prophetic but also universal.' (Stephanson, 1995, xiii) What is at stake in this short story apart from Doane's moral dilemma? The answer should not be a surprise: the ever-treasured American ideal of liberty. And this takes us back to the very beginning of the text, where Doane explains the ways in which wearing a tin star could affect one's destiny: 'That's right, Toby. It's all for free. The headaches, the bullets and everything, all for free. I found that out long ago. But somebody's got to be around and take care of things. I like it free. You know what I mean? You don't get a thing for it. You've got to risk everything. And you're free inside. Like the larks. You know the larks? How they get up in the sky and sing when they want to? A pretty bird. A very pretty bird. That's the way I like to feel inside.' (Cunningham, 1975, 210) Freedom of the spirit is what ultimately motivates Doane to act the way he does. The tin star subjugates the individual, but it also encircles him with a type of freedom whose meanings are deeply American. The sheriff almost inevitably associates this idea of freedom with a bird (the lark), only that, when he actually sees a lark flying, it flies above the cemetery near the town. There is freedom in death, too. And there is meaning in it: the idea of the tin star survives and, at the end of text, it is transferred on to Toby who, while witnessing Doane's death, assimilates the values and symbols the latter stood for. In the opening dialogues of the text, Toby could not associate the tin star with anything truly valuable, less so with freedom: 'That's the way you look at it. I don't see it. I've only got one life. You talk about doing it all for nothing, and that gives you something. What?'

(Cunningham, 1975, 210) Now, after the sheriff's death, he feels ready to give up that one life and take on the tin star – a decision that would transform him into a *somebody* in the service of a higher mission, grander than the mere survival of the self: adopting and defending the set of values and the type of freedom Doane died for. 'Shut up, Toby said. 'Shut up or get out.' His eyes were sharp and his face placid and set. He turned to another of the men. 'Get the doc,' he said. 'I've got a busted leg. *And I've got a lot to do.*'" (Cunningham, 1975, 222) The lark keeps flying through the new keeper of the tin star. And through the star, the American spirit keeps flying. Like a lark, singing his melodious song. The charming song of triumphant Americanness.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Cawelti, John G., 1984. *The Six-Gun Mystique*. Bowling Green State University Press
- Cunningham, John M., 1975. *The Tin Star*, in Durham and Jones, 209-222
- Durham, Philip and Everett L. Jones (eds.), 1975. *The Western Story. Fact, Fiction, and Myth*, New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Inc.
- Murdoch, David Hamilton, 2001. *The American West. The Invention of a Myth*. Reno: University of Nevada Press
- Ricoeur, Paul, 1991. *Myth as a Bearer of Possible Worlds*, in Valdés, 482-490
- Stephanson, Anders, 1995. *Manifest Destiny: American Expansion and the Empire of Right*, New York: Hill and Wang
- Valdés, Mario J. (ed.), 1991. *Reflection & Imagination. A Ricoeur Reader*, New York: Harvester Wheatsheaf
- White, Richard and Patricia Nelson Limerick, 1994. *The Frontier in American Culture*. Berkeley: University of California Press

## THUS SPAKE BOETHIUS! – IGNATIAN INTIMATIONS OF BOETHIAN WISDOM IN JOHN KENNEDY TOOLE’S *A CONFEDERACY OF DUNCES*

Dan-Nicolae POPESCU,  
Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare” Suceava  
[dan\\_ppsc@yahoo.com](mailto:dan_ppsc@yahoo.com)

**Abstract:** The present article is devoted to John Kennedy Toole’s iconic anti-hero, Ignatius Reilly, more precisely to his misreading of the novel’s hypertext (*The Consolation of Philosophy* by Roman philosopher Boethius). In accordance with several authoritative voices in the field of Toolean studies, the article explores the Boethius-Ignatius-Toole triangle and argues that Ignatius, for all his blighted worldview, exemplifies a paradigm of the human condition that sometimes affords a reject the liberty to reject his rejecters.

**Keywords:** *A Confederacy of Dunces*, Boethius, hypertext, proairesis, human condition

The mother-son hospital scene in Chapter Thirteen of *A Confederacy of Dunces* features an overtly farcical denunciation of the novel’s professed hypertext, Boethius’s *The Consolation of Philosophy*, as John Kennedy Toole pokes fun at both his protagonist and at the gullible readers who take Ignatius’s bombast for Boethian insight. However much the protagonist may have delved into the sixth-century philosophical opus, it is his beliefs that betray his weltanschauung (*CD*, 61) as blighted; it is clear that the authoritative value he bestows upon the early medieval classic is not backed up by a profound understanding of the book’s message.

Toole’s narrative also contains clues that empower the reader to read *Confederacy of Dunces* as a modern parody of Boethius’s life and philosophical work, in accordance with what Richard Keller Simon calls a pattern of rotation and repetition (1994, 101). Boethius informs the readers of *De Consolatione Philosophiae* that his fall from grace at the court of Emperor Theodoric occurred because of his allegiance to the older Roman Senate rather than to the

Ostrogoth emperor. Consequently, the Emperor had one of his agents forge Boethius's signature on a letter addressed to the Eastern emperor of Constantinople so as to frame him as part of a conspiracy and thus accuse him of treason and throw him in jail. Toole's modern repetition has Ignatius forge Mr. Levy's signature on the letter he writes to I. Abelman, favor the Middle Ages over the twentieth century, and live on Constantinople street (*ibid.*, 109).

According to another tradition, the terror inflicted upon the Romans by the Ostrogoth emperor determined Boethius to contact the Byzantine emperor: Theodoric was a follower of Arianism, whereas Justin I of Constantinople, as well as the Roman subjects of Theodoric including Boethius, observed the provisions of the First Council of Nicaea (*AD*, 325). In response to Theodoric's persecutions against the Nicaean Christians, Justin I retaliated persecuting the Arian Christians of Constantinople. Apparently, Boethius was caught in the middle as the Roman insider of the Byzantines and charged with high treason.

Similarly, Mr. Levy persecutes the employees of Levy Pants (e.g. at his wife's insistence, he won't allow Miss Trixie to retire, while the Negro workers receive little above the minimal wage), while Ignatius 'sends word to Abelman in Kansas City not to bring Levy back to Christian faith<sup>1</sup> but to the cause of Jewish dry goods manufacture' (*ibid.*). Boethius's apparently unjust imprisonment and ensuing despair are satirically repeated in Mr. Levy's gesture of firing Ignatius, which expels him to the dungeon-like home on Constantinople Street, where he is also seized by despair, as attested by the scathing entries in his journal. Repetition and rotation mark Ignatius's trajectory as a prophet manqué:

"Boethius exhorts others to believe in God while Ignatius exhorts others to believe in Boethius. Boethius explains that he left the retirement of his library to put Plato's teaching into practice, to allow the state to be ruled by according to philosophy. It is for a similar reason, finally, that Ignatius understands why he must leave his room on Constantinople Street, littered with his own writings. He desires to bring the principles of theology and geometry to the world, and Boethius is the author of books on theology and geometry". (*ibid.*)

Otherwise, Ignatius is forever complaining about the whimsical character of Fortuna, fancying himself a sort of twentieth-century Boethius, a captive in the prison of modern life. What he fails to understand is that, according to Lady Philosophy, the world moves on paths that are preordained

---

<sup>1</sup> The letter addressed to "Abelman's Dry Goods Kansas City, Missouri U.S.A., Mr. I. Abelman, Mongoloid, Esq." contains the following allusion to faith: "(Our loyal and dependable outlets can vend any trouser bearing the Levy label no matter how abominable their design and construction. You are apparently a faithless people.)", and ends in tones of menacing majesty: "If you molest us again, sir, you may feel the sting of the lash across your pitiful shoulders. Yours in anger, Gus Levy, Pres." (*CD*, 105)

by so much more than happenstance, that people are not inescapably strapped down to Fortune's Wheel and their lives partake a loftier design, where the divine gift of free will awards them reward for virtue and punishment for sin. As Michael Kline observes, 'the narratively sequential and the seemingly haphazard grotesque cohabit fruitfully in the reprises of Boethius's *The Consolation of Philosophy*' (1999, 287).

Indeed, Toole aptly indicates to the reader how shallow Ignatius's understanding of Boethius really is whenever the protagonist calls upon the indiscriminating goddess of fate. Unmindful of the subordination that Fortune owes Providence with regard to human destiny, Ignatius divides his otherwise stale life in upward and downward cycles spun on Fortune's Wheel. For example, his unflinching antidote against the ravages of a bad cycle is encapsulated in the following piece of advice, subtly undermined by Toole's background commentary: 'When Fortuna spins you downward, go out to a movie and get more out of life. Ignatius was about to say this to himself; then he remembered that he went to the movies almost every night, no matter which way Fortuna was spinning' (CD, 65). Furthermore, appeals to the gnomic relevance of his worldview and elaborate invocations of Fortuna are frequently accompanied by sonorous belches: 'You must realize the fear and hatred which my weltanschauung instills in people.' Ignatius belched.' (CD, 60-61); 'Oh, Fortuna, blind, heedless goddess, I am strapped to your wheel,' Ignatius belched. 'Do not crush me beneath your spokes. Raise me on high, divinity' (CD, 35).

During Mrs. Reilly's explanatory session in the hospital, Ignatius is finally granted a chance to understand Boethius's philosophical rationale, and how the ultimate order of the universe is derived from the unchanging mind of God, who, 'in his Providence constructs a single fixed plan of all that is to happen, while it is by means of Fate that all He has planned is realized in its many individual details in the course of time' (Boethius, 1969, 105). As Mrs. Reilly describes the venturesome circuit<sup>2</sup> of Ignatius's English translation of *De Consolatione Philosophiae* and returns the book to him, he appears to be possessed by a brief state of illumination, being 'unknowingly wiser than ever he supposes' (Clark, 1987, 273).

He surely acts on impulse when he decides to find the misused medievalist, and it is his professed worldview – operating with typologies instead of individuals; relying on chance rather than volition – that leads him astray, far from the course of deliberate action. For all his theatrical lamentation, the

---

<sup>2</sup> The book is lent by Ignatius to Patrolman Mancuso to comfort him during the long surveillance hours he spends in the rest room at the bus station; when Mancuso nearly apprehends George, the latter grabs the book from under the agent's arm, hits him upside the head with it, and runs away; finally, Lana Lee employs the stolen volume in her latest, and last, pornographic project.

protagonist shows timid signs of appropriation with regard to the fact that it is more than mere determinism and medieval allegory about the concatenation of apparent coincidences that conjoin in the shaping of human destiny:

“Oh, my God! This has all been arranged, Ignatius screamed, rattling the huge edition in his paws. ‘I see it all now. I told you long ago that that mongoloid Mancuso was our nemesis. Now he has struck his final blow. How innocent I was to lend him this book. How I've been duped. ... Taken in by a Third Reich strumpet hiding her depraved face behind my very own book, the very basis of my worldview. Oh, Mother, if only you knew how cruelly I've been tricked by a conspiracy of subhumans. Ironically, the book of Fortuna is itself bad luck. Oh, Fortuna, you degenerate wanton!’” (*CD*, 399)

Ignatius's characterological inconclusiveness is best expressed in the frequent externalizations of his beliefs. To the very end of the novel, the protagonist purposefully pictures himself as a Christian stoic, whose contentment with his current position and acceptance of Fortuna's whimsical decrees are to be admired and emulated. This is why he lends Patrolman Mancuso the philosophical tract in the first place, although he scoffs at the wretched policeman with every occasion and thinks lowly of him: a hater of the middle class, whom he assimilates with materialism, cupidity, moral relativism and ambition, Ignatius cherishes hopes – deluded as they are – to disrupt its social entropy by engendering a circle of ego-titillating Boethian proselytes.

This is why he makes mention of the Roman philosopher to a host of other characters: Mr. Clyde, Lana Lee and Darlene, Dorian Greene, even Burma Jones. Operating as usual with generalizations, oblivious of such urgent matters as deprivation and poverty, as well as of the ravages of social discrimination, a self-aggrandizing Ignatius lectures the colored janitor of the Night of Joy:

“You can't possibly realize how confused you are. Your value judgments are all wrong. When you get to the top or wherever it is that you want to go, you'll have a nervous breakdown or worse. Do you know of any Negroes with ulcers? Of course not. Live contentedly in some hovel. Thank Fortuna that you have no Caucasian parent hounding you. Read Boethius. ... Boethius will show you that striving is ultimately meaningless, that we must learn to accept. ... If only I were in your shoes. I would stir from my room only once a month to fumble for my relief check in the mailbox. Realize your good fortune”. (*CD*, 349-350)

While Jones remains unimpressed by the redemptive nature of stoicism as the needs that press him are a matter of material immediacy, not the portent of a spiritual crisis (‘The fat mother was really a freak.’ (*CD*, 350), Jones thinks to himself.), Patrolman Mancuso offers the reader a different perspective, from which Boethius's book is not regarded as the miraculous liberating solution in times of adversity, but rather as a means to conjure up the soothing quality of

consolation in such times and nothing more. In the clutches of the contemporary world, the nature of such consolation is at best transient, if not spurious.<sup>3</sup>

As Christopher Brown points out, ‘Our attention to Ignatius can be likened to Mancuso’s reaction to Boethius’ (Brown, 2009, 5). Mancuso’s opinion of Boethian wisdom pinpoints the novel’s ultimate allegorical framework, according to which modern life affords man only the consolation of illusion and no viable resolution, stripping him of any agency of action:

“He looked again at *The Consolation of Philosophy*, which was opened on his lap, and turned a limp, damp page. The book was making him more depressed... Patrolman Mancuso felt sorry for the guy and felt obliged to read what he had written. So far he had covered only about twenty pages and was beginning to wonder whether this Boethius was something of a gambler. He was always talking about fate and odds and the wheel of fortune. Anyway, it wasn’t the kind of book that exactly made you look up to the brighter side”. (CD, 221-2)

Stranded in the men’s room at the bus station, Patrolman Mancuso cannot derive any consolation from the teachings of Lady Philosophy as he lacks the intellectual instruments afforded by proper education and consequently fails to see any relation of causality in his plight. Lady Philosophy counsels Boethius to accept suffering, as suffering is not a sign that God has abandoned humanity or refused to impose order upon human life, but rather a purveyor of hope: in effect, human suffering carries a purpose, which man cannot always discern but should always regard as an opportunity for self-improvement.

Even though this lesson seems to be lost on the patrolman, to whom, unlike Ignatius, escapism is not an option, the Boethian vision of God’s overall design reaffirms the ultimate rightness of the universe with regard to his fate, when expert police shunner Lana Lee is finally tricked by his otherwise ineffective disguises and apprehended. Despite this and the handful of fortunate resolutions that the novel spins, *The Consolation of Philosophy*, with its ultimate bleak perspective on life (Book Five), fails to make for an adequate existential manifesto, when put in conjunction with the novel’s teleological dimension.

As one arrived at a wider philosophical understanding of the world, Boethius concludes his opus in cautionary advice headed for all those who suffer unjustly under the spokes of Fortune’s Wheel: it is human virtues not human vices that get elevated to a god-like status, to be sure, and God-centered, altruistic love is available to all men, not just heroes and sages, but an attitude of uncompromising trust in God’s rightful oneness will not miraculously deliver

---

<sup>3</sup> In Hugh Ruppersburg’s opinion, Ignatius’s character reflects exquisitely the inherent confusion and hopelessness of modern life: “He [Ignatius] is the essence of modern man – disembodied, alienated, deluded, spiritually disenfranchised, fundamentally absurd” (1986, 124).

a person from physical imprisonment; it will only palliate his/her worst fears that the world outside has become a prison itself.

The path to the true happiness of serenity and acceptance is perplexed by abstraction and cold detachment, as the ‘consolation which philosophy lends Boethius is a state of mind, a lens by which to rationalize his predicament’ (ibid., 6, *underlining mine*). If one manages to overlook the undercurrent that makes *The Consolation of Philosophy* a hypertextual fetish in Toole’s novel, then the philosophical tract and *Confederacy of Dunces* share something in common: they both appear to convey the reader’s attention toward a false ending that exalts a specific content without offering a final resolution. While Boethius’s book extols man’s dignified stance in times of outrageous misfortune, his stoic acceptance of any predicament, Toole’s novel ‘exults in episodes of the quotidian, the grotesque, and the hilarious’ (ibid., 7), occurring in a microcosm of modern life that allows for any conceivable form of corruption. When they don’t indulge in corruption and perversity, Toole’s characters engage them with counter-reactive endeavors, however absurd and meaningless.

The connection of these endeavors with reality is often hazy since the characters’ motivations frequently evade the logic inherent in a teleological narrative. Ignatius’ narrow escape at the end thwarts the readers’ expectations of a resolution that keeps pace with each correspondent episodic thread, which affords the novel’s end a relief from too much responsibility of significance. Analyzing the novel’s structure of continuity, Michael Kline calls upon the Aristotelian notion of *proairesis*<sup>4</sup>, or deliberate choice, applied to literary criticism by Roland Barthes, according to which the reader of a narrative possesses a deliberative capability as to the outcome of a course of action predetermined by a cause-and-effect relationship of events, ‘regardless of how twisted they appear to have been’ (1999, 288).

Since all the resolutions in *Confederacy of Dunces* are engendered by metonymic links of cause and effect that do not operate logically, the *proairetic* process turns the reader into a detective who attempts to reorder the operational mechanisms of the metonymic process, upset by strange combinations of events, while inviting him/her ‘to interpret the trope non-seriously, providing a pleasurable release from the rational linking of signs that nonhumorous rhetorical figures demand’ (ibid.). Toole’s further stress upon the unresolvedness of his narrative is metonymically achieved by the functional gap that engulfs the openness of Myrna’s and Ignatius’s fate(s). Generally speaking, narrative unresolvedness possesses a universal value with regard to the human condition, as it accounts for its ambivalence, skepticism, and fragility.

It is ambivalence that exemplifies best the fallen state of human nature: forever caught between the misappropriating poles of contemplation and

---

<sup>4</sup> Cf. Aristotle’s *Nicomachean Ethics* (Ἠθικά Νικομάχεια), written around 350 BC.

action, man undergoes transformation, sometimes in spite of himself. Resolution is awaited and dreaded at the same time, which makes people permanent fugitives. If we do away with the interpretation of Toole's novel as an act of sublimation that engenders the freeing form of the carnivalesque, Mrs. Reilly's bitter reproach addressed to her son: 'You learnt everything, Ignatius, except how to be a human being.' (CD, 427) might as well be directed at humanity by and large as indicative of the plight of the human condition – we are born human beings; what we have to learn is how to live with this.

Mrs. Reilly's imputation invokes an 'empathetic feeling between the reader and Ignatius' (Brown, 2009, 5), as we all find Ignatian traits of character in ourselves: fear, confusion, uncertainty, selfishness, procrastination, callousness, and possibly many others. Ignatius's reply to his ill-affected mother: 'It's not your fate to be well treated,' Ignatius cried. 'You're an overt masochist. Nice treatment will confuse and destroy you.' (CD, 427-428) baffles the reader with its indelibly diversionary quality; beyond his apparent delusion, Ignatius 'wins us to his rotund and ever-wheezing side' (Ruppersburg, 1986, 125), as in his unfeeling response one detects the iteration of existentialism's unremitting anguish: What if God has forsaken man?

Even though the reader is initially repulsed by Ignatius's grotesqueness, manifested through his plentiful collection of shortcomings, empathetic connections are made by virtue of common features: most of the time, Ignatius acts as a blameworthy fool, but don't we all sometimes? The author mitigates the possible conflict between his protagonist and the readers by appealing to their common quality, or curse, as fellow-sufferers of the tribulations inherent in the human condition. Indeed, Ignatius may well be the caricature of debased modern humanity to the very end of the novel, but his offhand manner of going through life, his hedonistic cynicism, and his professed incongruity with the world unleash an irresistible sense of fun that engenders the liberating laughter of Menippean satire (Brown, 2009, 8).

Certain similarities between Ignatius and J. K. Toole (the presence of a controlling mother, the protagonist's sense of intellectual solitude, the manuscript with an editorial potential) might bring about the temptation to read *Confederacy of Dunces* in an autobiographical key. As Richard Keller Simon puts it, 'The novel is, among other things, a four-hundred-page suicide note' (1994, 104). The writing of the book must have afforded Toole a powerful cathartic discharge, while its rejection by several publishers, Simon & Schuster of New York being the most notable, hid the features of his face behind an unabated mask of despair and sealed his adherence to the Swiftian mistrust of humanity. Contemplating in retrospect the story of the novel's publication, we can almost sense that Ignatius's unflattering portrayal as an image of self-degradation is a hypostasis of Toole's self-mockery.

In an age of no positive certainties, Ignatius fancies himself to be the genius of Swift's epigraph; his story is a reject's rejection of his rejecters. Unable to achieve anything of some momentum and forever held back by a 'conspiracy of subhumans' – the 'confederacy of dunces' in the epigraph – Ignatius transcends the status of a grotesque jester, fills with resignation, and derives his sole sense of motivation from the pleasure afforded by ridiculing the others, while as a prophetic trickster he offers a revelation of plenitude. Reminding us that 'the satiric vision can border dangerously on profound and helpless despair' (McNeil, 1984-1985, 47), the ending of *Confederacy of Dunces*, beyond the salvific and celebratory nature of the comic justice it reveals in, singles out the protagonist as the apt alter ego of his creator, as well as the discomfiting, non sequitur dimension of the human condition.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Boethius, 1969. *The Consolation of Philosophy*, trans. Victor Watts, New York: Penguin Books;
- Brown, Christopher, 2009. "Closure in 'A Confederacy of Dunces', Or, the Tragicomic Menippean Exultation in the Lack Therereof", in *Literary Study II: Prose Fiction*, Dr. Waterman Ward, May 9, pp. 1-13;
- Clark, William Bedford, 1987. "All Toole's Children: A Reading of 'A Confederacy of Dunces'", in *Essays in Literature*, vol. 14, no. 2, (Fall), pp. 269-280;
- Kline, Michael, 1999. "Narrating the Grotesque: The Rhetoric of Humor in John Kennedy Toole's A Confederacy of Dunces", in *The Southern Quarterly*, (Spring-Summer), pp. 283-291;
- McNeil, David, 1984-1985. "'A Confederacy of Dunces' as Reverse Satire: The American Subgenre", in *Mississippi Quarterly*, (Winter), pp. 33-47;
- Ruppensburg, Hugh, 1986. "The South and John Kennedy Toole's A Confederacy of Dunces", in *Studies in American Humor*, (Summer-Fall), pp. 118-126;
- Simon, Richard Keller, 1994. "John Kennedy Toole and Walker Percy: Fiction and Repetition in 'A Confederacy of Dunces'", in *Texas Studies in Literature and Language* (Spring), pp. 99-116;
- Toole, John Kennedy, 1996. *A Confederacy of Dunces*, New York: Wings Books.

## CARTOGRAFIIND AMERICA: JOCURILE VIDEO *OPEN WORLD* ÎNTRE ESCAPISM ȘI SUBVERSIUNE

Petru Ioan MARIAN,  
Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare”, Suceava  
[petricosu1234@yahoo.com](mailto:petricosu1234@yahoo.com)

**Abstract:** Just like any other product of media culture, video games are vessels for cultural and social meaning. Despite their seeming gratuity, these texts make a political statement on what truly matters in today's society. Video games are a space of liberty and subversion which challenges mainstream discourse while offering alternative readings of reality.

*Grand Theft Auto IV, Liberty City* is a game about social relations within a Darwinian world of free initiative, an ironic replica of Neoliberal America where the strongest and the most intrepid have the upper hand. It follows the story of Niko Bellic, a self made man, as he strives to pursue the American Dream. The hero goes deep into the obscure criminal underworld, making his way to the top of the social ladder by illegal means.

The game is a complex allegory based on consistent allusions to social relations that structure reality.

**Keywords:** *open world* video games, pleasure, subversion, frontiers, America

Ca orice produs al culturii media, jocurile video sunt purtătoare ale unor înțelesuri culturale și sociale: în ciuda aparentei lor gratuități, aceste texte fac o declarație politică despre ceea ce contează cu adevărat în societatea în care trăim.

Fiind forme de sublimare a realității, aceste materializări ale culturii populare pot fi interpretate ca povești mitologice<sup>1</sup> prin intermediul căroră cultura contemporană, răsfrântă autotelic, explică a serie de aspecte esențiale ale mecanismului său de funcționare. Pe de o parte, ele servesc validării, întăririi culturii dominante, îndeplinind o funcție pedagogică: aceea de a ne învăța care sunt cele mai importante valori, care este limita dintre bine și rău, care sunt

---

<sup>1</sup> Interpretăm mitul dintr-o perspectivă apropiată utilizării date de Roland Barthes în *Mitologii*, acolo unde acest concept e folosit pentru a descrie procesul prin care „orice obiect din lume trece de la o existență închisă, mută, la o stare orală, deschisă apropierei societății”. (n.n.)

comportamentele acceptabile și care este structura relațiilor de putere în societatea capitalistă patriarhală.

Pe de altă parte, în contrast cu funcția lor propedeutică, jocurile video sunt și un spațiu al libertății și subversiunii, care contestă discursul dominant și oferă lecturi alternative ale realității.

Dualitatea lor decurge din statutul ambiguu al culturii populare a căror expresie sunt și din ambivalența recunoscută a jocului ca formă culturală, care e, în același timp, un laborator pentru socializarea individului, dar și un spațiu al gratuității, libertății și chiar al contestării normelor.

*Grand Theft Auto IV, Liberty City* este un joc despre socializare într-o lume darwiniană a liberei inițiative, care este o replică ironică a Americii neoliberale în care primează dreptul celui mai puternic și întrepid. Scopul esențial al acestui joc video este acumularea de capital, prin orice mijloace, care să asigure eroului accesul la bunuri, la servicii și la un statut social superior.

E povestea care îl are în centru pe Niko Bellic, un imigrant est-european proaspăt debarcat din cala unei nave în tărâmul făgăduinței, un *self made man* cu un trecut încărcat, portretizat în acțiunea sa de îndeplinire a visului american. Vindecându-se de orice iluzie care îl însoțise în *Lumea nouă*, eroul se orientează rapid, după ce lucrează o vreme ca șofer de taxi la firma vărului său, și se aventurează cu abilitate prin hățișul obscur al criminalității organizate din Liberty City.

Deși butaforie, înscenare îngroșată adesea până la caricatură, *GTA IV* are ca principal reper America și valorile sale, iar Liberty City este o replică ficțională metonimică destul de transparentă a New-York-ului contemporan. Descriind traseul infracțional al eroului, autorii jocului oferă în sinopsis-ul de pe ultima copertă a discului o primă definiție a *visului american*: „Bine ai venit în Liberty City! Ce mai înseamnă visul american astăzi? Pentru Niko Bellic, abia venit din Europa, este speranța de a scăpa de propriul trecut. Pentru vărul său, Roman, este viziunea că împreună se vor îmbogăți în Liberty City, poarta către tărâmul tuturor oportunităților. Cum se afundă în datorii și sunt atrași în subteranele lumii criminale de o serie de persoane fără scrupule, hoți și sociopați, ei descoperă că realitatea este foarte diferită de vis într-un oraș care idolatrizează banii și statutul și care este raiul pentru cei ce au și un coșmar pentru cei care nu au.”<sup>2</sup>

Jocul este, în esență, povestea romanțată despre cum individul lipsit de resurse economice și culturale, trăitor într-o lume care glorifică posesia de bunuri și un stil de viață opulent, găsește mijloace alternative pentru a-și satisface dorințele, croindu-și drum cu inventivitate infracțională spre vârful piramidei sociale.

Mediul experienței ludice devine realitatea cu mii de fețe a spațiului citadin care își găsește ca emblemă metafora *labirintului* și care înseamnă, printre altele, și o anatomizare a cotidianului: spațiul e trupul care trebuie cucerit, posedat, domesticit. Asemănător oarecum *Lolitei* lui Vladimir Nabokov,

---

<sup>2</sup> [www.rockstargames.com/iv](http://www.rockstargames.com/iv)

în care o nimfă aparent naivă se lasă sedusă de o Europă experimentată și perversă, *GTA IV* este istoria simbolică și răsturnată a cuceririi *Lumii noi*: America, de această dată o matroană versată și fanată, îl inițiază pe tânărul imigrant candid. În decorul de beton, asfalt și sticlă al Liberty City, traversând periferiile mizere și ajungând până în centrul financiar de lumini și ispite, se scrie bilgdunsroman-ul, povestea uceniciei alter ego-ului nostru intradiegetic.

Obiect al controverselor și al dezbaterilor multiple, cauzate mai ales de limbajul explicit, de atitudinea sexistă și de caracterul profund violent și antisocial al acțiunilor pe care eroul trebuie să le întreprindă pentru a avansa în joc (furturi de autoturisme, tâlhării, asasinat la comandă, omoruri întâmplătoare sau jafuri armate), *GTA IV* intră, cam din aceleași motive, în atenția cercetătorilor de orientări diferite, de la sociologi interesați de efectele violenței mass-media asupra consumatorilor tineri, la antropologi ai cotidianului, ludologi preocupați de aplicarea teoriei jocului, culturologi de factură neomarxistă sau feministe îngrijorate de perpetuarea stereotipurilor de gen.

Jocurile *open-world*, din categoria cărora face parte și *GTA IV*, sunt seducătoare grație simulării extrem de convingătoare și datorită unei structuri narative ramificate, complexe, nelineare. Ele sunt reușite simulări în trompe-l'oeil ale realității, oferind, în limitele închise ale narativei artificiale pe care o configurează, iluzia alegerii libere. Succesul planetar al *GTA IV*, *Liberty City* se datorează atât caracterului său de univers compensatoriu, reparator al unor frustrări acumulate în lumea reală, cât și verosimilității sale cultivate până la cele mai mici detalii în raport cu realitatea obiectivă.

Realismul și aluziile permanente la relațiile care structurează lumea în care trăim fac din *GTA IV* un joc problematic, periculos, pentru că el refuză să își traseze net frontierele, păstrând elemente de contact cu lumea reală. Relația deranjată dintre „realitate” și „narațiunile despre realitate”, susținută prin mijloace retorice variate, amorsează potențialul exploziv și contestatar al jocului în discuție, atribuindu-i o funcție de critică socială. Jocul proiectează pe retina ecranului veritabile diorame himerice, concurând complexitatea infinitezimală a lumii și mijlocind reflecții pe marginea semnificației morale sau existențiale a lumii de referință.

Esențiale pentru procesul de generare a sensului unei culturi sunt, conform antropologului francez Claude Lévi-Strauss<sup>3</sup>, operațiile structurale pe care el le denuște *opoziiții binare*. Aceste opoziiții binare extrem de clare sunt categorii culturale de profunzime prin care oamenii ordonează realitatea, atribuindu-i înțeles și logică, făcând posibilă trecerea de la haos la ordine, de la inform la formă, de la natură la cultură. Opoziții naturale, familiare, de genul crud-gătit, uscat-apă, jos-sus organizează metaforic realitatea, fiind folosite prin extrapolare și generalizare pentru a lămurii, pe baza unor relații de analogie, nefamiliarul sau o serie de

---

<sup>3</sup> Lévi-Strauss, C., *Mitologie I. Crud și gătit*, Traducere și prefață de Ion Pânzaru, Editura Babel, București, 1995.

concepte abstracte: domestic-sălbatic viață-moarte, sacru-profan, masculin-feminin, bine-rău. Aceste categorii trebuie înțelese ca expresii ale lumii sociale care permit interpretarea formelor sociale generale. Pe baza unui transfer de sens de la concret la abstract pe care Lévi-Strauss îl numește *logica concretului*, elemente din cea de-a doua categorie, aparținând culturii, acolo unde operează principiul arbitrarului, al convenției umane, sunt făcute să pară naturale și inevitabile. Cu toate acestea, o serie de categorii ambivalente scapă efortului uman de raționalizare, dovedind caracteristici care aparțin ambelor categorii opuse binar. Supraîncărcate de semnificație, aceste categorii ambigue vor trebui civilizate cumva, prin instituirea unui control, a unor interdicții sau tabuuri.

Nici ficțiune pe deplin dezangajată, dar nici realitate, nici discurs hegemonic, dar nici act de rezistență contra-hegemonică fățișă, jocurile *open-world* din seria *GTA* sunt un tip particular de sistem simbolic care pune sub semnul întrebării claritatea distincțiilor cu care este obișnuită să opereze societatea occidentală. Asemenea șarpelui în cultura iudeo-creștină, porcului la musulmani, sexualității în societatea patriarhală, entități anormale considerate impure și de aceea respinse, *GTA IV* amenință, printr-o serie de caracteristici intrinseci pe care le vom enunța mai jos, sistemul de valori al societății contemporane, distincția dintre bine și rău, săraci și bogați și, din acest motiv, este obiectul unei gândiri tabuizante: jocul este interzis minorilor, posedă un sistem de setare care permite edulcorarea unor caracteristici violente ale jocului, cum ar fi sângele victimelor, iar în unele state nord-europene s-a discutat chiar posibilitatea interzicerii sale totale, fapt ce a alimentat controversele indisolubile legate de cenzură și libertatea de alegere.

Unul dintre conceptele cel mai des invocate în teoria jocului este acela de *cerc magic*. Sintagma i se datorează lui Johan Huizinga<sup>4</sup> și se referă la caracterul de spațiu special, separat de lumea reală, al activității ludice. Înclinăm să cădem de acord că jocul este altceva decât lumea obișnuită: experiență simulată a nedeterminării, el reprezintă acțiunea liberă care se desfășoară în granițe spațiale și temporare proprii, conform unor reguli asumate de toți jucătorii.

Conceptul de *cerc magic* pare să se aplice cu ușurință și în cazul majorității jocurilor video. Există însă, o serie de jocuri „problematic”<sup>5</sup>, din categoria cărora face parte și *GTA IV*, care fac excepție, neîncadrându-se decât parțial în definiția clasică a genului, din cauza dificultății delimitării lor: „Care sunt

---

<sup>4</sup> Huizinga, Johan, *Homo ludens. Încercare de determinare a elementului ludic al culturii*, Traducere din olandeză de H. R. Radian, Cuvânt înainte de Gabriel Liiceanu, Humanitas, București, 2003.

<sup>5</sup> Pargman, Daniel and Jakobsson, Peter, *Do you believe in magic? Computer games in everyday life*, în „European Journal of Cultural Studies”, Sage Publications, vol. 11, no. 2, 2008, pp. 225 – 244, disponibil la <http://ecs.sagepub.com/content/11/2/225.full.pdf+html>, accesat la 19. 12. 2012. Traducerea tuturor citatelor din bibliografia în limba engleză ne aparține.

aceste jocuri? Cele care ne vin automat în minte sunt diferitele tipuri de jocuri de rol (RPGs) [...]. Mai general, orice tip de joc în progres devine problematic. Aceste jocuri care utilizează orașul ca pe o tablă de joc, devin acut problematice pentru că ele contestă ideea de frontiere clare care definesc un joc în timp și spațiu”.<sup>6</sup>

Afirmând că *cercul magic* e inoperabil, vom accepta și faptul că jocurile *open-world* au puterea intelectuală, pedagogică și politică de a restructura cadrul nostru de interpretare și rutină existențială. Caracterul de univers deschis, cu granițe laxe, nedeterminate, al textului cultural care este *GTA IV* este întreținut prin câteva procedee de bază care au asigurat caracterul memorabil al jocului.

Un prim procedeu notabil este construirea jocului ca *hiperrealitate*, prin utilizarea unei „interfețe hipermediate”.<sup>7</sup> În cazul nostru, hipermedierea semnifică fenomenul de reprezentare în joc a altor media, fenomen care contribuie la verosimilitatea universului artificial, la sentimentul de imersiune și la generarea unui mediu cultural stratificat în profunzime.

Jocul, în funcția sa de simulacru, e definit ca autoreprezentare și reprezentare, într-o figură a cărei semnificație depășește mimesisul ca simplă repetiție, pentru a deveni re-cunoaștere esențială a lumii. În *Simulacre și simulări*, Jean Baudrillard avertizează că realitatea mai este posibilă doar în limitele permise de paradisurile artificiale pe care omul le construiește: „Simularea încetează să mai fie aceea a unui teritoriu, a unei realități referențiale ori a unei substanțe. Avem de-a face cu producerea unor modele ale realului care nu au origine, nici realitate: ele sunt o hiperrealitate. Teritoriul nu mai precede propria sa hartă și nici nu-i supraviețuiește. De acum înainte, harta va fi aceea care va precede teritoriul – harta va fi aceea care să dea naștere teritoriului.”<sup>8</sup>

Atunci când nu îndeplinește misiunile principale, jucătorul are posibilitatea să facă toate acele gesturi mărunte și reflexe ale cotidianului care în lumea reală au devenit obișnuință, descriind relația noastră complicată de dragoste și ură cu lumea tehnologiei, cum ar fi să navigheze pe internet, să piardă vremea în fața televizorului, să asculte muzică și dezbateri radiofonice, alegând dintr-o ofertă destul de variată, să folosească telefonul pentru conversații și mesaje text, sau chiar să încerce jocurile arcade instalate în locuri publice ca baruri și restaurante.

Internetul din *GTA IV* e populat de legături diverse, de pornografie, de mesaje electronice nesolicitate de natură comercială, pentru produse și servicii dintre cele mai dubioase și oferă posibilitatea mult mai interesantă de a stabili întâlniri amoroase prin intermediul unor pagini de matrimoniale.

---

<sup>6</sup> Pargman, Daniel and Jakobsson, Peter, *op. cit.*, p. 228.

<sup>7</sup> Osborne, Patrick, *Evaluating the Presence of Social Strain in Rockstar Games Grand Theft Auto IV*, în „Studies in Popular Culture”, Fall 2011, vol. 34, Issue 1, 2009, p. 117, disponibil la <http://connection.ebscohost.com/c/essays/69583943/evaluating-presence-social-strain-rockstar-games-grand-theft-auto-iv>, accesat la 19.12.2012.

<sup>8</sup> Baudrillard, Jean, apud Petrescu, Liviu, *Poetica postmodernismului*, Paralela 45, 1998, p. 108.

Telefonul mobil e un accesoriu indispensabil în lumea din Liberty City. El e un important mijloc de socializare în joc, permițând eroului să păstreze legătura cu prietenii și clienții, dar este și un instrument prin care jucătorul controlează ficțiunea, el putând solicita din agenda telefonică o misiune plătită. Această inovație revoluționează percepția despre consumatorii produselor culturale. Aceștia încetează să mai fie niște receptori pasivi, captivi în labirintul unui scenariu predefinit, ei participă prin deciziile lor în mod liber la construirea lumii jocului și a semnificației acesteia, devenind astfel coautori.

Această libertate face posibilă cristalizarea în *GTA IV* a unor rudimente de moralitate. Jucătorul are posibilitatea să refuze unele misiuni, să decidă dacă să asasineze o țintă sau să-i permită să dispară din oraș, să aleagă între două misiuni concurente, pe principiul terțului exclus, fiecare opțiune având consecințe în configurația ulterioară a jocului. Mai mult, datorită arhitecturii sale narrative ramificate, *GTA IV* oferă un final deschis, cu două variante posibile.

Printr-un mecanism voit grosolan de punere în abis, funcția radioului, televiziunii și muzicii în *GTA IV* poate fi și aceea de a cultiva „maniera în care jucătorii înțeleg locuri din lumea reală, în care se raportează la evenimente politice și istorice”<sup>9</sup>. Stațiile de televiziune locale furnizează un flux neîntrerupt de reclame care recomandă achiziționarea unor produse costisitoare, filme care abordează cu umor vulgar probleme ale actualității ca imperialismul cultural și militar american, homosexualitatea, eugenia. O emisiune cu titlul „Sunt bogat!”, construită ca un testimonial al celor care au reușit în viață, promovează cu ostentație valorile materialismului. Fantasme strălucitoare ale societății de consum, mașini sport, bărci de mare viteză, case impozante, femei trofeu defilează hipnotic pe ecran ca semne elocvente ale confirmării sociale și virilității împlinite.

La fel ca televiziunea, radioul inundă spațiul sonor al jocului cu producții muzicale redundante ale culturii maselor și cu publicitate agresivă, ce parodiază campaniile unor firme consacrate. Spre exemplu, FLEECA, o companie de credit din Liberty City, vinde cu mândrie servicii financiare sub sloganul ultimativ: „FLEECA. E timpul să plătești!”.

Numele autoturismelor care defilează ispititor pe străzile Liberty City, ca niște imense turme de rumeștoare, fac aluzie la mărci consacrate în lumea reală: Feroci, Coquette, Perennial. Automobilul are o certă valoare simbolică: el ocupă un loc aparte în mitologia Americii, conotând victoria asupra spațiului, o dimensiune de putere, aventură și transcendență.

Construirea jocului ca hipertext complex „prin reprezentarea spațiului material în spațiul virtual (sau invers), modifică metafora culturală a frontierei în așa măsură încât spațiile *digital* și *material* încetează să mai fie distincte, influențându-se reciproc până la dizolvare. Consecința acestei disoluții este

---

<sup>9</sup> Osborne, Patrick, *op. cit.*, p. 124.

pericolul ca jocurile video să influențeze acțiunile din lumea reală [...]”<sup>10</sup> Entitate hibridă și anormală, *GTA IV* poate fi interpretat ca text opozițional care pune în discuție caracterul artificial și injust al raporturilor de putere în societatea occidentală, acolo unde *a fi* tinde să fie înlocuit complet de *a poseda*.

Un alt procedeu, prin care *GTA IV* suspendă distanța dintre ficțiune și lumea concretă, se referă la caracterul realist, extrem de coerent al culturii pe care jocul o generează. De la primele secvențe ale aventurii sale, jucătorul se mișcă și respiră într-o atmosferă culturală: pietonii își scot umbrele atunci când plouă, diferitele mărci de mașini au performanțe inegale, cartierele mărginașe sunt inundate de resturi și au străzile peticite, oamenii periferiilor își omoară vremea strânși în grupuri pe scările exterioare ale vreunui imobil, în timp ce locuitorii din centru fac jogging în parcuri aerisite și vizitează magazine scumpe.

Se ridică întrebarea dacă *GTA IV, Liberty City* posedă cu adevărat o cultură. Un grup de cercetători afiliați Universității din Dallas sunt de părere că da, putem vorbi în cazul acesta de o lume vie cu o cultură: „Ca principală strategie a jocului, utilizatorul petrece timp învățând cultura locală a orașului. Cultura poate fi definită ca informație transmisă social care dirijează și reglează comportamentul uman astfel încât individul să poată supraviețui și să se poată reproduce (...) Deși e ficțional, universul din *GTA IV* este foarte asemănător lumii reale. În viața reală, când vizitatorii intră într-o cultură străină, ei explorează. Interacționează cu locuitorii. Aproximează cine le poate servi îndeplinirii unui scop, cum funcționează interacțiunile umane și care sunt consecințele unor acțiuni.”<sup>11</sup>

De la gesturi banale ca evitarea mașinilor în trafic, folosirea trenului și a metroului, ocolirea pietonilor, cumpărarea alimentelor, plata taxei la bariera de pe autostradă și până la învățarea ideologiei mai complexe a acestei lumi și la înțelegerea valorilor și relațiilor care-i structurează microuniversul, *GTA IV* spune istoria unei socializări: a avatarului, dar și a jucătorului, care trăiește prin procură experiența altfel inaccesibilă a imersiunii într-un mediu cultural realist. Se poate spune și că activitatea ludică în spațiul virtual e o formă de bovarism. Călătoria în lumea generată artificial a jocului e un surrogat mai ieftin al turismului autentic, care, la rândul său, era varianta desacralizată a pelerinajelor religioase din Evul Mediu.

Socializarea cu prietenii din joc reprezintă o activitate necesară, chiar dacă mare consumatoare de timp. Ieșirile la bowling, biliard, restaurant sau spectacole diverse reprezintă liantul care cimentează relațiile sociale și îți garantează un sprijin în momentele cruciale ale jocului. În funcție de disponibilitatea de a răspunde solicitărilor, primești aprecieri sau, dimpotrivă, îți

---

<sup>10</sup> *Idem*, pp. 123-124.

<sup>11</sup> Marjorie A. Zielke et al., *Serious Games for Immersive Cultural Training: Creating a Living World*, în „IEEE Computer Society”, IEEE Computer Society Press, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, March/April 2009, pp. 49-51, disponibil la <http://www.computer.org/csdl/mags/cg/2009/02/mcg2009020049-abs.html>, accesat la 19. 12. 2012.

degradezi statutul prieteniei. Întâlnirile cu prietenele recompun ritualul curtării, în care fiecare detaliu, de la hainele pe care le porți, la mașina pe care o conduci și la locul în care le scoți în oraș e decisiv în evoluția relației.

Când jocul este conectat la actualitate prin atâtea strategii de retorică intertextuală, jucătorul tinde să considere jocul ca aparținând mai degrabă lumii obiective decât universului ficțional. Efectul de real întreținut prin contaminarea lumii fanteziei și a lumii existenței cotidiene are drept consecință circulația facilă a *regulilor jocului* între cele două universuri. Geografia celor două lumi se suprapune parțial, iar jucătorul are misiunea suplimentară de a trasa, pe baza aluziilor culturale permanente pe care i le furnizează jocul și conform propriei experiențe, harta acestor concordanțe.

De altfel, jocul conține permanent într-un colț al ecranului o hartă a orașului care servește orientării și pe care sunt trecute repere de interes sub travestiul onomastic al cărora jucătorul avizat este invitat să recunoască obiectivele iconice ale New-York-ului zilelor noastre: Middle Park, Statue of Happiness, National Union of Contemporary Art. Supralicitând parcă această retorică a corespondențelor, lumea jocului se întinde tentacular, ca una dintre ființele imaginare ale lui Jorge Luis Borges, materializându-se și luând în posesie realitatea: jocul vine către jucător însoțit de o hartă fizică a orașului și de o hartă a traseelor metroului, ultima emisă chiar de Autoritatea de Transport din Liberty City.

Desfășurarea jocului poate să sugereze un univers cuprins de anomie, în care fărădelegea pare să primeze. De fapt, în pofida complexității și design-ului său de „ladă de nisip”, care oferă jucătorului independența de a se plimba pe unde dorește și de a ucide pe cine dorește, narativa artificială care este jocul *GTA IV* e un univers mult mai coerent, mai rotund și mai funcțional decât lumea din care noi înșine facem parte. Regulile care garantează succesul jucătorului sunt simple: să furi, jefuiești, asasinezi cu suficientă abilitate încât să nu te prindă forțele de ordine sau să nu fii ucis în schimbul de focuri. Eroul nu trăiește drama alegerilor dificile, nu se frământă ce meserie să profeseze, devine în mod natural asasin la comandă, pentru că jocul nu-i oferă altă posibilitate. Toate acțiunile și replicile sunt semnificative putând fi interpretate folosind codul ficțiunii. Pe de altă parte, sensul narativei naturale care este viața noastră, ne scapă de cele mai multe ori, copleșindu-ne de anxietate.

Tema fundamentală a *GTA IV* este, în mod neașteptat, realul, mai exact America. Activează în joc aceleași resorturi care pun în mișcare discursul cotidianului, textul purtând în profunzime o dimensiune politică și socială. Și până la urmă ce mai reprezintă, în mod concret, America și *visul american*?

Pentru Roman, vărul ratat al eroului, America reprezintă o viziune exaltată: „Două femei! Tărâmul oportunităților!”, dezumflată aproape imediat de constatarea amară: „În America ai nevoie de bani să faci orice!” (*GTA IV*). Pentru același personaj, caracter slab care trece cu foarte mare ușurință de la cea mai neagră deznădejde la optimismul contagios, America înseamnă „fast-food,

combustibil ieftin, sâni falși” și credința mistică potrivit căreia „există o scurtătură pentru orice aici, doar că trebuie să o găsești. Aici orice este posibil. Cred că au pus ceva în apă, omule, pentru că tot ce simt este doar optimism pur!” (*GTA IV*). Pentru Bobby, un personaj episodic, „Visul american e un basm. Nu a fost luat niciodată literal.” (*GTA IV*) Pentru Niko Bellic, convertit la cinism, „America reală e reprezentată de hipermagazine și obezitatea clinică.” „Totul este fals aici. Sâni nu sunt adevărați și oportunitățile nu sunt oportunități. Dacă le urmărești, sfârșești într-o datorie atât de mare încât vei fi un sclav pentru tot restul vieții.” (*GTA IV*)

Funcția ludică poate fi interpretată din cel puțin două perspective, care oricât de diferite ar fi, sunt perfect compatibile în contextul aglutinant al culturii populare pe care o exprimă: *joaca* gratuită devine *joc* angajat, care e fie act pedagogic de socializare, căci jucându-se, individul intră în rol, participă la construirea sinelui sub forma unui divertisment, fie act de revoltă, promisiune a unei eliberări.

În prima sa interpretare, jocul poate fi văzut ca reflectare a culturii dominante, care e rezervor de simboluri, mituri, coduri, imagini, figuri care alimentează mentalități, comportamente sociale, opinii politice. Această cultură este responsabilă de crearea tiparelor de gen, de rasă, de clasă, contribuind la modelarea viziunii generale despre lume. Tot ea încurajează individualismul. Pune semnul egalității între virilitate și reușita socială și exonerează societatea de responsabilitatea nereușitei personale, învățându-ne că cea mai mare libertate a persoanei e aceea de a acumula capital.

Realitatea restricționează însă, pentru cea mai mare parte a populației, accesul la stilul de viață pe care discursul dominant îl promovează. De aceea, în a doua interpretare, jocul devine discurs alternativ prin intermediul căruia oamenii subordonați și privați de resurse își construiesc propriile înțelesuri ale realității sociale. Din această perspectivă, violența jocurilor devine o formă perfect justificată de obținere a bunurilor pe care viața reală nu ți le oferă.

Potrivit profesorului australian John Fiske, cultura populară oferă mijloace de evadare de sub controlul social fiind „produsă în relație cu structurile de dominare. Această relație poate lua două forme: aceea a rezistenței și aceea a evaziunii.”<sup>12</sup>

E posibil ca atitudinea frecventă de îngrijorare legată de proliferarea comportamentelor antisociale și chiar dispreț care înconjoară considerarea jocurilor video să fie generată de sentimentul că această practică amenință nucleul valorilor în care credem.

Jocurile video contravin valorilor sociale circumscrise eticii protestante a muncii, „sunt o pierdere de timp și bani, distrag de la învățătură și muncă, creează dependență”, sunt practici care slăbesc discursul dominant, pentru că timpul petrecut pentru plăcerea proprie este, din acest punct de vedere, „prost cheltuit”.<sup>13</sup>

---

<sup>12</sup> Fiske, John, *Reading the popular*, Routledge, London and New York, 2005, p. 2.

<sup>13</sup> Fiske, John, *op. cit.*, p. 78.

Violența omniprezentă și ironia corozivă din *GTA IV* pun la îndoială caracterul natural al sistemului de clasificări al societății contemporane, dovedind cât de dificilă e ștergerea diferențelor și câștigarea consensului hegemonic într-o lume în care experiența de viață a indivizilor contrazice constant versiunea oficială despre adevăr: „Pentru subordonați, de obicei tineri utilizatori, jocurile oferă oportunitatea de a rezista controlului social și prin abordarea unui comportament cultural alternativ. Dezaprobarea societății este o parte esențială a înțelesului acestei atitudini și a plăcerii pe care ea o oferă.”<sup>14</sup>

Înainte ca acțiunea să se sfârșească, jucătorul primește pe telefon un text enigmatic, ca din partea colectivului *GTA IV*, care cristalizează cu emfază căutată filosofia acestui univers: „Călătorule, Lărgeste-ți orizonturile... călătorește pe calea ascunsă care-ți va permite să-ți descătușezi spiritul și să cunoști întregul potențial al splendorii pământene care este dreptul tău! Aceste secrete îți vor permite să citești printre rândurile fizice și logice ale societății. Omul încearcă să te ținutiască jos. A construit lumea ca pe o închisoare. Eliberează-te! Ți-am arătat calea, pășește pe ea! Simte adevărul! Trăiește libertatea” (*GTA IV*).

Sub aparenta dezangajare ludică, jocul analizat pune în circulație comentarii sociale pe care nu le mai poate expune decât în registru *jos*, al satirei, în sensul că atât lumii imaginare, dar și conștiinței jucătorului le lipsește acea candoare aurorală, care acum nu mai poate fi acoperită decât de simulacre.

## BIBLIOGRAFIE

- [www.rockstargames.com/iv](http://www.rockstargames.com/iv), *Grand Theft Auto IV, Liberty City*.
- Barthes Roland, *Mitologii*, Traducere de Maria Carpov, Institutul European, Iași, 1997.
- Fiske, John, *Reading the popular*, Routledge, London and New York, 2005.
- Huizinga, Johan, *Homo ludens. Încercare de determinare a elementului ludic al culturii*, Traducere din olandeză de H. R. Radian, Cuvânt înainte de Gabriel Liiceanu, Humanitas, București, 2003.
- Lévi-Strauss, C., *Mitologice I. Crud și gătit*, Traducere și prefață de Ion Pânzaru, Editura Babel, București, 1995.
- Marjorie A. Zielke et al., *Serious Games for Immersive Cultural Training: Creating a Living World*, în „IEEE Computer Society”, IEEE Computer Society Press, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, March/April 2009.
- Osborne, Patrick, *Evaluating the Presence of Social Strain in Rockstar Games Grand Theft Auto IV*, în „Studies in Popular Culture”, Fall2011, vol. 34, Issue 1, 2009.
- Pargman, Daniel and Jakobsson, Peter, *Do you believe in magic? Computer games in everyday life*, în „European Journal of Cultural Studies”, Sage Publications, vol 11, no. 2, 2008.
- Petrescu, Liviu, *Poetica postmodernismului*, Paralela 45, Pitești, 1998.

---

<sup>14</sup> *Ibidem*.

## **‘THE EVENING REDNESS IN THE WEST,’ IN THE EAST, AND WHEREVER NECESSARY**

Luminița-Elena TURCU,  
“Ștefan cel Mare” University of Suceava

**Abstract:** Cormac McCarthy’s novel, *Blood Meridian*, appears to have more in common with classical Gothic fiction than with classical Westerns. The baffling violence as well as the undecided border between the abused and the abuser, the moral and the immoral, good and evil, death and life, etc. are as many reasons for reading the novel violently, for extracting meaning at all costs, no matter how far-fetched the conclusions and how disparate the arguments. We impose on the text an interpretation that fits our own desire and draw a parallel with Stoker’s equally traumatizing *Dracula*. Such a reading is but a replica of the random and senseless violence of the text, a violence that is not attached to logic and is not regulated by method.

**Keywords:** Cormac McCarthy, *Blood Meridian*, borderlands, frontier, Western, imperialism, Gothic, *Dracula*, violence, capital spread

‘... the sky is reddening in the east and the dawn is close.  
We must work tomorrow!’  
(*Dracula* by Bram Stoker)

Though difficult to be integrated within a definite category of prose writers, Cormac McCarthy is unanimously viewed today as one of the most significant contemporary American authors, if not the greatest of them all, as Harold Bloom and many other important critics depict him enthusiastically. Stephen Shaviro, for instance, hails him as the ‘greatest [American] living author: nomadic wanderer, lucid cartographer of an inescapable delirium’ (2009, 11) while Alan Bourassa describes *Blood Meridian* as ‘the most powerful American novel of the second half of the twentieth century.’ (2009, 117) The fact is that McCarthy has managed to do what only great writers do,

that is to divide his readers into two equally fanatic parties: those who go into raptures over his writings and those who detest them neurotically. The polarization of his audience is furthermore stimulated by his atypical artistic status and self-imposed social exile, which was almost complete until recently, when, to his devotees' horror, he has accepted the invitation to one of Oprah's shows. For a couple of decades the writer's name has been associated with that of the Santa Fe Institute and his affiliation with a unconventional scientific think tank made up of brilliant sociologists, mathematicians, physicists, chemists, etc. has added some more mistiness to his aura of a postmodernist hermit and eccentric sage. He has constantly declined literary awards or simply refused to collect them and preferred to keep himself 'as invisible as some desert prophet living among burning, barren latitudes of the Southwest.' (Graham, 2011, 116) Both extremist appreciation and loathing are for the most part due to his work's similarity, in terms of style, focus, and atmosphere, to those of the greatest North-American writers, such as Poe, Twain, Melville, Hemingway or Faulkner. Harold Bloom declares that 'no other living American novelist, not even Pynchon, has given us a book as strong and memorable as *Blood Meridian* ... The fulfilled renown of *Moby Dick* and *As I Lay Dying* is augmented by *Blood Meridian* since McCarthy is the worthy disciple of both Melville and Faulkner. *Blood Meridian* is the ultimate Western not to be surpassed.' (2009, 1)

In a similar tone, Richard Gray compares the reading experience of McCarthy's novels with a stroll through a museum of English prose styles: with, say, sentences imitating Hemingway's gift for simple words and connectives modulating into recollection of the old, ineradicable rhythms of Faulknerian speech. There are echoes of Melville and Eliot here, but also of Erskine Caldwell and the rough-and-ready folktales of the frontier. The great tradition of Greek tragedy is present, in shadows, but so too is the fiction of Zane Gray. (2004, 619)

Once more, readers tend to view such correlations as either factual or blasphemous. Apart from his correspondence with some of the greatest American writers, there is something conceivably universal in relation to his prose style, something that makes his writings straightforward, therefore gratifying without delay, yet fiercely unfathomable. One possible explanation for this paradox may be found in the complexity and hybridity of McCarthy's work that can be 'simultaneously funny, brutal, and gruesomely violent, often within the same novel, chapter, or passage. (Walsh, 2009, xxi)

The literary province that McCarthy colonizes in his first novels – *The Orchard Keeper* (1965), *Outer Dark* (1968), *Child of God* (1973) and *Suttree* (1979) – largely overlaps the literal geographical areas surrounding Maryville, Tennessee, in the Southeastern United States and in the neighbourhood of

Knoxville, where he spent most of his childhood. The writer later settled in El Paso, Texas, then in Santa Fe, New Mexico, and so did the setting of his fiction. *Blood Meridian* (1985) is the novel that marks McCarthy's departure from the South and his staggering meanders in the region of the Western frontier. The novel 'shifts the focus from the South to the West, a change of territory flagged not just by this refocusing of locations, but of genre, too.' (Graulund, 2010, 58) This restless exploration will be continued in his 'Border Trilogy' consisting of *All the Pretty Horses* (1992), *The Crossing* (1994), and *Cities of the Plain* (1998).

*Blood Meridian or The Evening Redness in the West* is thus placed at a certain border within McCarthy's fiction writing, indicating a turning point in his literary career and a repositioning of his prose writing at the border of American Frontier fiction. It is anything but a traditional Western story with its characters who show no interest whatsoever in rescuing the innocents, in the restitution of rights, redemption, and restoration of order. Unlike the traditional Western, which depicts the West as a 'prelapsarian garden and space of retreat for the American hero' (Kollin, 2001, 562), *Blood Meridian* is a postmodernist account of the grotesquely violent conquest of America, a meta-Western that deflates the classic quest of the lone rider only to parodically reinstate the myth of masculinity and the supremacy of the white race. The frontier theme is but an opportunity to debate such alarms of Western postmodernity as terrorism and the return to tribalism. The western territory is desacralized to such an extent as to make the Western itself a long-forgotten legend. As Forrest Robinson emphasizes, 'geography alone doth not a Western novel make.' (2001, 168) Contaminated by the style of other 'Southern' writers, such as Peter Taylor, Harry Crews, Robert Olen Butler or Barry Hannah, McCarthy's novel overflows the set boundaries of the Western and simultaneously displaces the Southern novel through its nightmarish amalgamation of gothic mood, sanguinary masculinity, and historical accuracy. *Blood Meridian* is a text about borders and a border text that 'seems more concerned with what lies beyond boundaries than with what is contained by them.' (Søfting, 1999, 15) That is why many readers who have attempted to decode its message failed while trying to reduce it to one interpretation only or to make it work within logical frames of meaning production. Georg Guillemin remarks: 'there is no way to retell the novels of Cormac McCarthy in a way that would make them more accessible, less multifaceted.' (2004, 142)

McCarthy's novel is based on rigorous investigation of both historical archives and collective memory and tells the story of a gang of scalp hunters led by John Glanton, a recurrent character in many stories of the Southwest. The gang includes a variety of people from all walks of life and all ethnicities of the region: a former priest, a judge, a black man and a white man bearing the same name, John Jackson, soldiers and farmers, Anglos, Indians, Mexicans, etc. The

historical background is that of the late 1840s, immediately after the Mexican War, when the northern Mexican state of Chihuahua decided to put an end to the numerous Indian raids and hired gangs of Anglos and peon guerilla bands for the horrid job of killing and scalping the ‘invaders’. Scalps were used as proof of killing the enemy, as ghastly receipts for carnage. ‘In the absence of instantaneous electronic communication, in the absence of a photographic craft streamlined enough to travel with the band of hunters, *evidence* of the death of an Indian rested on a hunter’s producing a scalp.’ (Arnold and Luce, 1999, 125) The frequent clashes within the frontier area did not engage Indians and Indian hunters only. ‘The conflict existing in and among the states of Texas, Chihuahua, New Mexico, and Arizona in 1849 and 1850 involved many peoples: Mexicans, both peon and military; US Army troops; Texans, both Ranger and civilian; Comanches and Apaches; and Anglo gold-rush travelers on the Gila Trail.’ (Sepich, 1999, 14) The universal hostility, the sense that everybody is everybody else’s enemy, is one of the few stable characteristics of this ever-changing borderland.

Most of the historical details that McCarthy has exploited in his novel are derived from the Union Army general Samuel Chamberlain’s memoirs that were discovered in 1956 and serialized in *Life* during the same summer. *My Confession: Recollections of a Rogue* was immediately published in book form and the stories told by the onetime member of the real-life Glanton gang caused a shockwave in literary and cultural studies. It was here that McCarthy discovered some references to the enigmatically omnipresent and omnipotent figure of Judge Holden, also to some other characters and events described in his novel. As Kenneth Lincoln underlines, apart from Chamberlain’s chronicle, McCarthy most certainly explored John Woodhouse Audubon’s journal dealing with the same period of time as well as Cpt. Thomas Mayne Reid’s *The Scalp-Hunters* (1851). (2009, 79) Other sources include Douglas Martin’s *Yuma Crossing*, Arthur Woodward’s *Feud on the Colorado*, John Russell Bartlett’s narrative of the borders, etc. Thus, the plot of *Blood Meridian* ‘hangs on history’ (Ellis, 2006, 87), with the Glanton gang historically attested as ‘indiscriminate in whom they scalped (their victims often included mestizo peasants), yet who were part of the official attempt to eradicate Native American Indians for the sake of Mexican townships.’ (Ibid.)

The novel is a topsy-turvy Bildungsroman that documents the “evolution” of the main character, the Kid, a runaway boy from Tennessee who joins the Glanton gang of scalpers after he has reached the border zone between Mexico and Texas. He thus enters a world of unimaginable bloodshed, getting involved in territorial combats among Anglos, Mexicans and various Native American tribes, in ‘cattle drives and horse thefts, conflicting land claims, gratuitous violence, and the destruction of the native population.’ (Slethaug, 2000, 131) The novel follows the

protagonist along with the scalp hunters into their turbulent and gory adventure in this undecided territory of the frontier until the moment when the Glanton gang is massacred by the Yuma Indians after they have been tracked down by the Mexican forces and cornered in a fort. Few of the gang survive; among them Toadvine, the Judge, and the Kid. *Blood Meridian* concludes with the Kid's baffling assassination by Judge Holden in the winter of 1878.

The way in which the Kid is killed some twenty years after the massacre at the Yuma ferry underlines for one last time the idea that human life is inconsequential when compared to the larger context of humankind and its daring effort to survive and prosper. The undignified and doubtful way in which he is eliminated paradoxically clarifies the long series of atrocities the Kid has witnessed or taken part in. What actually seems to matter is the territory under dispute and not its provisional owners, inhabitants, and claimants for the reason that McCarthy's prose enacts what Shaviro names 'an erotics of landscape' (2009, 24), with the borderland as the actual protagonist of the story. The scenery of wilderness and unpredictability is associated with femininity and woman's body. McCarthy's characters 'cross and recross the landscape, dissolving and reconfiguring what might once seemed a series of static oppositions: civilized and savage, past and present, South and west, town and wilderness ...' (Gray, 2004, 619) Count Dracula gives Jonathan Harker a similar description of his own land – a land that is defined in the Gothic novel as 'the whirlpool of European races' (Stoker, 2011, 34), placed at the crossroads of imperialist desires, also of persistent violence:

It is a wonder that we were a conquering race; that we were proud; that when the Magyar, the Lombard, the Avar, the Bulgar, or the Turk poured his thousands on our frontiers, we drove them back? (...) who, when he was beaten back, came again, and again, and again, though he had to come alone from the bloody field where his troops were being slaughtered? (Stoker, 2011, 34-5)

Stoker makes the abhorrent figure of the vampire stand for the so-called "Eastern Question", mainly through the manipulation of history. The apprehension that Count Dracula creates in late-Victorian England reflects the anxieties of an Empire to which the author belonged. East Europeans are depicted as "primitive", in definite need of restrictive government, of either Ottoman or Austrian nature. In fact, the invading vampire is but a metaphor for Britain's dread of "reverse colonization", of their own borders being erased by the recently liberated Balkan peoples. Yet, desire and greed lurk in the ending of the Gothic novel where we can see several Westerners correcting a deviant Easterner, wiping out his identity in an anticlimactic manner. There is no greatness in their deed since this is a cold-blooded murder, one of the many that are meant to fix imperfections and level asperities.

The borderland that McCarthy's novel portrays has nothing of the greatness of Frederick Jackson Turner's idealistic depiction of 1893. If Turner's speech – "The Significance of the American Frontier in American History" - delivered at Chicago's Columbian Exposition, demonstrates that the 'series of shifts westwards had taught Americans how to find themselves and claim their country' (Jones, 2009, 39), here, in McCarthy's novel, the borderland is not such an epic and spectacular area of national identification, nor is the plot a rewritten myth of creation for the American nation. In Turner's traditional view, the conquest of the American West gave life to the American 'dominant individualism' and democracy (1921, 37). New Western historians speak instead of barbarism and mass murder that have been cloaked by historians and cultural critics in such paradigms as "emancipation", "Americanization", the consolidation of the "American character," and so on. (Ibid.) As a rule, the writers and movie-makers of Westerns have participated in the aestheticizing of what Bill Brown names 'the genocidal foundation of the nation.' (1997, 31) Cormac McCarthy does not join this enterprise of myth-making as he does not send his white protagonist to the borderland and does not make him pass through a series of brutal yet revealing confrontations in the wilderness only to bring him back "home", that is into some orderly community, as a new-American. On the contrary, what he infers in *Blood Meridian* surpasses even the conclusions of contemporary Western academia that no longer grant authority to Turner's theory. More specifically, the novel does not deal with the new nation's westward expansion on the American continent as the West is for McCarthy rather a fictional and symbolic landscape of imperialist expansion on the whole.

The Frontier that McCarthy describes is both American and universal. So is violence. Mark Buby refers to this allegorical dimension of the novel when he writes that the border is 'a metaphor for a complex and oxymoronic melding of nihilism and optimism, good and evil, illusion and reality,' also mentioning the ongoing dialectics of 'the forces of life and death, end and beginning.' (2000, 227) Undeniably, the borderland is an ambivalent place of inbetweenness, a location where differences are dislocated, where even the most impossible amalgamation is made possible, where races and nationalities intermingle, and languages fuse in a terrible cacophony of violence. Susan Kollin remarks:

In *Blood Meridian*, McCarthy produced his own brand of regional hybridity, showing the Western as deeply dependent on the contributions and concerns of southern literature. Bringing elements of Southern grotesque to bear on Western themes and conventions, McCarthy added a grimmer, bleaker vision to the genre, providing what appeared to be the definitive statement on manifest Destiny. (2001, 558)

The generic bleakness and structural indecision make *Blood Meridian* akin to classical Gothic fiction, too, and especially to Bram Stoker's *Dracula*.

This, and blood. Blood defies order and logic in both novels. In McCarthy's novel, a character wonders in his broken English at the sickening carnage, which Harold Bloom considers to be neither 'gratuitous, [nor] redundant' as it 'belonged to the Mexico-Texas borderlands in 1849-1850' (2009, 2):

This country is give much blood. This Mexico. This is thirsty country. The blood of a thousand Christs. (1987, 102)

The Kid, just like Jonathan Harker in *Dracula*, is poised in between 'two cultures or in that nether region in which a border is caught between existence and obliteration... There are other borders that the book seems to be blurring: the line between life and death, past and present, waking and dream worlds.' (Hage, 2010, 51) If in *Dracula* the protagonist goes east, in *Blood Meridian* the Kid goes west. Yet, geographical direction is not of utmost importance in such writings that do not meditate on the history of a certain region, but on the writing of the history of such a region and, above all, on the writing of history in general. Both protagonists go in the direction of capital circulation; they represent the embryos of capitalism implanted in areas that are ideologically depicted as unruly and degenerate. Thus vilified, the territory is prepared to be corrected, in actual fact assimilated. Each and every act of imperial expansion is rehearsed in ideological battles and symbolic attacks against the contested territory and its inhabitants. As William Robbins writes in *Colony and Empire* (1994), 'success and failure in the American West, after all, were components of larger processes in the expansion and development of capitalism.' (13-4)

The borderland as a space of liminality is a quarantine of bloodshed and bloodletting that are meant to ensure the transfusion of the values of the dominant culture. The mélange of languages, which is accurately reproduced in the texture of McCarthy's novel (dialogues in Spanish or fragments in any other language are not provided translation so as to make the reader immerse directly in that linguistic disorder), paves the way for the incorporation of ethnic diversity because, as Žižek points out, linguistic distinctiveness, what he names the 'wall of language', is that 'which forever separates me from the abyss of another subject.' (2008, 73) That is why Count Dracula tries hard to gain knowledge of the language of England through 'a vast number of English books and volumes of magazines and newspapers (...) of the most varied kind – history, geography, politics, political economy, botany, geology, law.' (Stoker, 2011, 23) Linguistic competence is of chief importance because once the claimed territory capitulates, the border turns from a place of conflict into one of cultural and commercial exchange, from a line of separation into a line of communication. This is what happens in *Dracula* and this is what we are made to believe is going to happen in *Blood Meridian*. Once Dracula, the vampire, is killed in a non-heroic struggle which resembles more a gang vendetta and less a

sacred crusade, Transylvania is no longer a territory under dispute but an object of cartography. Similarly, the Kid as an eyewitness and agent of change is dispensable in the end of the novel. He is killed in an outside toilet in ways that cannot be described (or they do not deserve to be described anymore). Alan Bourassa comments on the traumatic nature of violence in McCarthy's masterpiece, which he however describes as a natural component and accompaniment in the making of a new world. The critic exposes the Kid as a deficiency in this new-fangled social organization, the one who perhaps knows more than he ought to know, whose testimony would not fit the already written history of the new world. He therefore 'functions at this traumatic point, that which will neither be translated into the terms of the world, nor given a place within it. It is only Judge Holden who recognizes the Kid as the flaw in the fabric of smooth space, this catch in the velocity of the war machine.' (2009, 131) His annihilation is illustrative of what Žižek describes as 'the fundamental systemic violence of capitalism' (2008, 12), which is 'no longer attributable to concrete individuals and their *evil* intentions, but is purely *objective*, systemic, anonymous.' (Ibid.) That is why, Žižek goes on, one perceives the massacre caused by capitalist globalization as 'a result of an *objective* process, which nobody planned and executed...' (2008, 14)

Interpreting *Blood Meridian* through metaphorical lens as a story about universal violence, greed and indispensable sacrifice is nonetheless a violent reading. Yet McCarthy himself draws our attention on the fact that his novel is not about the specific history of a well-defined border - the one between the American West and the Mexican North - when he includes among the novel's epigraphs an item from the *Yuma Daily Sun* debating anthropological evidence of human scalping in what is now Ethiopia and which took place some 300,000 years ago. It is clear that the writer takes up the tropes of scalping and of the US - Mexican border to meditate on the savagery of history and on 'the bloody core of civilizations.' (Hage, 2010, 167) Readers are thus invited to read the novel violently as they are not allowed to 'remain completely aloof from the violence that overwhelms its world.' (Ciuba, 2007, 187) This type of epidermal reading, in which awesome violence and the dread it causes turn readers into reading bodies that palpitate under the vicious whipping of the text, is specific to Gothic fiction, too. The rape, assault, shooting, hanging, slaying, stoning, scalping that the reader witnesses convert him into a voyeur who is no longer innocent and no longer in the position to give verdicts and keep a moral balance. Anyway, Judge Holden, the sadistic philosopher, has already smashed the border between morality and immorality:

Moral law is an invention of the mankind for the disenfranchisement of the powerful in favour of the weak. Historical law subverts it at every turn. (McCarthy, 1985, 250)

As for the Kid, he is similar to the reader or *he is the reader*, at least this is what McCarthy appears to suggest from the very beginning when he describes him as ‘a child of God, much like yourself perhaps.’ (4) The Gothic text is what Girard would name a ‘persecuting text’, one that tries to justify abuse, excess, and brutality (1987, 126). *Blood Meridian* takes the same narrative stance as it adopts the perspective of the cruel and the murderous.

Cormac McCarthy’s *Blood Meridian* appears to have more in common with classical Gothic fiction than with classical Westerns. The baffling violence or ‘the lust for blood’ (Stoker, 2011, 62) as well as the undecided border between the abused and the abuser, the moral and the immoral, good and evil, death and life, etc. are as many reasons for reading the novel violently, for extracting meaning at all costs, no matter how far-fetched the conclusions and how disparate the arguments. Such a reading is but a replica of the random and senseless violence of the text, a violence that is not attached to logic and is not regulated by method. The reader of *Blood Meridian* turns into a Gothic victim: lured in the blood-stained architecture of the narrative, he ‘experiences a collapse of time and space so that only the ceaseless repetition of violence remains foregrounded, enacted in a kind of no-place of desolation.’ (Brewton, 2004, 131) The only solution out of this repetitive pattern of violence is through violent reading. This is *lex talioni* of fiction reading.

## REFERENCES

- Arnold, Edwin T. and Dianne C. Luce (eds.), 1999. *Perspectives on Cormac McCarthy*, Jackson, University Press of Mississippi.
- Bloom, Harold (ed.), 2009. *Bloom’s Modern Critical Views: Cormac McCarthy*, New York, Infobase Publishing House.
- Bourassa, Alan, 2009. *Deleuze and American Literature: Affect and Virtuality in Faulkner, Wharton, Ellison, and McCarthy*, New York, Palgrave.
- Brewton, Vince, 2004. “The Changing Landscape of Violence in Cormack McCarthy’s Early Novels and the Border Trilogy”, in *Southern Literary Journal*, 37, No. 1 (Fall 2004), pp. 121-43.
- Brown, Bill, 1997. “Reading the West: Cultural and Historical Background.” In *Reading the West: An Anthology of Dime Writers*, edited by Bill Brown. Boston, Bedford.
- Bubsy, Mark, 2000. “Into the Darkening Land, the World to Come: Cormack McCarthy’s Border Crossings.” In *Myth, Legend, Dust: Critical Responses to Cormack McCarthy*, Edited by Rick Wallach, Manchester, UK, Manchester UP.
- Ciuba, Garry M., 2007. *Desire, Violence and divinity in Modern Southern Fiction*, Baton Rouge, Louisiana State University Press.

- Ellis, Jay, 2006. "‘What Happens to Country’ in *Blood Meridian*", in *Rocky Mountain Review of Language and Literature*, vol. 60, No. 1, pp. 85-97.
- Girard, René, 1987. *Things Hidden since the Foundation of the World*. Translated by Stephen Bann and Michael Metteer. Stanford: Stanford UP.
- Graham, Don, 2011. *States of Mind: Texas Culture and Its Discontents*, Austin, University of Texas Press.
- Graulund, Rune, 2010. "Fulcrums and Borderlands. A Desert Reading of Cormac McCarthy’s *The Road*", in *Orbis Literarum*, 65: 1, pp. 57-78.
- Gray, Richard, 2004. *A History of American Literature*, Malden MA, Blackwell Publishing.
- Guillemin, Georg, 2004. *The Pastoral Vision of Cormac McCarthy*, Tarleton State University Southwestern Studies in the Humanities, College Station: Texas A & M Press.
- Hage, Eric, 2010. *Cormac McCarthy: A Literary Companion*, McFarland Literary Companions vol. 9, Jefferson, NC, McFarland & Comp. Inc. Publishers.
- Jones, Karen R. and John Wills, 2009. *The American West: Competing Visions*, Edinburgh, Edinburgh University Press.
- Kollin, Susan, 2001. "Genre and Geographies of Violence: Cormac McCarthy and the Contemporary Western", in *Contemporary Literature*, vol. 42, No. 3 (Autumn, 2001), University of Wisconsin Press, pp. 557-88.
- Lincoln, Kenneth, 2009. *Cormac McCarthy: American Canticles*, New York, Palgrave Macmillan.
- McCarthy, Cormac, 1985. *Blood Meridian or The Evening Redness in the West*, New York, Random House.
- Robinson, Forrest G., 2001. "‘War is God’: Cormac McCarthy’s Early Fiction", in *Literature and Belief*, 21: 1 & 2, pp. 163-76.
- Robbins, William, 1994. *Colony and Empire: The Capitalist Transformation of the American West*, Lawrence, University Press of Kansas.
- Sepich, John Emil, 1999. "‘What kind of Indians was them?’: Some Historical Sources in Cormac McCarthy’s *Blood Meridian*" in Arnold and Luce.
- Shaviro, Stephen, 2009. "‘The Very Life of Darkness’: A Reading of *Blood Meridian*", in Bloom, pp. 9 – 23.
- Slethaug, Gordon E., 2000. *Beautiful Chaos: Chaos Theory and Metachaotics in Recent American Fiction*, Albany, State University of New York Press.
- Søfting, Inger-Anne, 1999. "Desert Pandemonium: Cormac McCarthy’s Apocalyptic ‘Western’ in *Blood Meridian*", in *American Studies in Scandinavia*, vol. 31.
- Stoker, Bram, 2011. *Dracula*. Barnes & Noble Leatherbound Classics Series, New York, Sterling Publishing Co., Inc.
- Turner, Frederick Jackson, 1921. *The Frontier in American History*. New York, Henry Holt.
- Walsh, Christopher J., 2009. *In the Wake of the Sun: Navigating the Southern Works of Cormac McCarthy*, Knoxville, Newfound Press, University of Tennessee Libraries.
- Žižek, Slavoj, 2008. *Violence. Six Sideways Reflections*, New York, Picador.

## **II. EXEGEZE**



## THE ROMANIAN SURREALISM BEFORE THE WAR

Ovidiu MORAR,  
Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare” din Suceava  
[ovidiumorar10@yahoo.com](mailto:ovidiumorar10@yahoo.com)

**Abstract:** This text presents the main aspects of the Romanian surrealism before the Second World War, when it was not yet a homogenous movement with a coherent theoretical doctrine following the pattern imposed by the French leader André Breton. Thus, neither the group formed around the review *unu* (“one”) in the late twenties, nor the group formed around the review *Alge* (“Algae”) in the early thirties were not called “surrealist”, although the texts published by their members were doubtlessly of surrealist inspiration. Therefore, this first stage of the Romanian surrealism can be called “intuitive” in the sense that Maurice Nadeau gave the term in 1964. Also following the model of their French fellows, these first Romanian surrealists became politically engaged after 1933, when they openly sustained the proletarian revolution (the artistic and political revolution became inseparable).

**Keywords:** surrealism, revolution, poetry

As we know, surrealism was an avant-garde movement born in the late twenties in France under the strict guidance of André Breton (also called “the Pope of surrealism”), and rapidly internationalized. Nevertheless, a common place is the fact that surrealism was much influenced by Dadaism, a radical avant-garde movement in which a major role was played by the Romanian poet Tristan Tzara (who otherwise was to join the future French surrealists Breton, Aragon and Soupault in 1919, and also was to write surrealist poetry after 1929, the most famous example being the poem *L’Homme approximatif* (“The Vague Man”, 1931). Meanwhile, Tzara remained in touch with the Romanian avant-garde, which considered him one of its major models. Here it is an extract from *The Vague Man* (our translation):

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <i>je parle de qui parle qui parle je suis seul</i>                    | <i>I speak about who speaks who speaks I am alone</i>              |
| <i>je ne suis qu'un petit bruit j'ai plusieurs bruits</i>              | <i>I am nothing but a little noise I have several noises in me</i> |
| <i>en moi</i>  | <i>a ruffled noise frozen with the crossroads</i>                  |
| <i>un bruit glacé froissé au carrefour jeté sur le trottoir humide</i> | <i>thrown on the wet pavement</i>                                  |
| <i>aux pieds des hommes pressés courant avec leurs morts</i>           | <i>at the feet of the people in a hurry running</i>                |

*autour de la mort qui étend ses bras  
sur le cadran de l'heure seule vivante au  
soleil.*

*with their dead  
around death which extends its arms  
on the dial of the only hour alive under the  
sun*

In Romania, surrealism began to flourish after 1928, when the first surrealist group coagulated around the review *unu* (“one”) directed by the poet Sașa Pană (among the most important contributors were the poets Ilarie Voronca, Geo Bogza, Stéphane Roll, Gherasim Luca, the painters Victor Brauner and Jacques Hérold, who were to join the Parisian group of André Breton soon after 1930, Max Hermann Maxy, and also the painter Jules Perahim, who came to settle in Paris long after the war, in 1969). Let us notice that the review was not called “surrealist”, but “of literary avant-garde”, and, although its director was in permanent contact with Breton and other French surrealists, whom he translated into Romanian and considered as models to a certain extent, his intention was not to copy them, but to create an independent and original movement within the general stylistic framework of the international surrealism. Otherwise, unlike Breton, Sașa Pană had no theoretical ambition, no intention to impose a doctrine in an authoritarian manner, he only tried to set a typical vanguardist *modus vivendi*, which meant total emancipation of the spirit from all social constraints. Of course, this *modus vivendi* also implied the observance of a severe moral code that excluded any compromise with the ethics and values of the bourgeois society. This is how one can explain the “excommunications” of those who were found guilty of having aspired to official consecration, to literary glory, a famous case being the exclusion of the poet Ilarie Voronca from the surrealist group, in 1931. The reason of this radical gesture was, as the poet Stéphane Roll (Gheorghe Dinu) pointed out in an article, the fact that Voronca had published “the last treasure of his song” (the volume *Incantations*) “for 40 lei at a bloated and mercenary publishing house”<sup>1</sup> (the “National Culture” Publishing House), an inexcusable mistake to which the endeavors made by the poet to be accepted in the Society of Romanian Writers were added. (Of course, the model of this exclusion were the famous excommunications dictated by Breton, see for example the case of Dali, nicknamed with the ironical anagram “Avida Dollars”.) Therefore, this first stage of the Romanian surrealism can be called “intuitive” in the sense that Maurice Nadeau gave the term (see *The History of Surrealism*, 1964).

One of the first things we can notice in the case of surrealist poetry is the existence of an irreducible antinomy between *poetry* and *literature*<sup>2</sup>, as the first was considered to be the pure expression of the being, while the second was perceived as an institutionalized (conventional) form, with no ontological value. Inspired by

---

<sup>1</sup> Gheorghe Dinu, “Obrazul de cretă” (“The Chalk Face”), in *unu*, Bucharest, no. 40, November 1931.

<sup>2</sup> “Poetry is the opposite of literature”, say Breton and Éluard in the collective essay *Notes sur la poésie* (1926).

Verlaine's famous verse: "Et tout le reste est littérature", Paul Valéry had baptized in 1919 the review published by the future surrealists Aragon, Breton and Éluard *Littérature*, exactly with the opposite meaning of *anti-literature*. From that very moment, for surrealists there was but a single gender – lyric – and a single form of literary expression – poetry –, and this led to the configuration of a unique heterogeneous discourse that mingled the literary species in an amorphous continuum, expression of pure subjectivity. No matter if they produced poetry or criticism, if they wrote in verses or in prose, the surrealists expressed themselves in the same (poetical) manner, and their writing generally kept unchanged its fundamental rhetorical coordinates. The poetic discourse is made entirely of a series of strange images based on involuntary associations between distant, sometimes incongruous realities; therefore, it can be "watched" like a surrealist movie, as it has a visual, a pictorial character, perfect illustration of the principle "ut pictura poesis" (otherwise, it is notorious in the case of surrealism the close relation between poetry and painting, so that many poets illustrated their volumes of poetry with related drawings or paintings).

Perhaps the most gifted poet of this first surrealist generation, Ilarie Voronca wrote a visual poetry based exclusively on luxuriant images coagulated at random, also called for this reason "imagist poetry". Otherwise, Voronca had invented in 1924, together with the painter Victor Brauner, the so-called "painting-poetry", a mixture between poetry and painting following the pattern of the Dadaist collage. His poetic images are born one from another without premeditation, almost automatically, depending exclusively on the poet's momentary state of mind, like in the following example taken from the volume *Nights Bracelet* (1929):

### **Ilarie Voronca – *Nights Bracelet***

*I threw the seine to catch the fish of the colors  
 The voice is transparent like an egg on the horizon  
 The box of thought hides in the bushes of the brain  
 The beaters of the destiny banish it  
 And memory flows like the blood of a wounded deer  
 The jaws of the sky clenched on the pastry of light  
 And how white the ribald blouse of the seas is.*

*I capture all the images of the world under the crystal of my eyelid  
 I shake in my hands the spyglass of the moment  
 The continents wave in my look like the bandages of the nights  
 With my back towards the forehead of my chin  
 I gather the necklaces of the air from the unseen the snails of the sounds  
 I change my heart litmus in the test tube of the verse.*

In 1933, Voronca decided to emigrate to France, following Brauner and Hérold's example, who had left for Paris in 1930. Let us notice the fact that

Voronca, like Victor Brauner, Jacques Herold, Gherasim Luca, Paul Păun, Dolfi Trost, and others, was a Jew, and the political context in Romania at that time was not favorable to the Jews at all. In France, Voronca continued to write in French and became quite famous (during the war he was a member of the French Resistance), but soon after the war, in 1946, troubled by a sentimental crisis, he committed suicide after a short trip to Romania. Ironically, at that time he was writing a *Handbook of Perfect Happiness*.

The most extravagant member of the first surrealist group was Geo Bogza, a proletarian poet who published in the early thirties 2 scandalous volumes of poetry, *Sex Diary* (1929), and *The Invective Poem* (1933), for which he was arrested and eventually sentenced to prison on grounds of obscenity. Bogza was one of the first poets who cultivated the “poem-reportage”, a prosaic poetry meant to contradict the bourgeois ethics, like in the following example taken from *The Invective Poem*:

### **Geo Bogza - *Outrageous Poem***

*One of my nights I made love with a maid  
All happened unexpectedly – and almost without my will  
It was somewhere in a filthy provincial town  
I was living at my old friend of childhood.*

*One evening I wandered alone on the streets – and when I returned,  
The maid was making the bed in my room  
She was a young blackish maid  
She said everybody in the house had gone for a walk  
She smiled  
And she passed by me countless times.*

*I was broken that night and I had no desire to make love  
But the maid was young  
I think she wasn't older than sixteen  
And as she was standing near the bed, as if waiting,  
I approached with a smile and asked her about her name.*

*She told me some name, Mary, I think  
I said it was beautiful, she pretended to be ashamed,  
I think it was close to midnight  
Through the open windows the mixed noise of the town was seeping in  
There, somewhere, there were theatres, cinemas, splendid women and cars  
Here there were only me and the maid;  
She didn't say anything, she only closed her eyes.*

*She was a short maid, almost squad  
And she smelled of sweat very bad.*

*Oh, maid to whom I made love in a filthy provincial town  
When I was broken and your masters were not at home  
Maid whom I've never seen again  
Maid with the thighs with two red stripes made by the garters  
Maid with the belly smelling of onion and parsley  
Maid with the sex like an Eggplant food  
I write this poem about you  
To drive the bourgeois girls mad  
And scandalize their honorable parents  
Because although I have slept with them countless times  
I don't want to sing for them  
And I urinate in their powder boxes  
In their underclothes  
In their pianos  
And in all the other accessories that form their beauty.*

After 1933, Geo Bogza pleaded for an engaged literature, and, like the majority of the surrealists, became a member of the Romanian Communist Party. As a contributor to important left-wing reviews, he published reportages about the miserable life conditions of the workers in the Romanian industry, and, as a correspondent of the newspaper *Romanian World*, he went to Spain in 1937 in order to write about the atrocities of the civil war. For this reason he was watched by the police after he had returned in Romania, and prevented from sustaining a conference on this topic in Bucharest, on the 1st of August 1937. Instead of the conference, Bogza published a series of articles called *The Tragedy of the Basque People*, in which he harshly criticized the cynical massacre of the civil population in Bilbao and Guernica, as in the following fragment:

### **Geo Bogza – *The Tragedy of the Basque People***

*He was a child of a few palms only. Someone had taken him, had washed him, had given him a piece of bread. He was eating it, calmly answering the questions:*

- *Where are you from?*
- *From Guernica.*
- *Where is your mother?*
- *Dead.*
- *And your father?*
- *Dead.*
- *Don't you have an elder brother?*
- *Dead.*

*Was it a dialogue? Was it an interrogatory? No. It was a page of the present history of Spain, fallen into the hands of Franco, Mussolini and Hitler.*

Another important surrealist review was *Alge* (“Algae”), which appeared in 2 series, in 1930 and 1933, with the contribution of the poets Gherasim Luca, Paul Păun, Aureliu Baranga, Sesto Pals, and of the painter Jules Perahim. Neither this publication was called “surrealist”, but “review of modern art”, although the manner of the poems published here had numerous common points with surrealism, such as the incongruous associations, the shocking images, the rebellious spirit meant to vex the bourgeois, and also the so-called “pure psychic automatism”, which was searched in the speech of the retarded children, considered to be “visionary”. Out of the same rebellious spirit, the editors of *Alge* published in 1931 and 1932 two ephemeral reviews with scandalous titles, namely *Pulă* (“Dick”) and *Muci* (“Snots”), which were sent to some important personalities of the time, such as Nicolae Iorga, who was the most notorious apostle of nationalism in Romania. In response, Iorga called the police to arrest “the gang of the spoilers of writing”, and indeed they were immediately arrested and imprisoned for several days on the accusation of “attempt against the good manners”.

1933 was a crucial point in the evolution of the Romanian avant-garde, as the political context became increasingly hostile due to the rapid ascent of the extreme right. All surrealist reviews ceased to appear, and their contributors were thus forced to adopt a militant position in their articles published in the (also ephemeral) communist reviews *Viața imediată* (“Immediate Life”), *Cuvântul liber* („Free Word”), *Tânăra generație* („Young Generation”), *Umanitatea* („Humanity”), *Reporter*, „Era nouă” („New Era”), *Fapta* („Action”), *Meridian*, etc. Nevertheless, the political engagement of almost all the Romanian surrealists must be viewed as closely related to the surrealist project of radically changing the way of life in a future society. Breton himself sustained, in *Position politique du surréalisme* (1935), that “the authentic art of the moment” had to be closely related to the “social revolutionary activity”, as its goal had to be the “ruin and destruction of the capitalist society”, and a propagandistic art was perfectly justified in a period of crisis, a model being the Russian poet Maiakovsky. Following the example of their French fellows, the Romanian surrealists openly sustained the proletarian revolution, and many of them even joined the Communist Party at a moment when its activity was declared officially illegal. Socially frustrated because of class and racial discriminations (most of them were of Jewish origin or from proletarian families), their political option was probably perfectly justified at that moment, as the idea of an egalitarian society must have been, from their point of view, extremely seductive. In the articles published during the fourth decade in the left-wing reviews already mentioned, the Romanian surrealists vehemently denounced the exploitation of the proletariat, the officially encouraged anti-Semitism, the fascist danger, and the increasingly threatening specter of war, meanwhile sustaining the idea of an “engaged” (or “revolutionary”) literature. Out of this project, a series of specific genres emerged, such as the “proletarian poetry”, the “proletarian novel”, and the “reportage poem”, but one may say that the idea of revolution animated the majority of the literary creations published at that time by Geo Bogza, Gherasim Luca, Paul Păun, Gellu Naum, Virgil Teodorescu, Stéphane

Roll, etc. No wonder that the avant-garde was perceived from the very beginning as a dangerous enemy situated, according to Eugene Ionesco's definition, inside the very citadel which, with the aid of occult external forces, it was mightily striving to demolish. This was the reason why the attacks against it were not confined to benign literary polemics, so that Geo Bogza, Gherasim Luca, Gellu Naum, Victor Brauner, Jules Perahim, Gheorghe Dinu, Saşa Pană, and others were being watched, and sometimes even arrested for supposed subversive activities under the direct guidance of the Romanian Communist Party.

The problem of the writer's political engagement was issued for the first time in a manifesto entitled "The poetry we would like to write", published by Geo Bogza, Gherasim Luca, Paul Păun and Jules Perahim in the unique number of the review *Viaţa imediată* (December 1933). In their opinion, poetry had to thoroughly reflect the daily life, as its condition was "the opposition against oppression", in other words, the social revolt. The new poetry had to be simple and aggressive, since it was addressed to the masses, whose class consciousness it was thriving to awake. The theorist of this poetry, called "proletarian", was Gherasim Luca in a series of articles published in 1935 in the left-wing review *Cuvântul liber*. In his opinion, tributary to the Marxist ideology, the proletarian poetry (which was opposed to the "pure" poetry, considered to be in the service of the dominant class) had to reflect the deep contradictions within the bourgeois society, in other words, its motor had to be the class struggle. The poems published by Gherasim Luca and Paul Păun between 1933 and 1937 were a perfect illustration of this program, through their deliberate anti-aestheticism expressed by the most ostentatious prosaism, shocking gestures and violence of speech. The poet appears here in the hypostasis of the proletarian who hates the bourgeois society; the main theme of the poems is, therefore, the class struggle, as the poem is built on an antithesis between the exploited and the exploiters, like in the following example:

#### **Gherasim Luca – *The Poem of the Gentle People***

*I know at last the infamy of the gentle people  
 I know those people with wet and round hands, ready to caress everybody  
 I know also their thin and smiling lips that always have one or two words  
 of pity, of caress  
 oh, thin and infamous lips, against which I should write all my poems  
 thin lips, smiling lips, made for touching the forehead, for saying a prayer  
 lips which kiss with piety the loaf of bread fallen from the table, and put it  
 aside for the servants from the kitchen  
 lips which kiss with a wet and noisy pity the cheeks like cloth for wiping  
 boards of our children from schools, from orphanages  
 lips which kiss the body of Christ on the cross, the thin and fine fingers of  
 a miss, of a lady  
 their thin and expensive fingers like checks, like wars  
 your lips that declare wars, that preach love, philanthropy*

*lips with features of a rod, of a sword, of a rope*  
*lips from which our liberty and our snatch from the hot process of*  
*production hang like from a rope*  
*I confess here in front of the people and of your god*  
*that I am ready to bear with resignation and serenity all the infamies, all*  
*the crimes*  
*if you accept to remove from the vast repertory of your lips*  
*the smile – oh, your smile which intoxicates, which disarms my brothers*  
*my good and confident brothers*  
*beware, comrades, of the gentle people's godlike smile*  
*beware of them like of a disease that gets under your skin without seeing it*  
*beware of them like of an enemy who strikes you on your back*  
*beware of them like of a priest who speaks of happiness in the other*  
*world, of love for the neighbor*  
*they are gentle because they are full*  
*and full as they are they have all the time to feel pity also for those who*  
*have nothing to eat*  
*but still they are full*  
*and you still have nothing to eat*

*comrades,*  
*the robbers who break in the house at night to steal*  
*are just as dangerous, either masked or with uncovered faces*  
*their masks, their smiles, their words of priest and god*  
*must be once for good unmasked*

Gherasim Luca also published in 1937, in the same manner, a “proletarian” novel entitled *Fata morgana* (“Mirage”), whose protagonist is a communist from Moldavia who performs conspiratorial actions under the guidance of the communist party (officially forbidden in Romania in 1924). The stake of the book was obviously not aesthetic but political, since it is almost unreadable: the plot is badly constructed, the action is incoherent, and the style can be considered anti-literary par excellence. Otherwise, the police opened the author a file tracking in which the novel was described as a guide for the clandestine activity of the communists, and therefore its sale was immediately prohibited.

Another surrealist poet who initially linked his literary work with the communist activity was Gellu Naum. Together with Gherasim Luca, Paul Păun and Virgil Teodorescu, Naum published in 1935, under the guidance of the communist party, a review called *Tânăra generație* (“Young Generation”), which was forbidden after only 2 appearances. The same year he was arrested because one night he wrote communist slogans on a wall. In 1936 he published his first volume of poetry, entitled *The Incendiary Traveler*, which he pretended to have been inspired by Victor Brauner’s paintings. The poems combine the surrealist technique of the “automatic speech” with a subversive political content, as the protagonist is a

typical anarchist who performs absurd actions in the spirit of the second surrealist manifesto, as in the following fragment:

**Gellu Naum – *A Centaur Raping the Trees of the Poem***

*It's a high school of art  
to pick your brain like a nose  
and drag from its depths the sad snots of the poem  
it's a high philosophy to know  
how to mix the eye plates with the sunset  
this can't be learned in a single day  
and each pen is a voice.*

*But what are all these beside  
the belly watching the bread with the purest love  
beside the eye touching the silk thighs of the woman who refuses you  
beside the tongue munching like a sparrow longing for a soup?  
Comrades poets that's enough  
enough have we tickled the belly of the earth  
it belly dances with the moon  
listening the bones of the coins like castanets  
its nifty sex has charged the Mediterranean waters  
on the Pacific tress iron lice are flowing  
people say: Kultur or hunger  
burning in blue fires Heine's brain  
from the sun like from a riddled curtain hangs  
the hymen of humanity  
enough have we admired in great pictures  
Mr. Ford's ass washed by the most suave perfumes  
enough have we bashfully smelled the roses  
wearing the soft boots of classical poetry  
your love songs sound false  
and under the powdered skin the hideous wrinkles of the old age appear*

*Enough! These are not the words of a head left with its amazement on the  
window*

*I alone will know to touch like Thomas the unreal wounds  
of the Christs  
I will be a centaur raping the trees of the poem  
I will know to confuse the gentlest sex  
with a sprinkler  
and if necessary I will know to  
light my tress from their ashes*

Let us notice though that the surrealist group founded by Gherasim Luca and Gellu Naum in 1940 refused to endorse the new “device of root-striking” (see Guy Scarpetta, *A Praise to Cosmopolitanism*, 1981), as it detached itself from Stalinism and from the “socialist realism” imposed after 1947 as the only aesthetic formula officially accepted, and that was the reason why the group was forced to dissolve shortly after the establishment of the communist regime, in 1947. Otherwise, the texts published by its members during that period, many of them in French, were entirely apolitical at a moment when not to be politically engaged was considered, from the official point of view, an inexcusable heresy.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- \*\*\* *Avangarda literară românească*, antologie de Marin Mincu, Ed. Minerva, București, 1983
- Breton, André, *Manifestes du surréalisme*, Ed. Gallimard, Paris, 1985
- Breton, André, Éluard, Paul, *Notes sur la poésie*, G.L.M., Paris, 1936
- Breton, André, Soupault, Philippe, *Les Champs magnétiques*, Ed. Gallimard, Paris, 1976
- Durozoi, Gérard, Lecherbonnier, Bernard, *Le surréalisme. Théories, thèmes, techniques*, Librairie Larousse, Paris, 1972
- Literatura românească de avangardă*, antologie de Gabriela Duda, Ed. Humanitas, București, 1997
- Luca, Gherasim, *Inventatorul iubirii și alte scrieri*, ediție de Ion Pop, Ed. Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 2003
- Matthews, J. H., *Languages of Surrealism*, University of Missouri Press, Columbia, 1986
- Morar, Ovidiu, *Avatarurile suprarealismului românesc*, Ed. Univers, București, 2003
- Pop, Ion, *Avangarda în literatura română*, Ed. Minerva, București, 1990
- Sass, Louis A., *Madness and Modernism. Insanity in the Light of Modern Art, Literature, and Thought*, Harvard University Press, 1996
- Tănase, Stelian, *Avangarda românească în arhivele Siguranței*, Ed. Polirom, Iași, 2008
- Virmaux, Alain and Odette, *Les grandes figures du surréalisme international*, Bordas, Paris, 1994

## THE MIRRORS IN THE TEXT: ANGELA CARTER'S ‘TIS PITY SHE'S A WHORE'

Cornelia MACSINIUC,  
'Stefan cel Mare' University of Suceava, Romania  
[corneliamacsiniuc@yahoo.com](mailto:corneliamacsiniuc@yahoo.com)

**Abstract:** The paper examines the way in which Angela Carter's story takes its shape in an intertextual theatre in which the problem of its own identity is dramatised, showing that heterogeneity and fragmentariness appear to keep the text in a kind of 'mirror stage'. The central argument is that the specular devices (both textual and thematic) by means of which the narrative is articulated illustrate the return of the Baroque sensibility in the postmodern age.

**Keywords:** Baroque, postmodernism, intertextuality, narcissism, mirror stage, western

The affinities between the postmodern and the spirit of the early modern Baroque in terms of aesthetics, cultural tropes and philosophical modes have received considerable critical and theoretical attention (e.g. Lambert, 2004; Dimakoupoulos, 2006; Eggington, 2009). The revival of the Baroque, argues William Eggington, 'has nothing to do with the recycling of culture,' but has to be understood as 'the aesthetic counterpart to a problem of thought that is coterminous with that time in the West we have learned to call modernity, stretching from the sixteenth century to the present' (2009, 1). This 'problem of thought', affecting in a fundamental way all cultural, artistic, social and political expressions, concerns 'the relation of appearances to the world they ostensibly represent' (*ibidem*).

The problem becomes more complicated in a technologically mediated, image-dominated culture, in which the possibilities of manipulating visuality create new ways of experiencing, of defining and of representing the 'real.' In this steady questioning and testing of the visible, postmodern literature participates with its own inventory of means and devices in the revelation of the instability and vulnerability of the 'hard-edged world' (to use Angela Carter's

phrase from *The Infernal Desire Machines of Dr. Hoffmann*), undermining our complacent perceptions and confounding our certainties.

As an existential – not only aesthetic – category, the essence of the Baroque lies in the feeling of uncertainty and precariousness, of menacing disintegration and of defenselessness, which compels a compensatory intimidating reaction. The Baroque sensibility characterises periods of crisis and favours the appearance of coping mechanisms that may look like displays of power. As such, it can be identified beyond the sphere of culture: Edgar Papu, for instance, in his remarkable synthesis of cultural stylistics *Barocul ca tip de existență*, sees this category as highly inclusive, extending it to the world of elements and to the natural cycle: the decline phases of the latter and their paradoxical splendour may, according to him, be described as ‘Baroque’ (Papu, 1977, 273ff). By the same token, the aggressive exquisiteness with which nature endows the appearance of some weaker organisms and which has the function of a defense weapon may also be interpreted in the light of the category of the Baroque.

In postmodern literature, the sophistication, the breaking down of category and genre, the flaunting of the artifice, the concern with ideas of ‘originality’ and strategies of textual seductiveness represent markers of the Baroque, indicating perhaps the attempt to dissimulate a certain anxiety, a depression associated with a process of extinction (if we think of the insistently heralded ‘death of literature’), the realization of the moment of its crisis.

The postmodern taste for pastiche, for example, might be seen as corresponding to processes of multiplication and reduplication as resources for survival – the weaker organisms tend to reproduce themselves more abundantly. The same would hold for literary theory and criticism: the proliferation of schools, of reading models, their increasing sophistication occur, after all, in parallel with prophecies about the ‘end of theory’ and with anxieties about the future of literary studies.

Metafictional strategies can also be associated with the spirit of the Baroque: they rely on the simultaneous creation and exposure of illusion, they help problematize the relation reality–fiction/illusion, and they often resort to ‘mise-en-abîme’ effects – a special case of specularity. The mirror, as a classic instrument of manipulating and exploring the relationship between truth and appearance, has, both in the architectural arrangement of Baroque interiors and in the textual mechanism of the ‘text-within-the-text’, the same function of denaturalizing the act of representation: ‘In duplicating, the mirror deforms and thus it reveals how its representation, apparently natural, is in fact a projection using specific modeling language’ (Yuri Lotman, qtd. in Lambert, 2004, 102).

Analogous effects are perceivable in what Jonathan Culler has described as characteristic of many contemporary critical readings: the tendency to treat the literary text as an allegory of a particular critical concern. What happens in such an approach is a ‘shift to another level of analysis where a theoretical discourse that

makes claims about the fundamental organization of language and experience attempts to provide insights into the structure and meaning of texts, whatever their ostensible themes' (1983, 207-8). It is no less true that postmodern writers are highly theory-conscious themselves and that literary texts are often made to 'hold a mirror' to critical issues, turning, as it were, theory into fiction.

My reading of Angela Carter's "'Tis Pity She's a Whore' starts from this latter assumption (she clearly seems to be writing with a 'psychoanalytic/feminist mind') and aims at showing how the themes she tackles and the discursive strategies she deploys make this text a typical instance of postmodern Baroque.

In Carter's story, Lacan's theory of the mirror stage as formative of the 'I' through the process of identification with an image (cf. Lacan, 1988) is recognizably 'staged' into a story of incest and death taking place in the silent immensity of the North American heartland – a story which duplicates that of John Ford's *Caroline* play with the same title – a classic Baroque tragedy (1633). Carter even uses one of the main pieces in the Lacanian theoretical property – the mirror, the only source of images for the motherless children of the prairie. Literally and symbolically, the mirror becomes the prime 'mediator', enabling the brother and sister to find in each other extensions of their own selves.

The fragmented mirror is actually a principle which underlies the organization of the text at all levels. The most immediate issue that specularly raises is that of identity. Carter's text is, allegedly, the result of a specular relationship between the works – one real, one imagined – of the two John Fords. The story is carried through a sort of collage of narrative and descriptive fragments, quotations from John Ford's tragedy, and bits of a scenario that 20th century John Ford would have imagined for a western movie which should transpose the disturbing *Caroline* drama onto celluloid tape – the mirror in which 'America will look at itself' (cf. Carter, 1994, 187). The text is a typically postmodernist trans- and intertextual project, which is also to say, Baroque in nature.

The sameness of the two invoked authors' names (alluding perhaps to the duplication in the American space of many Old World names) actually rests on an illusory identification. Proper names are markers of difference and their function is to identify; they connect tightly and unambiguously with their referent, they are anchored in time and space. Authors' names, by contrast, are not proper names like the rest; as Michel Foucault remarks, they serve 'to characterize a certain mode of being of discourse', they have a 'classificatory function', permitting a number of texts to be grouped together, to be differentiated and contrasted (Foucault, 1988, 201).

The Old World John Ford stands for a group of sensationalist tragedies, associated with *Caroline* decadence; they are 'the real thing', illustrating the Baroque spirit and form as the distinctive and dominant cultural mark of the age. Disturbing moral questionings – what Unamuno called the painful confrontation

between 'rational scepticism' and 'sentimental exasperation,' extremeness of passions, violence, spectacularity, the precarious balance between the sublime and the repulsive, the shocking – all these are part of the Baroque formula. The revival, in stage productions, of Jacobean and Caroline drama in a Europe shattered by two world wars and their atrocities (cf. Wymer, 1995, 1) constitutes a proof of the persistence of the Baroque spirit: in a period of crisis, the need was felt for the resurrection of a theatre that should not be outpaced by the anguish, instability and catastrophe of the time, and whose therapeutic mission was to be accomplished by destroying the complacent consistency of all our representations – as Antonin Artaud was urging (cf. 1958, 84; 122).

In the opening footnote, Carter quotes a brief statement of the American director, meant, as it were, to confirm identity: 'I am John Ford. I make westerns' (Carter, 1994, 180). The apparent duplication proves to be a travesti: John Ford is the pseudonym for Sean Aloysius O'Feeney; on the other hand, westerns are indeed made, fabricated, they are nothing but celluloid tapes onto which the mystifying power of the camera eye returns a constructed image. The dramatic situation and the world in the movie are both the result of artifice, of illusion. *Theatricality*, in more than one sense, is a prominent feature of the Baroque, and it is interesting to notice how Carter's story has no narrator in the conventional sense, but a 'voice' that sets the scene ('Imagine an orchestra behind them...' – *ibidem*, 182) and directs the movements of the 'camera', or that, at other times, functions as a spectator, making conjectures – speculating ('What did the girl think?'; 'It is the boy that is most mysterious to me. I imagine him mute or well-nigh mute', etc. – *ibidem*, 184). Apart from the fact that here the text seems to be reading itself, trying to make sense of the representations that it constructs, this confusion of narrative vehicles, the experimental recounting of the story in the form of a movie script, constitutes another aspect of the Baroque nature of Carter's postmodern narrative. What underlies her experiment is the 'principle of hybridity,' by virtue of which 'the structure of one medium is applied analogically to organize another' (Lambert, 2004, 105), creating thus a characteristically heterogeneous perspective.

Carter has the original and the imagined text mirror into each other, but, technically, a mirror image is an inverted and inconsistent one, so the apparent identity and continuity is betrayed, as in the case of the failure of Lacan's subject to attain maturation if haunted too persistently by the primary narcissic image that had conferred it an imaginary/fictional identity in the mirror stage.

The undecided, problematic generic status of Carter's text, its manifest discontinuity, is thus an enactment of the drama of literature unable to detach itself from its own reflection, constantly turning in upon itself. Postmodern 'narcissistic narrative' always courts the danger of excessive mirroring.

The myth of Narcissus is a favourite one with the Baroque, since it subsumes the issues of identity, of the problematic demarcation between reality

and illusion, and of the integrity of the self. To these might also be added the themes of speech and silence, also present in Carter's text.

The narcissist drive to identify with the object of desire in order to preserve the identity of the self is moving the characters in both Ford's tragedy and the imagined script (where they are, in fact, only reflections, or rather adapted versions of literary characters – so the representational illusion is at two removes from reality), but the implications diverge.

In the Caroline play, incest represents a *transgression*, a declaration of war against received values and the established norms of a mature (one might even say 'old') civilization. In the Americanized version, it represents a *regression* into the 'mirror stage'. With 17<sup>th</sup> century John Ford, the tragedy is in part caused by a breaking of vows – the characters display a strong awareness of the importance of language. The Baroque aspect of their hubris, in this respect, would lie in their excessive pursuit of 'originality' – their 'symbolic order' is too personal, practically disconnected from the societal structure, and therefore shocking. In Carter's imagined script, the background of the events is the 'enormous silence of the empty land' which 'echoes a vast margin around the sermons of the preacher' in the neighboring town (Carter, 1994, 181). The children's insertion into the world of language, of the symbolic, of the Law/the Father, is made impossible by the 'unimaginable freedom' (*ibidem*) in which they live and, on the other hand, by the absence of adequate models (i.e. images) with which they might identify in order to pass from the unorganized, 'natural' state to that of 'civilization', the space of culture, the realm of organizing speech (cf. 'sermon'). Claude Lévi-Strauss had established an analogy between effective communication and exogamy: the exchange of women, together with the straightforward, undeceiving use of speech, constitutes the foundation of societies, and marks the insertion in the cultural space (1972, 550). In Carter, Johnny and Annie-Belle's tragedy is caused by a confusion of family roles, by the boy's failure to identify with an 'other', and by his mute panic at seeing his 'mirror image', the anchor of his self – his sister –, alienated from him and betraying their little Eden and their illusion of being the origin of a world, rejecting the threefold role of sister, wife and mother (it was Annie-Belle that fed the two men).

The multiple hypostases of femininity that Annie-Belle is called to fulfill constitute a mirror for the anthropomorphic image of space itself. 'At the time of this story,' America appears as 'a woman with an hour-glass waist [...]. America, with your child-bearing hips and your crotch of jungle, your swelling bosom of a nursing mother and your cold head, your cold head. Its central paradox resides in this: that the top half doesn't know what the bottom half is doing' (Carter, 1994, 180-1). America itself is presented as a fragmented space, a body in which the parts do not communicate, whose self is not integrated.

The America reflected in 20<sup>th</sup> century John Ford's westerns has also feminine attributes: it is always an object of desire and of conquest, a challenging, unpredictable space, over which men are invariably shown to be victorious. Carter imagines an inverted scenario, in which the hostile geography is not so easily subdued, in which Indians, commonly presented as part of the natural threat, are no longer completely annihilated but return insidiously into the present, in the shape of Death, to haunt the incestuous lovers' bed, and in which the socialized, culturalized, civilized space fails to impose order on the unformed environment. Her story rejects the idealized, male-centred version of the domestication of America and counterposes the image of the American land as a cruel mother, 'beloved, unkind' (Carter, 1994, 197) – desired but at the same time alienating, nursing her children but never letting herself be fully possessed again. At a far remove from Wordsworth's romantic image of the foster-mother Earth who 'fills his lap with pleasures of her own' in order to compensate man's sense of loss of the 'original' home, Carter's American heartland often crushed people under the weight of its immense solitude. It is a fact that, sometimes, the pioneering families settling in a new land retained the sense of being cut off from 'home' (cf. Luedtke, 1987, 86); setting up a community was not always a smooth business; the opposite pulls of *communalism* as a defense against an unpredictable nature on the one hand, and *individualism* and the desire for absolute freedom (signified by incest in this story) on the other often resulted in an irredeemable sense of alienation and sometimes in tragic events.

The privileging of the feminine (also a Baroque trait – cf. Papu, 1977, 251 ff), intended as a rectification of the privileging of the masculine in Ford's westerns, acquires emphasis in the difference in the 'mirror story' of the brother and the sister, respectively. It is not to be overlooked that in both Ford's tragedy and Carter's story it is the woman who reaches the awareness that incest is morally and socially unacceptable. It is the woman that manages to free herself from the fictional image of her own self built during the 'mirror stage' – of which incest is a hyperbolic literalization. Narcissus was, after all, unaware that the image he had fallen in love with represented himself, and his tragic end is to be linked with his unconscious aspiration toward an impossible androgynism, or perhaps with his incomplete feminization, the mirror being part of the feminized complex of the Baroque (*ibidem*), connoting vulnerability and weakness, but also, one might add, self-knowledge, heightened awareness. Echo, at least, was aware of the otherness of the object of her desire. Annie-Belle is, in the beginning, 'the object of her own desire' (Carter, 1994, 192); then she finds in her brother an extension of her own self, but upon entering an exogamic relationship she has the revelation that in her husband 'she saw not herself, but someone who might, in time, grow even more precious' (*ibidem*). In her case, what Lacan calls the 'cultural mediation' in her sexual maturation has operated successfully. Only that, since she and her brother had once been, in Giovanni's

words, ‘one soul, one flesh, one love, one heart, one all’ (Ford, qtd. in Wymer, 1995, 126), the division can only lead to tragedy – the definitively split ego cannot survive, and the technical outcome, in Carter’s story, is a catastrophe, at once a pastiche and an inversion of western endings: murder/suicide by shotgun, the death of all protagonists. Preserving the analogy, Echo’s tragedy lies in her exclusion from the circuit of socially-gendered exchange, figuratively signified by her linguistic deficiency).

The fragmented, discontinuous, heterogenous aspect of Carter’s text confers it the role of an echo to Ford’s western stories, which suppress and repress issues. Her text is also a specular device that looks two ways: to the past, at John Ford’s tragedy – the already said, the tradition, and to the imaginary, the unsaid, trying to build a bridge between them. It is an illustration of the fact that problems of intertextuality and transtextuality are more than matters of technique or aesthetic relationship; they become ontological categories for postmodern literature.

The mirrors of postmodern fiction offer no longer the classical reassuring correspondence between reality and reflected image, nor are they the Romantic ‘wave’s intenser day,’ giving a sharpness and intensity to the reflected image that rendered reality pale by comparison. They are more like the complicated catoptric installations and metamorphic cabinets which delighted the 17<sup>th</sup> century, the century of the Baroque, with their universes of illusion and their unstable, ambiguous worlds – mechanisms in a textual laboratory where tradition and the ‘real’ become enigmatic shapes and spaces.

## REFERENCES

- Angela Carter, 1994. ‘John Ford’s *’Tis Pity She’s a Whore.*’ *American Ghosts and Old World Wonders*, London: Vintage. 20–42.
- Artaud, Antonin, 1958. *The Theater and Its Double*, transl. from French Mary caroline Richards, New York: Grove Press.
- Culler, Jonathan, 1983. *On Deconstruction*, London: Routledge.
- Dimakopoulou, Stamatina, 2006. ‘Remapping the Affinities between the Baroque and the Postmodern: The Folds of Melancholy & the Melancholy of the Fold’, E-rea [online], 4.1 | 2006, URL: <http://erea.revues.org/415>; DOI: 10.4000/erea.415, visited on 13 Jan. 2013.
- Eggington, William, 2009. *The Theatre of Truth: The Ideology of the (Neo)Baroque Aesthetics*, Stanford U.P.
- Foucault, Michel, 1988. ‘What is an author?’, in Lodge, 197–210.
- Lacan, Jacques, 1988. ‘The Insistence of the Letter in the Unconscious’, in Lodge, 80–106.

- Lambert, Gregg, 2004. *The Return of the Baroque in Modern Culture*, New York and London: Continuum.
- Lévi-Strauss, Claude, 1972. 'Incest and Myth', in Lodge, 546-50.
- Lodge, David (ed.), 1972. *Twentieth Century Literary Criticism. A Reader*, London and New York: Longman.
- Lodge, David (ed.), 1988. *Modern Criticism and Theory. A Reader*, London and New York: Longman.
- Ludtke, Luther S., 1992. *Making America. The Society and Culture of the United States*, Information Agency, Washington D.C.
- Papu, Edgar, 1977. *Barocul ca tip de existență*, vol. I, București: Editura Minerva.
- Wymer, Rowland, 1995. *Webster and Ford*, New York: St. Martin's Press, Inc.

## RETHINKING THE POLITICS OF POSTCOLONIAL STUDIES

Om Prakash DWIVEDI,  
University of Taiz  
[om\\_dwivedi2003@yahoo.com](mailto:om_dwivedi2003@yahoo.com)

**Abstract:** Postcolonial Studies has become one of the most contested fields of study in this increasingly globalised academic world. Ever since its inception in the academic world in 1989 with the work of Ashcroft, *et al*, this field of study has undergone many radical changes. Yet what remains unattended in this study is the mistreatment meted out to minor communities and their cultures. The present paper aims to focus on this serious gap inherent in the field of postcolonial studies. It argues that the lack of attention and withdrawal from migratory communities at places which supposedly claim to be the centre of multiculturalism and transnationalism is an outcome of authorial deliberations of postcolonial critics.

**Keywords:** Postcolonial studies, globalization, transnationalism, migration & other

Postcolonial theory began as an intellectual project to address and overcome the vexed issues of the once-colonized nations. It started with urgent assertions on freedom, justice and equality either through peaceful means, as in the case of Mahatma Gandhi's struggle for India's freedom, or by practicing a rhetoric of violence, as advocated by Jean Paul Sartre and Frantz Fanon in case of Algerian Independence, because that remained for them the only option available. Ever since the publication of Frantz Fanon's *The Wretched of the Earth*, which provided a foundation for this theory, much has been written about postcolonial theory over the decades. The warm reception given to this theory, especially in the Western countries, as a way to approach the study of formerly colonized cultures and societies can be seen as one of the reasons for the sustained interest in this field of study. Indeed, it is not an exaggeration to assert that postcolonial theory has been institutionalized in the Western academia, and concomitantly, there has been a 'marvelous crowdedness' of postcolonial texts across the world.

Despite, or perhaps because of, all of the attention to postcolonial studies as a new discipline, the relevance of postcolonial studies has been a highly polemical issue ever since its inception. It is based on differences of various kinds – social, political, economical, and most importantly cultural, existing between the First World and the Third world. Postcolonial studies, according to the cultural critic Stuart Hall, is the ‘the bearer of such powerful unconscious investments – a sign of desire for some, and equally for others, a signifier of danger’ (Hall, 1986, 242). Postcolonial theory has been framed as an ‘exhaustive paradigm’ in the 2006 MLA roundtable discussion ‘The End of Postcolonial Theory’. There is substantial merit in viewing postcolonial studies as exhaustive because such studies convincingly position and magnify the dominant stature of the West and its solipsistic discourse against the ‘Orient.’ In setting out to map a revolutionary change in the erstwhile colonized countries as its moralistic mission through postcolonial discourse, postcolonial studies has ended up in distancing the East from the West, as issues of racism, human rights, homelessness, nationalism among many others, continue to re-emerge, and, as such, are yet to be diminished or effaced. In a similar vein, Brennan registers his concerns about the relevance of postcolonial studies when he writes, ‘As the world is being redrawn after 1989, postcolonial studies has done little to keep pace with the changing forms of imperialism as an actual set of strategies and developments’ (Brennan, 2007, 107). One needs to rethink assumptions about the nature of postcolonial theory because it has been shaped by the institutionalization of postcolonial studies. It is this rethinking over the politics of postcolonial studies and its attendant problems that the present article proposes to investigate by acknowledging newer forms of colonialism operating in this increasingly globalised world. It sets out to recognize the complexities and culpability of postcolonial politics plaguing this exponential globalised world, and then, accordingly, intervenes by trying to fill the gaps that exist at theoretical levels in the discourses on postcolonial studies, by a plausible reconsideration and reconceptualization of the vexed issues. In so doing, it proposes a number of cogent alternatives underpinned and anchored by an unfailing plea for solidarity among postcolonial nations by exacerbating any existing binaries or differences. After all, it is the difference that is most clearly visible in this world.

Initially, the term ‘postcolonial’ referred to ‘all the cultures affected by the imperial process from the moment of colonization to the present day’ (Ashcroft, *et. al*, 1989, 2). Such an expansive yet a structuralist view was always going to be polemical, and, concomitantly, it spawned a number of pertinent questions, the most central of which may be whether different cultures sharing different histories can even be thought of converging and being examined under a single term. And the intuitive answer would seem to be, of course not. And yet that is essentially what has occurred. Even on the best

usage of postcolonialism, it only emerges as a periodizing term with no greater historical or political implications.

The failure to institutionalize postcolonial studies in Departments other than English is something that also needs to be examined and reconsidered. This deficiency marking postcolonial studies has received flak from Ania Loomba, as she appropriately questions the approach and roles of scholars from other fields towards postcolonial studies: 'political theorists and economists rarely enter the picture, either in the western academy or in other parts of the world' (Loomba, 98, 40). How can one tend to neglect or ignore such an uncharted academic attitude? If postcolonialism is to be equated with development, then this development can only be feasible if it widens its ambit for a thorough and systematic investigation through different subjects that appear under the envelope of 'Humanities'. Even Asif Dirlik and Terry Eagleton are dismissive of 'postcolonial thought' and 'postcolonialism' due to its unconvincing and refractory engagement with economic issues. This coherently puts a big question mark over the efficacy of postcolonialism in its dealing with economic issues and cultural inequalities. Surely, postcolonialism needs to be rerouted and such a rerouting can only be made feasible when there is an amelioration of knowledge production – knowledge to make postcolonialism a powerful tool in the hands of people so that they can unite and impose a fervent resistance against the globalization of exploitation which has emerged as the new enemy at the present moment. Surely, the structure of colonialism and its ensuing exploitations has changed; instead of operating in specific countries, it is now exploiting in invisible forms. It has now become relatively hard to locate and strike against the enemy. That is why it has become increasingly important to adequately theorize the newer versions of global powers and exploiters, because once viably projected through a plausible theory, it will provide a platform for combating these complicit super-powers.

Another problematic issue that clouds postcolonial studies is its unprecedented reliance on multiple or hybrid identities and multicultural nations. Such a celebratory notion is appreciative provided the intentions are not complicit. But by engendering and sedimenting these practices of multiculturalism or transnationalism through their conceptual alignment with capitalist-based globalization (which, clearly, has emerged as a newer form of colonialism), the very notions that were central to decolonization – such as identity, culture, and, most importantly, history – are (un)willingly obfuscated and dismantled. We are all cognizant of the fact that postcolonial theorists and elites, especially those from the 'Third World' who have settled in Europe and the US, resolutely and cavalierly promote such capitalist-based thoughts in order to sustain and justify the sacrosanctity of globalization, because once predicating and compellingly demonstrating that globalizing tendencies are

beneficial will inevitably foster the positionality of capitalist-based globalization and concomitantly warrant unethical moves in the name of its development. It is in this regard that Simon Gikandi argues that ‘postcolonial elites are, by virtue of their class, position, or education, the major beneficiary of the project of decolonization’ (Gikandi, 2010, 29). Ostensibly, postcolonial theory exacerbates and dismantles any sort of binarism in the supposed celebration of multiculturalism. But one must wonder at the general silence of postcolonial theorists and commentators at critical moments such as when American President George W. Bush spectacularly reduced the world to a binary opposition between supporting an ever-expanding ‘war on terrorism’ and being a terrorist. In effect, any Muslim who did not accede to devastating invasions of Muslim nations was choosing to be categorized as the ‘Other’. It thus projects that the commitment to the marginalized has, at critical times, been witheringly dismissive. San Juan contemptuously comments on the decisive deafness of these elite critics:

“Where were the postcolonial gurus during the Gulf War? What is their stand on political prisoners like Mumia Abu Jamal, Elizam Escobar, Leonard Peltier, and many others languishing in the US jails? ... How does postcolonial theory... explain the plight of millions of ‘overseas contract workers’ – women domestics, ‘hospitality girls’, and mail-order brides comprise this large, horizontally mobile cohort – all over the world?” (Juan, 1998, 13)

This disturbing silence by the critics who have often projected themselves as apostles of revolution and reforms is appallingly serious, and which needs be urgently worked out, as demonstrated by Neil Lazarus in one of his articles which he wrote after the US attack on Iraq in the wake of 9/11 terror attack, where he unmasks the veil from the face of ‘US globalism’ by incriminating the propensity of globalization. Here is what Lazarus says: ‘the veil has slipped from the face of the juggernaut usually called ‘globalization’ to reveal the unmistakable, and unmistakably brutal, face of US globalism: the power of the American state, now frankly projected and bent on world domination’ (Lazarus, 2006, 11). The same activism has been also exhorted by Pramod Nayar, in what appears to be one of the best introductory books written on Postcolonial theory, wherein he demarcates and heightens the deep concerns for the recognition of the marginalized members of the world. Likewise, Nayar’s pleads for mutual recognition by acknowledging ‘the suffering of the Other and work to fight institutional forms of suffering everywhere’ which ethically involves ‘a recognition of and response to the suffering of Others’ (Nayar, 2010, 204). It is this kind of activism as projected in the brave revelation of Lazarus, and the cogent alternative proposed by Nayar, that can be counted as real postcolonial moments, because in so doing, it unites and concomitantly effaces the palpable differences that have been the root cause of major problems.

One more instance of such a sudden emergence of monsters within a country can be cogently witnessed in the case of Kashmir in India, which has been central to many fierce (un)official battles between India and Pakistan. The appearance and reappearance of Muslim at successive intervals during critical times have always been a vexed issue. Sadly, this community has been at the receiving end due to the demonic and barbaric acts of followers of Hindu Rights. India's designed enemy in Kashmir is the Other – the Muslim which must be expunged at any cost. In one of his novels, *Shalimar the Clown* (2005), Salman Rushdie exposes the beastly acts of Indian soldiers in Kashmir:

“The methodology of crackdown could be expressed technically as cordon-and-search. Curfews would be imposed and soldiers would go house to house. [ ... ] Town by town, hamlet by hamlet, every part of the valley would be visited by his wrath, by men who had taken their gloves off, his warriors, his storm troopers, his fists. He would see how much these people loved their insurgency then, when they had the Indian army fucking them in the crack”. (Rushdie, 2005, 292)

According to certain data, since 1989, 70,000 people in Kashmir have died, over 8,000 have disappeared, and 250,000 have been displaced. These data have exposed India's frail democracy and also the failure of postcolonial Indian nationalism, which continues to breed the idea of communal differences. No postcolonial theorist can justify this tellingly exclusionary and reductive dangerous nature of the US and India. But the fact remains that such acts of barbarity and inhumanity continue to magnify and proliferate due to the failure of postcolonial theorists over these sensitive and grave issues. Postcolonial theory urgently demands an ethically motivated revisionism to overcome the moralistic disproportion that currently dots this theory, in order to (re)formulate a responsible stance towards the marginalized Other.

Quite clearly, postcolonial theory in the 21st century, instead of resisting and challenging structures of exploitation, has instead, interestingly, aligned itself with the capitalist model of globalization, which Hardt and Negri identify as the new ‘Empire’ because its emergence once again demarcates the world into a center-periphery model. By doing so, postcolonial theory has become almost an extension of globalization, and hence postcolonial theorists do not seize opportunities for proving themselves as agents of counter-globalization. It is this positionality that makes it untenable to label them as ‘postcolonial critics,’ because many of them act as compradors turning their backs on the real victims of racism and capitalism. The political activism and consistent struggle that were supposedly quintessential in this theory, in order to become decolonized, is now absurdly missing. One needs to look up at the incisive suggestions by Robert J.C. Young whereby he calls for a revision and reconsideration of the roles of academics, by urging them ‘to make academic

work accountable and to foreground that accountability by forging links with the lived politics of the social world, recovering histories, and creating possibilities for new dynamics of cultural and political practice' (Young, 1999, 29). It was in this context that S.V. Gallagher pointed out, a long time back, that 'the postcolonial is never a specific moment but an ongoing struggle, a continual emergence' (Gallagher, 1997, 377). It is the exploitation by neo-imperialism in its various forms that has seriously problematised the living conditions of postcolonial nations.

At the moment, we are facing a strange impasse in postcolonial theory, which prevents any utopian hope. Ostensibly, postcolonial theory constitutes an irony, because all it does is to position the 'Third World' in an asymmetrical power relation with the West due to its failure to represent the history of struggle and exploitation of the once-colonized evocatively. Manoeuvred skilfully by capitalist-based globalization, it has come to work against its origins, the very reasons for its being, as the concepts of national and cultural identity continue to get obfuscated and exacerbated. The failure in encountering newer forms of grave dangers that lace globalization and which eventually operate as neo-colonial oppressive and exploitative power can be subdued only by demarcating and identifying the marginalizing tendencies of neo-colonialism. It requires a truly transnational democratic openness by postcolonial studies which prioritizes and restores the so far ignored rights of the subalterns. As further argued by Francoise Lionnet and Shu-mei Shih in connection with the urgency to reroute the specificities of transnationalism, it is necessary to adopt a more focused approach on the hitherto ignored 'minor perspective' because the present discourse 'troubles the prevalent notions of transnationalism as a homogenizing force' (Lionnet and Shih, 2005, 5).

In a recent brilliant article, titled 'What postcolonial theory doesn't say', Neil Lazarus ferociously attacks the giddy tendencies of the unmoored critics of postcolonialism by aiming at the core problem that lies at the very heart of postcolonial theory. Lazarus is contemptuous of the fact that postcolonial critics have been resolutely blind, as they have remarkably failed to situate colonialism within the wider context of 'capitalist development'. This is an effectual complaint, and Lazarus himself goes on to expose this drawback very pertinently. I quote him at length as this passage remarkably points to the gap that lies within postcolonial theory, and which scholars must try to ponder over if postcolonial theory has to be authoritatively rerouted:

"Colonialism as an historical process involved the forced integration of hitherto uncapitalised societies, or societies in which the capitalist mode of production was not hegemonic, into a capitalist world system. Over the course of a couple of centuries in some territories, mere decades in other, generalised commodity production was imposed: production for exchange rather than use;

monetization; private ownership; the development of specifically capitalist markets. ... Along the way, existing social relations and modes of existence were undermined, destroyed, reconfigured; new social relations and modes of existence were brought into being... Peasantries were destroyed, along with subsistence, tributary and market economies (some of them vast and elaborate) to be replaced by capitalized agriculture in one location, proletarianization in another, with waves of migratory labor (more or less regulated, sometimes not at all) in between. Ruling elites were made, unmade and remade, the basis of their power thoroughly transformed". (Lazarus, 2011, 11)

One cannot but agree with Lazarus's incisive analysis of the characteristic of colonialism. The appropriation of social relations based on capitalism in its heightened form has inevitably turned out to be characteristically disastrous, bent upon destroying rather than preserving the very human world. Capital has been, and continues to be, the only reason that lies at the roots of separatism and concomitant construction of oppositional binarism such as West and rest, north/south, we/them, master/servant, developed/underdeveloped. In this regard, Lazarus's views are vital because they point to the real enemy against whom postcolonial theorists need to rebel.

If postcolonial studies have to persuasively ameliorate its discourses it must do away with its present strictures, by including the excluded migrants, exile and refugees who have become a characteristic feature of this increasingly globalised porous world – a world which emphatically claims to be a multicultural or transnational one, but which concurrently happens to be an inherently flawed one, as the marauding evil pops out in the form of the demarcation between victims/victimizers, exploiter/exploited. Rightly does Benita Parry argue that:

"the time has come for postcolonial studies to promote empirical investigations of these unsettled diasporas, and undertake the dissemination of the experiences spoken by scattered, impoverished, and despised populations stranded in temporary and exploited employment as contract workers, casual laborers, or domestic servants in Europe, North America, and the Gulf States". (Parry, 2002, 72)

These suggestions are highly valid and applicable, if one meticulously examines the magnitude of the problem of this mobilized world. Parry's urgency in advocating the cause of 'unsettled diaspora' is understandable and justifiable as it rightly demands for a coherent development of a new vocabulary within postcolonial studies for investigating these unheard and marginalized voices which lie at the very heart of this seemingly transnational world supposedly celebrating plurivocality, but, in actuality, permitting only a singular voice of gargantuan power to operate, concomitantly dislocating and disrupting the settled diaspora and refugees.

Migratory communities everywhere continue to be ghettoized and enmeshed. Their lives can be considered disciplined only in so far as they are willing to be suppressed and silent. They are world citizens only so long as they unconditionally succumb to the overriding unethical demands of economical and political elites. The moment they raise their voice, or put forth any demand, their seductive worldliness is reduced to a ghastly nothingness simply because the worldliness continues to be defined within the cavalier parameters set up by biased political institutions and self-promoting economic structures. This ostensibly is the paradoxicality of worldliness or cosmopolitanism. They seem to be offering so much, but even a cursory look at their propensities will, at once, reveal their real nature and complicit motifs. This, then, concomitantly confirms the reductive nature of human rights and privileges. They *are* desired and celebrated universally, but granted *only* selectively. In the same vein, Greg A. Mullins tellingly poses a few serious questions about the very nature of ‘rights’: ‘Do human rights indeed belong to all human beings? Or do human rights only belong to those who are socially and politically positioned to own them’ (Mullins, 2012, 121). Seen from this angle, one cannot but agree with Parry’s and Mullin’s incisive and coherent demand for a reconsideration of the rights of the minor diaspora scattered throughout the world.

Sivanandan rightly finds a commonality underpinning both the war on terror and the fear of refugees in the present multicultural world, and in so doing, he expresses his concern for the figure of the Muslim which has appeared as a fearful and threatening one:

“the war on asylum and the war on terror [...] have converged to produce a racism which cannot tell a settler from an immigrant, an immigrant from an asylum seeker, an asylum seeker from a Muslim, a Muslim from a terrorist”. (Sivanandan, 2006, 2)

What we ostensibly witness in the above-mentioned passage is a terrible problem that has been plaguing postcolonial theory for a long time due to the negligence of its propounders. In the present globalised world dotted with imperial force, minor communities have no other alternative but to bow before the dominant structures of capitalist-based neo-imperialism. In the case of a disavowal of neo-imperialism by minor communities, they are treated with intense hatred and concomitantly looked upon as terrorists – a seemingly dangerous threat to the society, which must be removed if the society is to live in peace. These biased propagandas position and structure the Other in negative shades, thus producing and reproducing patterns of repetition and difference – one of the major drawbacks of postcolonial theory – which ever since its inception has cavalierly thrived upon the Hegelian notion of social differences.

It is on the backdrop of these highly sensitive and ignored issues that Crystal Bartolovich eloquently poses a series of thought-provoking questions, which need to be given a serious thought. Here is what Bratolovich asks:

“What about diaspora? When ‘national’ populations are dispersed, what ‘form’ does struggle take? Can there be ‘transnational’ sites of resistance? What would they look like? What ‘form’ furthers most effectively ‘globalization from below’ – the formation of transnational alliances among unions and other groups resisting corporate globalization?” (Bratolovich, 2000, 148)

What we witness in the above passage is an increasing recognition of the need to identify some common grounds and affiliations by a reconsideration of diaspora, refugees, and their attendant problems. The need of the hour is to provide ‘transnational sites of resistance’ from where political actions and political solidarity can be effectuated by engaging the local and the global as active participants. Such solidarity, we can say, would be an ‘affective transnationalism’ whose entire attention would be against a common enemy of exploitation, and which will eventually dismantle the painful strictures of the social set up, and its inherent systematic flaws, and, as such, it can be highly influential and plausible to check the roots of separatism and effectuate the ignored human rights.

To conclude, one can say that it is the ignored or condoned rights of marginalized individuals, appallingly enmeshed in the seductive appearance of globalization, that have undoubtedly emerged as one of the problematic issues of postcolonial studies and that must be readdressed ethically and willingly. The time has come for postcolonial theorists to start rethinking over the complicit motif of capitalist-based globalization which treats minor communities as commodities. It *promises* them economic emancipation and global citizenship *only* to reduce them to the condition of proletariat. It promises triumph of plurality over singularity everywhere, but differences continue to tellingly and woefully exist, and concomitantly *ethne* and economy become the defining criteria of global citizenship, thus exposing the real exploitative face of globalization and transnationalism. The appalling silence of postcolonial studies over these critical issues needs to be readdressed because to invoke postcoloniality in a truer sense would mean to be a citizen of many cultures and nations, and this is feasible only by effacing problematic differences that underpin the world order. After all, it was these various differences troubling the peace of world that postcolonial theory had sought to obfuscate and disrupt since its very inception.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ashcroft, Bill. Gareth Griffith & Helen Tiffin, 1989. *The Empire Writes Back: Theory and Practice in Post-Colonial Literatures*. London: Routledge.
- Bartolovich, Crystal, 2000. "Global Capital and Transnationalism." *A Companion to Postcolonial Studies*. (eds.) Henry Schwarz & Sangeeta Ray. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Brennan, Timothy, 2007. "The Economic Image-Function of the Periphery." *Postcolonial Theory and Beyond*, (eds.) Ania Loomba, Suvir Kaul, et al. Durham & London: Duke University Press.
- Gallagher, S.V., 1997. "The Backward Glance: History and the Novel in Post-Apartheid South Africa." *Studies in the Novel*, 29.
- Gikandi, Simon, 2010. "Between roots and routes: cosmopolitanism and the claims of locality" *Rerouting the Postcolonial: New Directions for the New Millennium*. (eds.) Janet Wilson, Cristina Sandru and Sarah Lawson Welsh. Oxon: Routledge.
- Hall, Stuart, 1986. "'When Was the Post-Colonial'?: Thinking at the Limit." *The Post-Colonial Question: Common Skies, Divided Horizons*. (eds.) Ian Chambers & Lidia Curti. London: Routledge.
- Juan, San, Jr., 1998. *Beyond Postcolonial Theory*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Lazarus, Neil, 2006. "Postcolonial Studies after the Invasion of Iraq." *New Formations*, 59, Autumn.
- Lazarus, Neil, 2011. "What Postcolonial Theory Doesn't Say." *Race & Class*, 53 (1).
- Lionnet, Francoise and Shu-mei Shih, 2005. *Minor Transnationalism*, Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Loomba, Ania, 1998. "Postcolonialism – Or Postcolonial Studies." *Interventions*. 1:1.
- Mullins, Greg, A., 2012. "Paradoxes of Neoliberalism and Human Rights." *Theoretical Perspectives on Human Rights and Literature*. (eds.) Elizabeth Swanson Goldberg and Alexandra S. Moore. New York: Routledge.
- Nayar, Pramod, 2010. *Postcolonialism: A Guide for the Perplexed*. London & New York: Continuum.
- Parry, Benita, 2002. "Directions and Dead Ends in Postcolonial Studies." *Relocating Postcolonialism*. Eds. David Theo Goldberg & Ato Quayson. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Rushdie, Salman. 2005. *Shalimar the Clown*. London: Vintage.
- Sivanandan, A., 2006. "Race, Terror, and Civil Society." *Race and Class*, 47.
- Young, Robert, J.C., 1999. "Academic Activism and Knowledge Formation in Postcolonial Critique." *Postcolonial Studies*, 2.1.

## **CROSSING THE NATIONAL BORDERS INTO... INTERCULTURALITY – PETER MAYLE WRITING ON *L'ART DE VIVRE EN PROVENCE***

**Nicoleta-Loredana MOROȘAN,**  
„Ștefan cel Mare” University, Suceava  
[nicomorosan@yahoo.fr](mailto:nicomorosan@yahoo.fr)

**Résumé:** Dans un monde en perpétuel mouvement et interaction, le succès garantissant une communication interpersonnelle et transnationale réussie se trouve en étroite interdépendance avec la compétence interculturelle. Acquérir les savoirs, les savoir-faire et les savoir-être relatifs à un autre espace, c'est s'assurer la détention des savoir-vivre. Ceux-ci permettront l'harmonisation de perspectives différentes sur le même aspect de la réalité par le biais de l'analyse critique réalisée dans un esprit d'ouverture aux autres.

Cet article se propose d'identifier les étapes parcourues dans le développement de la compétence interculturelle par un auteur de souche britannique ayant quitté son pays natal et menant son existence au sud de la France. Devenue terre d'accueil pour lui et sa famille, la Provence devient également le territoire où les frontières renfermant l'identité nationale deviennent brouillées, s'entremêlant à celles censées renfermer l'identité d'«étranger», pour finalement donner lieu à une altérité équilibrée, cohérente et intelligible.

**Mots clés:** compétence interculturelle, frontières, intelligence culturelle, parallélisme, emblèmes nationaux

### **I. When First Hand Experience Lays the Foundations for Intercultural Competency**

We live in an increasingly interactive world where intercultural references are common occurrence in everyday life. Hence, when a British writer, former creative director working in the advertising industry -constantly commuting between the UK and the US-, leaves this way of living and settles down in southern France, the travel writings bearing his signature can but abound in comparative views on the different outlooks on life.

Peter Mayle<sup>1</sup>'s novels and memoirs portray, above all, the cultural traits of a national and, within it, a regional way of living – the one practised in France, and more precisely in Provence. Many of Mayle's writings tackle either overtly or indirectly the engaging challenges posed by living in a cultural environment different from the one of one's upbringing. His analysis of the Provençal habits and customs is delivered to his readership in several modes, spanning a wide literary taxonomy. It may take the form of accounts given by the author himself of his first-hand experience, as it is the case with *A Year in Provence* (1989), *Toujours Provence* (1991), *Encore Provence: New Adventures in the South of France* (1999), *French Lessons: Adventures with Knife, Fork and Corkscrew* (2001). It may also be done vicariously, by means of American or British characters populating the world of novels whose plots unfold on French territory, such as in *Hotel Pastis: A Novel of Provence* (1993), *Anything Considered* (1997), *Chasing Cézanne* (1998), *A Good Year* (2004), *The Vintage Caper* (2009), *The Marseille Caper* (2012). Finally, it may take the form of over 200 entries relative to a raft of aspects of the Provençal life gathered within a guide meant to enlighten its readers on the secrets of understanding life in southern France: *Provence A-Z* (2006).

In any of these writings, regardless of the chosen genre and sceneography, the depiction of the French is ultimately done as seen by a British expatriate -the author-, in a context where the French are the dominant group. The British narrator, as well as his British or American characters, engages in a coherent cross-cultural communication with the French he interacts with. This is how the travel memoirs and the novels will comprise observations relative both to the national and the regional way of living, for Mayle's interculturality is thriving upon his gradually becoming aware of both the traits the Provençal population share with the French and the ones peculiar to the Provençals alone.

At the same time, we must presently take note of the fact that the very fact of setting his novels in the French décor with British and American characters automatically drives Peter Mayle to reflect upon his own culture. Imagining characters and intricate narrative threads actually becomes an opportunity to engage in in-depth exploration of self. For Mayle, writing about the French way of living is inherently tantamount to writing about the way of

---

<sup>1</sup> The trajectory of Petre Mayle's residence places reunites locations in Southern England, Southern France, New York, Barbados and Bahamas, which recommend his formation as a writer immersed in various cultures. After achieving a career in the advertising industry, he resolves to relocate from Devon, UK, to Lubéron, France. His success being immense, in order to shun sightseers and fans constantly paying his visits at his house, he relocates to Long Island, in south-eastern New York, only to be back to Provence later on, in Lourmarin.

living in his country of birth; this is how we may speak about a built-in intercultural dimension to his work.

The novels portraying Anglo-Saxon characters show the journey abroad as a liberation from routine, the characters having an overall positive (while at times ironical) attitude towards the otherness they find in the foreigners embodied by the French people. Consequently, through its actors' gaining cultural knowledge, the universe in Mayle's novels exhales a positive participation in the cultural diversity.

In what follows we shall present the author's openness towards interculturality<sup>2</sup>, as it comes out from the portraiture of the Provençals done in the book that brought Peter Mayle the title of "travel writer of the year" at the British Book Awards in 1989, *A Year in Provence*. This is a memoir written on French territory, telling the author and his wife's experience when they first moved from the U.K. to the South of France, to Ménerbes, in the département de Vaucluse, in search of sunnier climes and gentler life.

## **II. Undertaking a Journey of Self-Discovery through Cultural Intelligence**

As any other society, the French one promotes and fosters a way of belonging and a cultural identity acknowledged in Mayle's literary universe. At the same time, mention must be made that this universe is pervaded with Mayle's own subjective perception of this national and regional environment different from the one he was brought up and educated in.

As the recollections unfold in front of the readers' eyes, the relationships arising from the contact of individuals pertaining to different nationalities surface, in their turn, showing both the complexity that defines them and the harmony they can end in. The book is divided in twelve chapters corresponding to the twelve months of a one-year experience, each chapter containing cultural representations mainly on the French and the British way of living. There are recurrent national images, symbols, emblems, stereotypes brought under discussion in each chapter. With each mention, they take on newer dimensions, having either the former view on them accentuated or being

---

<sup>2</sup> The writer himself bears witness to the circulation of intercultural values his universe is seeped in. His efforts put into promoting *la francophonie and la coopération* were acknowledged by the French Government in 2002, when, by the *Décret du 29 mars 2002 portant élévation, promotion et nomination*, he was made *Chevalier de la Légion d'Honneur*. This is the highest national French decoration established after the French Revolution. It was created in 1802 by the first counsellor Bonaparte, future emperor Napoléon I<sup>er</sup>, awarded by the President of the Republic in person, rewarding "the outstanding merits of citizens in all walks of life, regardless of social, economic or hereditary backgrounds" (*Grande Chancellerie de la Légion d'Honneur*, official site).

attributed new connotations. The textual progression develops internally, taking up already presented facts and analysing them in new contexts, but also by extension, new themes being added from one chapter to another in an attempt at depicting *le savoir-vivre à la française*. There are passages responding to each other, creating thus a network of cultural references which establish a dialogue all throughout the novel.

The author's gradual acknowledgement of the French social identity implies the phenomenon of cultural awareness. This very book is yet another proof that the latter is a long-term process learned by means of the experience which deepens the understanding. It entails a willingness to stand back from one's own way of doing things and becoming aware of one's own beliefs, values, perceptions, ways of responding and reacting to social aspects, subsequently looking from a different angle at one's set way of doing things. We see here that Mayle's cultural awareness is underlain by the construct of "cultural intelligence", a construct that has both "process and content features" (Earley, 2003). As understood by Christopher Earley, the "cultural intelligence", CQ, means "focusing on understanding an individual's capacity to adapt to varying cultural settings based on facets of cognitive and meta-cognitive processing, motivational mechanisms and behavioural adaptation." (Earley, 2006). It consequently supposes "abandonment of the value-based typology of culture" by embracing the idea of cultural differences and the mission relative to their continuous exploration.

The comparative view taken by the Englishman on the French people in this travel memoir is not done from the perspective of someone discovering the area for the first time, but from the viewpoint of someone having nurtured an ardent desire to be living in this milieu, having thus already fallen under its spell: "We had been here often before as tourists, desperate for our annual ration of two or three weeks of true heat and sharp light." (Mayle, 1989, 2). From the beginning of the recollections starting the first chapter of the book, the author's family, while still in the U.K., appears to be emotionally engaged, motivated and ready at any point to revel in the pleasures of Provence with its coastal sunshine and delectable food: "Always when we left, with peeling noses and regret, we promised ourselves that one day we would live here. We had talked about it during the long grey winters and the grey damp summers, looked with an addict's longing at photographs of village markets and vineyards, dreamed of being woken up by the sun slanting thorough the bedroom window." (Mayle, 1989, 2).

The constant parallelism between the British and the French stems from the status voluntarily assumed by the author's family (composed of his wife and himself), when, from British tourists in Provence, they eventually decide to take on the status of foreign residents by permanently moving to the French region. After years of dithering, prompted by an eighteenth century old

solid *mas*, they act presently upon the aforementioned decision: “And now, somewhat to our surprise, we had done it. We had committed ourselves. We had bought a house, taken French lessons, said our goodbyes, shipped over our two dogs and become foreigners. In the end, it happened quickly – almost impulsively – because of the house. We saw it one afternoon and had mentally moved in by dinner.” (Mayle, 1989, 2). This first motive having driven the British family to take on the status of foreigners and become inhabitants of the French province is one of the cultural representations the British people cherish regarding life in Provence. Reading on, we find out that the author shares otherwise a nationwide cultural representation on Provence, which is ironically understated when he mentions their British acquaintances’ sudden desire to see them and pay them visits during the holidays: “With the gloom of January behind them, people in London were making holiday plans, and it was astonishing how many of those plans included Provence. With increasing regularity, the phone would ring as we were sitting down to dinner (...) and the breezy, half-remembered voice of a distant acquaintance would ask if we were swimming yet” (Mayle, 1989, 33). The author cannot fail to notice the temporal pattern in the urge felt by all these acquaintances who seem to be mostly overwhelmed by the longing for his family’s company once winter gives way to spring and Provence is said to be host to glorious weather.

And yet, although they have not settled down in southern France without having spent time here before, the British family will pass through various experiences, at one point or another going through each of the five stages comprised in the model developed by professors David C. Thomas and Kerr C. Inkson (2004) relative to the enhancement of the cultural intelligence. In the first one, “reactivity to external stimuli”, when one “mindlessly follows one’s own cultural rules and norms” (Thomas & Inkson, 2004, 66), we find the author and his wife overconfident in their experience of English having dealt with the dreadful gales of the English channel, who could not possibly take fright at the French Mistral. As a result of their reactivity in this particular instance, they fall prey to the stereotype regarding the Gallic habit of exaggerating things: “We had heard stories about the Mistral. It drove people, and animals, mad. It was an extenuating circumstance in crimes of violence. It blew for fifteen days on end, uprooting trees, overturning cars, smashing windows, tossing old ladies into the gutter, splintering telegraph poles, moaning through houses like a cold and baleful ghost, causing *la grippe*, domestic squabbles, absenteeism from work, toothache, migraine – every problem in Provence that couldn’t be blamed on the politicians was the fault of the *sâcré vent* which the Provençaux spoke about with a kind of masochistic pride. Typical Gallic exaggeration, we thought. If they had to put up with the gales that come off the English Channel and bend the rain so that it hits you in the face almost horizontally, then they might know what a real wind was like. We

listened to their stories and, to humour the tellers, pretended to be impressed. And so we were poorly prepared when the first Mistral of the year came howling down the Rhône valley, turned left and smacked into the west side of the house with enough force to skim roof tiles into the swimming pool and rip a window that had carelessly been left open off its hinges. The temperature dropped 20 degrees in twenty-four hours.(...) My wife was cooking in an overcoat. I was trying to type in gloves (...). ” (Mayle, 1989, 7). The shift in narrative perspective, from free indirect speech to first-person narrative is indicative of the life lesson learnt the hard way by not taking the locals’ warnings against the forceful Mistral seriously and acting accordingly. By not replacing in due time the “Côte d’Azur plumbing” (as Monsieur Meniccuci, the plumber, puts it) with a solid one, more appropriate to the region of Lubéron, the protagonists must endure the baleful effects they could have so easily dodged. The two views expressed on the Mistral, before and after experiencing it, become an illustration of the proverb “forewarned is forearmed”, which is fully understood by the author’s family only after experience shows them that they need to leave aside stereotypical thinking samples like “typical Gallic exaggeration” and judge the novel situation they are in by local parameters.

### **III. Reconstructing the World Around through Cultural Representations**

This “remembrance of things past” constitutes an opportunity for the author to pinpoint a series of elements making up the French identity in the mind of the French themselves, of the British and even of a few other nations. This specific identity spans numerous cultural icons traditionally attached to the ideas of France or Provence, among which we glean the above-mentioned serenity in a land of sun, the gastronomy and all the habits connected to it (a particularly wide range of home-cooked dishes, bread, croissant, truffles, wine, going to the market on Sundays, keeping strict rituals relative to different meals, food-related hospitality) and the peculiar greeting habits (like the omnipresent kissing on the cheek).

Approaching all the above-mentioned emblems implies, on the part of the foreign commentator, a perceptive nature, aware of the importance to avert the dangers of projected similarity. When confronted with situational issues in which his nationally-induced way of seeing things differs from the one the local denizens respond to the situation at hand, the author discards what would be his first natural impulse, willing to penetrate what at first seems to be the mystery of a “strange” way of judging things by. This is what enables him to be perfectly capable of refraining from imposing onto others the perspective he has been accustomed to, keeping his mind open and ready to absorb the new way of doing things. In doing so, he neutralises a potentially high source of misunderstanding. In fact, this openness to take a step back and present two facets of the same reality, two ways of

evaluating how it is appropriate to act in a situation and how not is what allows the author to constantly draw parallels between two national customs.

Tackling the fox-related habits in France and in England, he narrates the following conversation with a Provençal: “I (...) asked him if he really ate fox. He seemed surprised at such a stupid question, and looked at me for a moment or two without replying, as though he suspected me of making fun of him. “One doesn’t eat fox in England?” I had visions of the members of the Belvoir Hunt writing to *The Times* and having a collective heart attack at such an unsporting and typically foreign idea. “No, one doesn’t eat fox in England. One dresses up in a red coat and one chases after it on horseback with several dogs, and then one cuts off its tail” He cocked his head, astonished. “*Ils sont bizarres, les Anglais*. And then, with great gusto and some hideously explicit gestures, he described what civilised people did with a fox” (Mayle, 1989, 16). This passage is indicative of the way the book progresses from one chapter to the other. We see here two sides, two parties, two representatives, of the English and of the French, each of them acting in a peculiar way relative to the same situation: what is customarily to do with the wild animal called “fox”? The actual attitudes show that each party dismisses the other’s way of acting, comprising all their disapproval in the reciprocally-applied scornful designations of “foreigners” and “bizarre”, while they themselves remain “civilised”. We infer thus that the words used by the two opposing parties to name the precisely opposite way of doing a particular thing are the same; and yet, from one linguistic code to the other, they become stripped off of their initial connotations only to be assigned totally contrary ones. In a fox-related context, in the British version “civilised” means dressing up in a red coat, chasing after the fox on horseback with several dogs, and then cutting off its tail; in the French version, it is about simply eating the animal up.

The constant parallelism between the two national perspectives on various facts of life, is practised with sound distance and amusement. A robust sense of humour, jollity and gentle irony is what makes the enterprise of seizing discrepancies avoid the danger of actually reaffirming and reinforcing stereotypes or being judgemental. These elements are also adjuvants to his know-how to become increasingly culturally fluent in his adoptive country. Befriending the local inhabitants, the author will revel in the experience of engaging in interpersonal interaction.

Triggered by the observation of French ways of doing things, the comparative views articulated all throughout the book carry as a reference point the British way of doing things, as a result of the author’s first identity: “We wiped our plates with bread and tried to guess what a treat like this would cost in London, and came to the conclusion that we had just eaten a bargain. Comparison with London is a sure way of justifying any minor extravagance in Provence” (Mayle, 1989, 57); “Where else in the world, our (English) friends wondered,

could you eat so well in such unfussy and relaxed surroundings? Italy, perhaps, but very few other places. They were used to London, with its overdecorated restaurants, its theme food and its grotesque prices. (...) Full of easy after-lunch wisdom, we came to the conclusion that the English eat out less often than the French, and when they do they want to be impressed as well as fed; they want bottles of wine in baskets, and finger bowls, and menus the length of a short novel, and bills they can boast about.” (Mayle, 1989, 90).

Retaining the positive side of things different from the ones one is accustomed to due to one’s upbringing and learnt behaviour is part of the overall intercultural competency. The definition of the term “competency”, as retained in the paper *Definition and Selection of Key Competencies* published within the *DeSeCo – Theoretical and Conceptual Foundations* project, reads as follows: “(it) is more than just knowledge and skills. It involves the ability to meet complex demands, by drawing on and mobilising psychosocial resources (including skills and attitudes) in particular context. For example, the ability to communicate effectively is a competency that may draw on an individual’s knowledge of language, practical IT skills and attitudes towards those with whom he or she is communicating.” (DeSeCo Project, 2005,4).

We see here that culture-related knowledge is only one component ensuring the attainment of the intercultural competency. Attitude - *savoir-être*, is another one. The latter supposes openness towards self-examination, willingness and eagerness to grasp the differences in the outlooks on life specific to different places in different countries, embracing the novelty, avoiding the clash through (self)irony, ultimately knowing how to keep the balance between one has been used to and what one is growing aware of. This will reflect in the ability to create a synthesis between cultures: “We found the everyday curiosities of French rural life amusing and interesting. We were enjoying the gradual process of changing the house around so that it suited the way we lived. There was the garden to be designed and planted, a *boules* court to be built, a new language to be learned, villages and vineyards and markets to be discovered – the days went quickly enough without any other distractions, and there were always plenty of those.” (Mayle, 1989, 73). To sum it up, the model Thomas and Inkson (2004) proposes three factors most likely to increase cultural intelligence: integrity, openness and hardiness. Integrity implies “having a well-developed sense of self and understanding how one’s own belief system motivates behaviour”; openness means “showing deferential respect and a willingness to learn from others”; hardiness is “robustness, courage, intrepidity, and capability of surviving unfavourable conditions” (Thomas & Inkson, 2004, 65).

The second stage of the development of the cultural intelligence (Thomas & Inkson, 2004, 66) is the “recognition of other cultural norms and motivation to learn more about them.” This is what happens in the case of one

of the most commonly acknowledged leitmotifs in terms of cultural representations on the region of Provence, the culinary habits. Once having noticed that "gourmets are thick on the ground in Provence, and pearls of wisdom have sometimes come from the most unlikely sources. We were getting used to the fact that the French are as passionate about food as other nationalities are about sport and politics" (Mayle, 1989, 14), the English pay close attention to the locals' gastronomic eating habits. The very first page of the first-person account *A Year in Provence* describes in minute detail what the author calls as "the observance of France's favourite ritual" (Mayle, 1989, 1). Similar depictions of food-related topics are scattered in each and every chapter of the book. By way of consequence, the reader is given a thorough instruction in the French dishes (their description, recipes and preparation modes), in the thoughtful care taken to best accord the dishes with the bread, the cheese<sup>3</sup> and the wine, in the tightly-knit connection between good food and the idea of celebrating an event, in the habit of devoting to meal-having all the due time it requires, sparing no second to any other activity meanwhile (and the list goes further on): "It was a meal that we shall never forget; more accurately it was several meals we shall never forget, because it went beyond the gastronomic frontiers of anything we had ever experienced, both in quantity and in quality." (...) That night, we ate for England." (Mayle, 1989, 11); "Butchers, for instance, are not content merely to sell you meat. They will tell you, at great length, while the queue backs up behind you, how to cook it, how to serve it and what to eat and drink with it. (Mayle, 1989, 13); "(...) to celebrate Sunday as it should always be celebrated in France, with a long and carefully judged lunch" (Mayle, 1989, 35); "All good Sundays include a trip to the market (...) We walked slowly along the rows of trestle tables, admiring the merciless French housewife at work. Unlike us, she is not content merely to look at the produce before buying. She gets to grips with it – squeezing aubergines, sniffing tomatoes, snapping the match-stick-thin *haricots verts* between her fingers, poking suspiciously into the damp green hearts of lettuces, tasting cheeses and olives – and, if they don't come up to her private standards, she will glare at the stallholder as if she has been betrayed before taking her custom elsewhere." (Mayle, 1989, 65-66).

The British family are actually in the position of two English people who, having never paid particular attention to food, discover a new way of looking at it, entering the third stage of development in their level of CQ, the

---

<sup>3</sup> "Avec le pain et le vin, le fromage constitue le troisième côté du «triangle sacré» de la gastronomie française, considérée dans son aspect le plus élémentaire. On peut en effet concevoir en France un excellent déjeuner composé uniquement de ces trois éléments, avec peut-être en plus quelques olives noires et une salade verte bien assaisonnée." (MEYER, D., 2010: 22)

accommodation stage. This is the time when the others' norms and rules seem "comprehensible and even reasonable in their context" (Thomas & Inkson, 2004, 67). By May the cultural representation on food wears on. Thus, when making the previously-mentioned comparisons between the French and the English outlooks on a particular aspect of life, the author's views will slightly come to adhere to the French ones. Hence the revelation that he has adopted for instance the social rites entailed by the French "obsession with food", as is the case with what is considered as adequate in terms of calling hours: "It is a rule of life that, when the phone rings between noon and three on a Sunday, the caller is English; a Frenchman wouldn't dream of interrupting the most relaxed meal of the week." (Mayle, 1989, 68). The indirect free speech bears witness to the fact that "the most relaxed meal of the week" apprehends the author's opinion, not only the French one. This foreign experience is an inherent urge to reconsider one's life so far, to see what should be absorbed from the new one and what should be dismissed. Little by little, the author's family accept, find it totally natural and then adopt the elevation of the eating habits to the rank of high social rites lying at the core of human existence. Stage four, assimilation, is soon entered, when they no longer regard food as a way to fulfil a biological need by assuaging hunger, heading for stage five, the one when they become proactive: "We have found that there is nothing like a good lunch to give us an appetite for dinner. It's alarming. It must have something to do with the novelty of living in the middle of such an abundance of good things to eat, and among men and women whose interest in food verges an obsession." (Mayle, 1989, 13); "We realised we were becoming as obsessive about food as the French, and went back indoors to attend to some unfinished business with a goat's cheese." (Mayle, 1989, 80). In this final stage, at first the author's family grow to be even more Provençal in their gourmandise than the Provençals themselves: "Even by Provençal standards, it had not been an everyday meal. The people who work on the land are more likely to eat well at noon and sparingly in the evening, a habit which is healthy and sensible and, for us, quite impossible." (Mayle, 1989, 13). The absorption of the novelty of the new way of living prompts the British characters towards living this novelty at its fullest.

Another prominent aspect of the perception on food as a local landmark is the art of the speech presenting the meal components; the discourse seems to entwine the actual food, heightening its flavours. The book is interspersed with such accurate extensive presentations of courses, being indicative of the French habit of taking all the time needed to talk about one of the elements considered to lie at the basis of life interaction. Gradually, this diary written retrospectively becomes a guide to French cuisine, a cuisine understood as "une question de culture dans le sens le plus profond de ce terme, qui vient du latin *colere*, «cultiver». Cultiver la terre, mais aussi l'éducation des être humains" (Toscano, 2009, 42).

This is why the recollections gathered in this travel memoir start from the outset with a description of a New Year's menu at a restaurant in a Provençal village, nearby the author's house, a eulogy given by the proprietor of the premises, a French cook or chef illustrating the stereotype image of a French *cuisinot*: "His moustache, sleek with pomade, quivered with enthusiasm as he rhapsodised over the menu: foie gras, lobster mousse, beef *en croûte*, salads dressed in virgin oil, hand-picked cheeses, desserts of miraculous lightness, *digestif*. It was a gastronomic aria which he performed at each table, kissing the tips of his fingers so often that he must have blistered his lips." (Mayle, 1989, 2). Mayle takes the stereotype and with a touch of humour and irony transforms it into an agreeable and appealing image of the foreign way of being. The gastronomy topic often gives way to music metaphors, having a meal and then giving its account coming close to listening to a symphony: "It was fascinating. He told me the precise part of the vineyard that each of the wines had come from, and why certain slopes produced lighter or heavier wines. Each wine we tasted was accompanied by an imaginary menu, described with much lipsmacking and raising of the eyes to the gastronomic heaven. We mentally consumed *écrevisses*, salmon cooked with sorrel, rosemary-flavoured chicken from Bresse, roasted baby lamb with a creamy garlic sauce, an *estouffade* of beef and olives, a *daube*, loin of pork spiked with slivers of truffle. The wines tasted progressively better and became progressively more expensive; I was being traded up by an expert, and there was nothing to be done except sit back and enjoy it." (Mayle, 1989, 152). "It was another step in my French education. Not only did it introduce me to breads I had never heard before, it told me with great firmness and precision what I should be eating with them. With my *apéritif*, I could choose between the tiny squares called *toasts*, a *pain surprise* which might be flavoured with finely chopped bacon, or the savoury *feuilletés sales*. That was simple. The decisions became more complicated when the meal itself was being chosen. Supposing, for example, I wanted to start with *crudités*. There were four possible accompaniments: onion bread, garlic bread, olive bread or Roquefort bread. Too difficult? In that case, I could have seafood, because the gospel according the Auzet authorised only one bread to eat with seafood, and that was thinly-sliced rye. And so it went on, listing with uncompromising brevity what I should eat with *charcuterie*, foie gras, soup, red and white meat, game with feathers and game with fur, smoked meats, mixed salads (not to be confused with the separately listed green salads) and three different consistencies of cheese. I counted eighteen varieties of bread, from thyme to pepper, from nuts to bran. In a fog of indecision, I went inside the shop and consulted Madame. What would she recommend with calves' liver? She set off on a short tour of shelves, and then selected a stubby brown *banette*. While she was counting out my change, she told me about a restaurant where the chef serves a different bread with each of the five courses on the menu.

There's a man who understands bread, she said. Not like some. I was beginning to understand it, just as I was beginning to understand mushrooms. It had been an instructive morning."(Mayle, 1989, 160). The lengthy passages that may go on for pages on end in presenting food-related topics stand for the French extreme accuracy in considering their eating habits.

The readiness to acquire the locals' codes is an essential condition to a successful adjustment in a new milieu, and the author's family is far from short of this committed willingness: "We learned also to interpret the hand language that accompanies any discussion of deadlines. When a Provençal looks you in the eye and tells you that he will be hammering on your door ready to start work next Tuesday for certain, the behaviour of his hands is all-important. If they are still, or patting you reassuringly on the arm, you can expect him on Tuesday. If one hand is held out at waist height, palm downwards, and begins to rock from side to side, adjust the timetable to Wednesday or Thursday. If the rocking develops into an agitated waggle, he's really talking about next week or God knows when, depending on circumstances beyond his control. These unspoken disclaimers, which seem to be instinctive and therefore more revealing than speech, are occasionally reinforced by the magic word *normalement*." (Mayle, 1989, 41). Interacting with the French, the characters build up new interpersonal skills.

In this line of thought, a consequential part of interpersonal interaction is the greeting which on the French territory translates into *faire la bise*. In this respect, the author reveals to be greatly conditioned by his British upbringing: "It had taken me some months to get used to the Provençal delight in physical contact. Like anyone brought up in England, I had absorbed certain social mannerisms. I had learned to keep my distance, to offer a nod instead of a handshake, to ration kissing to female relatives and to confine any public demonstrations of affection to dogs. To be engulfed by a Provençal welcome, as thorough and searching as being frisked by airport security guards, was, at first, a startling experience. Now I enjoyed it, and I was fascinated by the niceties of the social ritual, and the sign language which is an essential part of any Provençal encounter." (Mayle, 1989, 94). The stark contrast between the two national ways of apprehending the social rite of acknowledging the other's presence is likewise pinpointed by another Englishman, Charles Timoney, in his book *A Certain ... Je Ne Sais Quoi* where he emphasized the difference in apprehending reality once conditions by your upbringing "between introduction and retirement, no handshakes were expected at work unless you found yourself in a state of affectionate inebriation at a colleague's wedding. (...) this was something that only happened to me once in three years. (...) Kissing on the cheeks, apart from with family or extremely close friends, was almost unheard of at that time. Thinking back, the most surprising thing is that I thought it quite normal in any kind of social situation to walk into a room full of people and make no greeting beyond a vague, collective "Hi". This sort of behaviour would

not go down well in France where handshaking and cheek-kissing are an essential part of daily life.” (Timoney, 2009, 21). After having crossed the fourth stage from the model ” Thomas & Inkson, the cultural appropriateness demanding physical contact becomes the familiar one, discarding the previously-cherished idea of keeping one’s distance.

Little by little, the British embrace the saying “When in Rome do as the Romans do”: “we made a conscious effort to become more philosophical in our attitude to time, to treat days and weeks of delays in the Provençal fashion – that is, to enjoy the sunshine and to stop thinking like city people. This month, next month, what’s the difference? Have a pastis and relax.” (Mayle, 1989, 40). The gradual awareness of what the French otherness is makes the author’s family not mere survivors, but *des bons viveurs* who have been successful in their attempt to overcome whatever cultural boundary and know how to relish in the joys of another way of living: “Provence is such a shock to the Northern system; everything is full-blooded. Temperatures are extreme, ranging from over a hundred degrees down to minus twenties. Rain, when it comes, falls with such abandon that it washes roads away and closes the *autoroute*. The Mistral is a brutal, exhausting wind, bitter in winter and harsh and dry in summer. The food is full of strong, earthly flavours that can overwhelm a digestion used to a less assertive diet. The wine is young and deceptive, easy to drink but sometimes higher in alcoholic content than older wines that are treated with more caution. The combined effects of the food and climate, so different from England, take time to get used to. There is nothing bland about Provence, and it can poleaxe people as it had poleaxed Susan.” (Mayle, 1989, 106). And yet, Provence agrees with the witty uber-expatriate family.

### **Conclusion**

Peter Mayle’s writings on *l’art de vivre en Provence* are suffused with culture specific knowledge relative to the French milieu, as perceived by a British expatriate. The intercultural elements present in the widely-acclaimed book *A Year in Provence* inherently reveal not only the Provençal habits and customs, as advertised by the title, but also the English ones. Hence the innumerable comparisons drawn between the British and the French sprinkled all throughout the account and representing as many steps taken towards the development of an intercultural competency. The cultural-related topics minutely presented in passages which constitute as many entertaining threads interwoven in the framework of this travel memoir are food for thought for the armchair traveller.

By stepping outside their own cultural boundaries and engaging permanent interactions with the otherness of a foreign culture, Peter Mayle’s writings are an urge launched to his readers to embark upon the same exciting experience of self-discovery.

## REFERENCES

### Corpus

MAYLE, Peter, 1998, *A Year in Provence*, London, Hamish Hamilton Ltd.

### Books

EARLY, P. Christopher & ANG, Soon, 2003, *Cultural Intelligence: An Analysis of Individual Interactions across Cultures*, Palo Alto, Stanford University Press

EARLY, P. Christopher & ANG, Soon, TAN, Joo-Seng, 2006, *CQ Developping Cultural Intelligence at Work*, Stanford, CA Stanford University Press

MEYER, Denis, 2010, *Clés pour la France*, Paris, Hachette

PASTOUREAU, Michel, 2001, *Les emblèmes de la France*, Paris, Bonneton

THOMAS, David C., INKSON, Kerr C., 2004, *Cultural Intelligence: People Skills for Global Business*, San Francisco, CA: Berrett-Koehler Publishers

TIMONEY, Charles, 2009, *A Certain ... Je Ne Sais Quoi*, London, Penguin Books

TOSCANO, Alberto, 2009, *Critique amoureuse des Français*, Paris, Hachette

### Sites

DeSeCo-Project, 2005, *Definition and Selection of Key Competencies*, Executive Summary, <http://www.deseco.admin.ch/bfs/deseco/en/index/02.parsys.43469.downloadList.2296.DownloadFile.tmp/2005.dskcexecutivesummary.en.pdf>

*La Légion d'honneur*,

[http://www.legiondhonneur.fr/shared/en/en\\_institution/en\\_finstitution.html](http://www.legiondhonneur.fr/shared/en/en_institution/en_finstitution.html)

## MAKING OF *I* WITH *YOU*. CELAN'S POETRY AND GADAMER'S HERMENEUTICS

Mircea ȚUGLEA,  
Academia Română, București  
[mircea.tuglea@gmail.com](mailto:mircea.tuglea@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** The importance of Paul Celan's lyrics to hermeneutic philosophy is concisely emphasized by a short essay of Hans-Georg Gadamer (afterwards turned into a book), that was written shortly after the poet's death. *Who Am I and Who Are You?*, by rotation of emittent / receptor stances, seems to acknowledge Gadamer's concept of fusion of horizons that is the fundament of his hermeneutics.

**Keywords:** Celan, Gadamer, hermetic poetry, hermeneutics, dialogue, fusion of horizons

The number of thinkers of hermeneutic formation drawn by Paul Celan's poetry is remarkable and this is not a casual remark. We cannot omit to recognize contributions such as the ones of Gadamer, Lacoue-Labarthe, Derrida, Szondi, Steiner or Levinas. Can this attraction be explained by the "optimism" of tamed hermeneutics which, in Gadamer's case for example, "weakens" Heidegger's philosophy, by anchoring it into pragmatic ground? Is it possible for the post-Heidegger theorists to have seen in the "success" of meaning re-actualization<sup>1</sup> in Celan's lyrics a role model for their own "completion" of hermeneutic endeavor? We shall further on try to discern among these issues, on the basis of Gadamer's writing about Paul Celan. Precisely: ten years after his consideration of *philosophic hermeneutics* in *Wahrheit und Methode [Truth and Method]*, Hans-Georg Gadamer published on April 26<sup>th</sup>, 1970, shortly after Celan's suicide, his essay *Wer bin Ich und wer bist Du? [Who Am I and Who Are You?*, in *Neue Zürcher Zeitung* as of May 17<sup>th</sup>, 1970], that shall later (1973) turn into a book of studies on the poet's last

---

<sup>1</sup> According to my interpretation in *Reactualizarea sensului. Paul Celan și avangardismul românesc*, Pontica, 2007.

posthumous printed volume (*Atemwende/Breathturn*<sup>2</sup>), and especially on the series of *Atemkristall (Breathcrystal)*, included in the above.

Gadamer relied on the thought that, for his last books, Celan's lyrics moved closer to *the absolute silence of muteness, to cryptic words*<sup>3</sup> (which characterizes the poet's period of creation maturity, as known). The short poem, a very cryptic one, that enticed the philosopher to write his essay, would not be interpreted even by its affiliation to the collection where it belongs, since this is *hermetic* as well. Nonetheless, Gadamer saw relatively surprised that *each of the poems that form this series constitutes in itself a stable enough structure in terms of meaning, even if this is not transparent and clear from a first glance, the structure is not completely hidden or randomly significant*. This impression of ambiguity of a fully built yet escaping message of the "German translation", seemed to be for Gadamer one of the characteristics of the poetry of Celan that escapes its meaning simply because we do not have a share in it and we take to the biography or secondary literature to explain it. Even if the poet, under certain circumstances (that are obvious in his case) confessed about his private and occasional reasons, he seemed to only be doing it to postpone the unveiling of something above the personal and the casual. *A poem*, as Gadamer reflected further on, *that escapes and rejects a clarity of its own seems more meaningful than the plain forward clarity generated by a poet's confession*, because here the poet spoke, especially in the hermetic texts, about the *unknown* and possibly *the un-lived* that transcends personal experiences and invites us to share along. In other words, such poem is in itself an invitation to the reader that is excluded only from the perspective of a direct relationship, of full *understanding* or *void clarity* that the reader expects from the text. [In this respect, in the preface of his book of studies on *Atemkristall*, Gadamer firmly stated from the beginning that his studies do not consider such readers.] Eventually, the entire equation includes an *I* (the emitent) that addresses a *You* (the receptor) and essentially these two do not have unilateral driven relationships, (even though sometimes they are present) of the subject – object type, so that the communication act and the constitution of significance are possible.

*The issue here concerns the I and You of Celan's poems and it is not the author that needs to clarify this*. As Gadamer went on, this issue can be explained by using the means of comparative literature, conditioned, of course, of *the existence of comparable texts*, which is not the case here. However, *he who understands a lyrical poem, will always know who is the I here. And it's not just the typical approach i.e. the poet expresses himself without any help*

---

<sup>2</sup> As translated by Pierre Joris, in Paul Celan, *Breathturn*. Los Angeles: Sun and Moon, 1995.

<sup>3</sup> For stylistic reasons I turned to my own translation of Gadamer's texts. In comparison, there is available a translation by Richard Heinemann and Bruce Krajewski, in *Who Am I and Who Are You? and Other Essays*, State University of New York Press, 1997.

from any of the characters he imagines. He knows a lot more that is who is the emitent I. The I showing in a lyrical poem is in relationship with both the I of the poet and most likely with the one of the reader, when the latter is reading and expressing it. Even when the I is well hidden behind hermeneutical phrasing, the reader can hide there too, if he co-participates, adding his share to the reading process. These “clarifications” of Gadamer necessarily lead further on to another question, the one addressing You, especially as concerns the *Atemkristall* series, where most texts directly approach the You. *But is there a meaning in this question? Does it have an answer as if in someone close, possibly the closest one? Or he may be the closest and the farthest one altogether: God? Thus, the identity of this You cannot be known, since it is not settled. The lyrical discourse addresses someone without setting an object – just as if the discourse grows into shape only proportional to its response.* It is practically the answer back that creates the You and consequently the lyrical discourse – it only exists under the condition of expected answer, that is when one fills in the void You, though only by assuming by reading process, the I condition as well. In other words, Gadamer himself concluded: *The You is so much and so little an I just as the I is the I itself.* Thus, we can distinguish a double process here: with a participation to the reading and the hermeneutical adventure of unveiling *the unknown* and *the un-lived* (an adventure that shall sum *the known* and *the lived*), the receptor plays the part of the I (as a means to glide through the surface layers of the text or the so-called cryptic ones present in Celan’s poems) thus, the receptor finds himself in the middle of a paradox situation of addressing a You that is both receptor and emitent. *In the shape of the emitent I, as Gadamer stated, comes voluntarily each receptor I and they both naturally fill in the shape of the You as well.* This process of transmutation of discourse roles is applicable to the initial I, the emitent – the text only exists because the initial I can persuade its receptor to address itself, or, simply put, when it turns into receptor. Consequently, we have a listening text that can listen to, instead of it being listened and that is why any “direct” approach makes it look cryptic or, at its most, it has that *void clarity* Gadamer wrote about. [This “exchange of roles” is prefigured by Celan himself in his essay in 1948 *Der Traum vom Traume / Dream of a dream*<sup>4</sup>] In any case, as Gadamer drew to close, *we don’t know before or after any depthless look which one of the I-s or You-s is involved and neither which one is designated by the emitent I, itself or another. We need to learn about this.* In suggestion of the appropriate type of learning, Gadamer selected a very short quintet stanza, with no title, from the series of *Breathcrystal*:

---

<sup>4</sup> Translated and interpreted by myself in *Reactualizarea sensului. Paul Celan și avangardismul românesc*, Pontica, 2007.

IN DEN FLÜSSEN nördlich der Zukunft  
werf ich das Netz aus, das du  
zögernd beschwerst  
mit von Steinem geschriebenen  
Schatten.

[IN THE RIVERS north of the future  
I cast the net, which you  
hesitantly weight  
with shadows stones  
wrote.]<sup>5</sup>

From the very beginning, some acute obscurity strikes us while reading and forces us into casual decoding of syntagms such as “the Nordic rivers” where a quasi-biographical interpretation can be strained, following Otto Pöggeler in explanation of phrasing *Am Rande des Abends* [*on the edges of evening* – of the poem “In Gestalt eines Ebers” / *In the Shape of a Boar* – where the evening was interpreted as the sunset, that is the Western decadent culture, subject to reification, affected by lack of communication<sup>6</sup> – and with such decoding, *the Nordic rivers of the future* might signify in the same casual manner, the future of the European culture after totalitarian drawbacks, especially for the Aryan or “Nordic” one.] One more biographic “deciphering” might lead to Celan’s suicide in the river of Seine, taking into account that the poem had been written only a few months before his death. Such interpretations, obviously unilateral, advance only to the *void clarity* or, in Adorno’s terms, it drains the significance of its “rest”, it sieves the significance in a sieve that’s too large. But this short poem presents at least two words that resist such “clear” decoding and they function as “semantic ballast” or “rest”. The first is the *rock*, a recurrent motive in Celan’s lyrics that appears, for example, at the beginning of a well-known poem *Radix, Matrix* (a model of poetics of the root matrix, specific to the poet’s work, and analyzed by myself in my *Re-actualization of the meaning*): ‘As one speaks to stone, as / you, / to me from the abyss, from / a homeland con- / sanguined, up- / hurled, you, / you of old to me, / you to me in the nix of a night / you in Yet-Night en- / countered, you / Yet-You -:’<sup>7</sup> (Of course that the frequency of bivalent addressing to a You is obvious here.) It seems that in the poem referenced by Gadamer, the *rocks* (that used to be the *audience* in *Radix Matrix*) *can write* and thus they mark the *shadows* (a proceeding that repeats in *Stretto*), functioning as ballast in the *net* to be cast. A second term that makes a unilateral interpretation

---

<sup>5</sup> Translation by Pierre Joris, in *Paul Celan – Selections*, University of California Press, 2005.

<sup>6</sup> Otto Pöggeler – *Lyrik als Sprache unserer Zeit? Paul Celans Gedichtbände*, 1998.

<sup>7</sup> Paul Celan, *Selected Poems and Prose*. Translated by John Felstiner; W.W. Norton, 2001.

impossible is the *shadow* that, not accidentally, closes the poem and makes it look cryptic. Gadamer himself remarks the frequency of these “cut” finals in the last period of Celan’s lyrics through words *that fall in so heavy, as heavy their importance is*. But this shadow does not *fall in* (although, by falling in the final part of the poem, it appears to close the text) as the evening “falls in”, but, on the contrary, it is *cast* at the same time the *net* and the *rocks that can write* are cast, leaving marks behind. In other words, we cannot agree in this case with the theories of absent/negative poetry, a deduction of surface interpretations (especially the biographic ones), because the text appears to open positively if we cross over the *shadows* and the *rocks* that are cast by our own participative passing when reading the poem. Only then, together with the shadow’s diving in the depth of the rivers (be they Nordic or else), the things become visible and the light shines through the waters. However, the *net* to be cast can be interpreted, according to Gadamer, as the gesture of the fisherman or, more likely, here we have two fishermen, where one casts the net and the other one gathers it (and, not incidentally, the two of them bear the names of transmutable stances, *I* and *You*). Additionally, this operation is present in *Radix, Matrix*, with the lyrics ‘as / you / with my hands thrust / there and into nothingness’<sup>8</sup> (if we think that the verb *greifen* can take a handful of meanings in translation, i.e. to grasp, to fish and so on). Who is then the one that *casts the net* and the one that *gathers* it? The answer is the same as to the question *who am I and who are you*, in the title of Gadamer’s essay. If the net (that is the textual connection between the emitent and the receptor) were not to be cast (in other words, if the receptor would not turn himself into an emitent *I*), it would not be at all (that is the emitent would not turn into the receptor to gather the net and lift it). Basically, the journey of this short poem, once we cross over the surface layers of the text, by diving into it and assuming the transmutable role of *I*, is the same of the entire hermetic work of Celan, in which a hermeneutic dialogue *agreement* is settled, by which the emitent subject transforms into a receptor of the invocation he is addressed – and from now on, with the textual net in place to connect the *poles* of the meridian journey, we can only expect the constitution of significance and its probation within some ontologically revalorized area.

Finally, the successful re-actualization of the meaning, by a fusion of the horizons is specific to Gadamer’s hermeneutic endeavor, where he sees his impossible *plurivocal* vocation being fulfilled, that is to have a methodology of truth. The reading of Celan’s lyrics had to have given Gadamer the feeling that they confirm his hermeneutic system of *Truth and Method*, thus paradoxically *strengthening* his theory of *weakening* and pragmatic optimization of Heidegger’s philosophy.

---

<sup>8</sup> In translation by J. Felstiner.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Celan, Paul, *Selected Poems and Prose*. Translated by John Felstiner, W.W. Norton, 2001.
- Celan, Paul, *Breathturn*. Translated by Pierre Joris, Los Angeles: Sun and Moon, 1995
- Gadamer, Hans-Georg, *Wer bin Ich und wer bist du? Ein Kommentar zu Paul Celan Gedichtfolge „Atemkristall“*, Suhrkamp Verlag, Frankfurt am Main 1973 (translated by Richard Heinemann and Bruce Krajewski in *Gadamer on Celan - Who Am I and Who Are You? and Other Essays*, State University of New York Press, 1997).
- Pöggeller, Otto, *Lyrik als Sprache unserer Zeit? Paul Celans Gedichtbände*, Nordrhein-Westfälische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Westdeutscher Verlag, Opladen/Wiesbaden 1998
- Țuglea, Mircea, *Reactualizarea sensului. Paul Celan și avangardismul românesc*, Pontica, 2007.

**[This paper is supported by the Sectorial Operational Programme Human Resources Development (SOP HRD), financed from the European Social Fund and by the Romanian Government under the contract number SOP HRD/89/1.5/S/59758]**

## LA PRESSE LITTERAIRE AUX FRONTIERES DES SIECLES

**Ioana-Crina COROI,**  
Université „Ștefan cel Mare” de Suceava  
**[crinacoroi@yahoo.fr](mailto:crinacoroi@yahoo.fr)**

**Abstract:** The aim of this article is to present a global image of the Romanian press closely related to the development of the society, in order to demonstrate the fact that the discourse of the print media is a point of reference for the intercultural representations. The journals submitted to analysis are the literary journals published at the boundary between ages. We presented these journals by order of the significant part they played in the development of the Romanian literary press in the long run. Our presentation also took into account the thematic affinities and the literary orientations they proposed to their readers.

**Keywords:** literary press, literary orientations, intercultural representations, discourse, social development

Par rapport aux pays occidentaux ayant une riche histoire culturelle, la presse littéraire fait son apparition dans l'espace écrit roumain à partir du XIXe siècle. À cause de la longue domination étrangère et de son isolation dans un espace géographique tout à fait particulier et, en même temps, à cause du développement assez lent de la bourgeoisie, l'apparition de la presse littéraire roumaine est née aux frontières des siècles dans une époque marquée par le désir de modernisation de la culture et de la civilisation roumaines par le biais des moyens classiques de communication.

### **I. Perspectives littéraires et sociales sur la presse de l'époque.**

Pour avoir accès aux événements et aux informations des pays occidentaux, l'aristocratie roumaine de l'époque était complètement passionnée de la presse de Paris, Vienne, Leipzig, d'où elle se procurait des publications périodiques, telles: le *Journal littéraire*, le *Journal encyclopédique*, *Mercure de France*, *Wiener Zeitung* etc. Cette orientation visible des lecteurs roumains dénotait l'intérêt de la société roumaine et de ses personnalités de culture de

partager la manifestation de tout mouvement culturel à l'époque. Il existait, sans doute, une curiosité intellectuelle évidente de transgresser les horizons géographiques et les frontières limitées de l'espace est-européen.

Le commencement du XIXe siècle marque un point de référence pour les centres de culture roumains grâce à une meilleure correspondance entre les jeunes roumains qui étudiaient à l'étranger et leurs collègues intellectuels. Les productions scientifiques et culturelles devenaient de plus en plus répandues dans l'espace roumain et la société roumaine manifestait des efforts visibles pour tenir tête aux événements européens et aux nouvelles tendances culturelles d'un espace si généreux.

Ainsi, la presse littéraire semblait devenir un bon moyen pour illustrer des représentations sociales et historiques, par le biais de laquelle la culture et la civilisation roumaines se dévoilaient dans des témoignages privilégiés de l'évolution sociale et culturelle de toute la collectivité intellectuelle de Roumanie. Miroir de la société aux frontières des siècles, la presse littéraire communiquait des significations sociales et historiques tout à fait liées aux destins collectifs roumains par son orientation vers la langue et la littérature roumaines à l'aube de leur modernisation.

La période mentionnée se situait sous le signe du changement social et culturel et les grandes personnalités intellectuelles roumaines se rendaient compte de plus en plus de leur rôle fondamental pour l'évolution de la littérature roumaine et, pourquoi pas, de toute la société roumaine. C'était le moment de transformer la conscience nationale et de former une nouvelle culture assez solide pour qu'elle puisse rejoindre les autres cultures européennes. Le poids de cette perspective globale sur la société explique les conceptions et les visions qui donnaient des nouvelles représentations sur la sensibilité collective et le champ vaste de la réalité sociale immédiate.

Les exigences d'ordre social et politique représentaient une réalité *sine qua non* de l'époque et, dans ces conditions, la presse littéraire allait expérimenter plusieurs étapes lentes dans son évolution, liées également aux écoles littéraires qui apparaissaient dans l'espace intellectuel roumain et à la lutte des idées issues sous l'impact des nouveaux éléments sociaux et politiques.

## **II. Les principales publications littéraires entre les époques.**

Les dernières décennies de la période soumise à notre investigation étaient marquées par une étape de modernisation de la littérature roumaine, démarche commencée par les poètes Văcărești. À ce sens, il faut préciser que les années 1880-1900 représentaient une période de transition qui préfigurait les tendances modernes qui allaient apparaître dans l'évolution de la langue et de la littérature roumaines. Ces orientations innovatrices sont nées comme une nécessité naturelle, comme le résultat presque évident de l'aspiration intellectuelle roumaine à trouver et à imposer des nouvelles modalités d'expression artistique.

Le territoire des publications littéraires entre les époques a été profondément marqué par toute une série de personnalités intellectuelles dont les idées fondamentales ont prouvé un évident intérêt pour le développement de la culture roumaine dans la direction des espaces européens. Mais l'originalité roumaine reste un point de repère car, selon la perspective de Romul Munteanu, «Nos revues littéraires, dans tout ce qu'elles possèdent de plus significatif et de plus digne à être retenu, ne sont pas caractérisées par un mimétisme stérile ou par des adaptations synchroniques superficielles, mais par la sélection et l'assimilation critiques des idées majeures de l'époque, conformément à l'évolution générale de la civilisation et de la culture de notre peuple» (1980: 81, n.t.).

Dans la littérature de spécialité, la problématique de l'impact des revues littéraires entre les siècles a été investiguée par des spécialistes tels Alexandru Andriescu (1979), Adriana Iliescu (1968, 1972), Mariana Costinescu (1979), Romul Munteanu (1980), des chercheurs qui ont réalisé leurs études sur un large corpus d'analyse pour en découper des aspects littéraires et, également, des aspects linguistiques. Nous apprécions que les revues *Convorbiri literare*, *Contemporanul*, *Literatorul*, *Revista literară*, *Revista critică-literară*, *Moftul român*, *Moș Teacă*, *Familia*, *Albina*, *Vatra*, *Tribuna*, *Telegraful Român* représentent les principales revues littéraires qui ont contribué d'une manière décisive à l'évolution des mentalités et de la culture roumaine.

Nous allons focaliser notre attention sur ces publications, tout en suivant un ordre que nous considérons être important pour le développement de la presse littéraire roumaine en diachronie. Nous allons les présenter également selon les affinités thématiques et les orientations littéraires que ces revues ont proposées aux lecteurs.

L'une des plus importantes revues de l'époque a été, sans aucun doute, la revue *Convorbiri literare*, fondée par la Société „Junimea” à Iași, en 1867. Soutenue par Titu Maiorescu, sous la direction de I. Negruzzi, I. Bogdan, S. Mehedinți etc., la revue a eu une longue apparition bimensuelle et mensuelle, tout en publiant des noms tels V. Alecsandri, I. Negruzzi, I. Creangă, M. Eminescu, D. Zamfirescu, I. Slavici, N. Beldiceanu etc. Ses articles dénotaient une oscillation évidente entre deux courants littéraires majeurs, le romantisme et le classicisme. La revue *Convorbiri literare* constituait la première revue roumaine importante qui offrait des nouvelles perspectives sur le phénomène esthétique et culturel et qui véhiculait les plus solides valeurs de la culture roumaine. Dans ses pages, Titu Maiorescu publiait ses opinions contre l'immixtion de la politique dans l'art, tout en mettant l'accent sur la présentation d'un monde compatible avec l'évolution impersonnelle. Il ouvrait le chemin vers l'apparition des nouveaux courants poétiques par le choix d'aborder la structuration des images littéraires non seulement d'une perspective énonciative, mais d'une perspective normative, puisque l'originalité des créations devrait être recherchée dans le plan de l'expression.

L'orientation de Maiorescu vers l'analyse de tous les aspects de l'époque ne s'est manifestée pas pleinement car il a ignoré délibérément des noms importants tels I.H. Rădulescu, B.P. Hasdeu ou Al. Macedonski, ce qui dénotait que la méthodologie exposée dans ses écrits ne connaissait pas nécessairement une applicabilité concrète dans les œuvres investiguées à l'époque et, par conséquent, elle ne pouvait pas offrir une image tout à fait crédible du développement de la société roumaine.

En 1893, l'existence des revues littéraires a été marquée par l'apparition, à Bucarest, de la revue *Moftul român* (deux fois par semaine, puis une fois, entre 1893-1902, avec quelques courtes périodes de pause dans l'apparition), sous la direction d'I.L. Caragiale et Anton Bacalbașa. Ayant un sous-titre suggestif – *Revistă spiritistă națională. Organ bi-ebdomadar pentru răspândirea științelor oculte în Dacia-Traiană* – sous-titre abandonné à partir du 9/1893 – cette publication offrait à Caragiale un espace généreux pour manifester son expressivité littéraire avec laquelle il illustrait le monde d'une manière satyrique qui visait directement tous les problèmes de la société roumaine.

Par son caractère ironique et polémique, cette revue privilégiait des confrontations intellectuelles assez sérieuses entre Caragiale et les autres personnalités de l'époque, tels C. Al. Ionescu-Caion, Al. Cazaban, E. Gârleanu, I. Al. Brătescu-Voinești etc., confrontations qui sont devenues des fragments d'histoire littéraire.

Dans la même direction thématique imposée par la revue de Caragiale se situait aussi la revue *Moș Teacă. Jurnal țivil și cazon* (avec une apparition mensuelle entre 1895-1901), sous la direction d'Anton Bacalbașa et George Ranetti. „Moș Teacă” est considéré le symbole de la stupidité, de l'arrogance exagérée et de l'autorité qui dépassait les frontières de l'armée et qui visait aussi les «tortures» de la sphère littéraire et linguistique. Puisque la revue discutait d'une certaine «torture» intellectuelle, les seuls moyens de combat recommandés ont été ses attaques, tout en respectant les limites de l'esthétique et de la bonne conduite. Ayant comme point de repère le dicton *Castigat ridendo mores*, dicton que l'auteur a utilisé sans réserve, les articles de cette revue ont représenté un véritable combat porté contre les mœurs de l'époque.

Un titre important pour les publications littéraires a été *Contemporanul. Revistă științifică și literară*. Publiée à Iași, en 1881, sous la direction de I. Nădejde și V.C. Morțun, son théoricien a été le critique Constantin Dobrogeanu-Gherea. Durant une dizaine d'années d'apparition, cette revue a milité d'une part pour la visibilité des problèmes et des aspects liés à la vie économique, sociale, politique, scientifique et, d'autre part, pour la promotion des valeurs de la langue et de la culture roumaines, tout en soutenant l'existence d'une littérature démocratique qui vise l'art à tendance.

De point de vue social et historique, *Contemporanul* était publié le moment où le mouvement des ouvriers construisait sa propre vision sur la

problématique sociale; cette vision a été alimentée par le socialisme scientifique, mouvement coagulé autour d'autres revues, telles: *Socialistul* (1877), *România jună* (1889) et *România viitoare* (1881).

La littérature était considérée un produit du milieu social, le résultat du social, Gherea mettant l'accent sur les rapports qui existaient entre l'éthique et l'esthétique et, implicitement, entre le contenu et la forme. Selon lui, l'esthétique devrait être mise en relation avec la sociologie, la psychologie et l'économie politique. Les intellectuels qui s'attachaient à la culture étaient le produit des circonstances sociales et cette réalité était évidente dans leur manière à écrire.

Cette perspective est présente dans les pages de l'*Eveniment literar* où publiaient G. Ibrăileanu, C. Dobrogeanu-Gherea, Sofia et Ioan Nădejde, C. Mille, N. Beldiceanu, C. Stere etc. La revue continuait les idées de la publication *Contemporanul*, accentuant la finalité de l'art et son caractère social. Les mêmes perspectives apparaissaient en *Fântâna Blanduziei* (1888) et en *Vatra* (1894).

La revue *Vatra. Foaie ilustrată pentru familie* avec une apparition bimensuelle de janvier 1894 jusqu'en août 1896, sous la direction de I. Slavici, I.L. Caragiale et G. Coșbuc, a promu une nouvelle perspective sur la langue et la littérature roumaines. *Vatra* a été considérée une publication culturelle et littéraire à orientation traditionaliste, ayant des collaborateurs tels S. Pușcariu, Tr. Demetrescu, P. Dulfu, V.D. Păun, R. D. Rosetti, S. Florea Marian, des linguistes, des folkloristes, des écrivains qui ont publié des pages de référence pour la culture roumaine.

Afin d'orienter la littérature vers les valeurs communes du „foyer ancestral roumain” et de contribuer à l'unité culturelle de tous les roumains de tous les espaces géographiques situés entre les frontières politiques, la revue *Vatra* s'inscrivait sur les coordonnées thématiques d'autres publications similaires, telles *Dacia literară* (1840) et *Tribuna* (1884-1903). *Vatra* manifestait son intérêt intellectuel vers les traductions de la littérature universelle, textes écrits par H. de Balzac, V. Hugo, G. de Maupassant, H. C. Andersen, M. Twain, Ch. Dickens, H. Ibsen, A. Cehov, N. Gogol, F. Dostoievski, I.S. Turgheniev etc.

La revue *Floare-albastră* (1888-1889) représentait une continuation de la revue *Vatra*, publication ressentie comme une expression radicale face au sentiment national qui visait l'acte de faire renaître l'esprit populaire roumain, considéré soumis à des orientations aberrantes.

En 1893, sous la direction d'Al. Vlahuță et A. Urechia, est née à Bucarest la revue *Viața* (1893-1896), avec une position oscillante entre la direction esthétique de Maiorescu et le mouvement littéraire de Gherea.

En 1880, avec l'apparition de la première revue symboliste roumaine sous la direction d'Al. Macedonski, *Literatorul* (1880-1919), un changement fondamental se produit dans l'espace des publications littéraires roumaines, ce qui marquait la rupture avec le romantisme. Avec celle-ci, *Liga ortodoxă* (1896-1897), *Revista modernă* (1897-1898), *Viața nouă* (1898-1903) et *Pagini literare*

(1899-1900) allaient ouvrir le chemin vers la modernité et vers la neutralisation des anciennes tendances existantes dans la presse littéraire de l'époque. Dans *Literatorul* publiaient des poètes tels T. Demetrescu, Șt. Petică, I. C. Săvescu, C. Pavelescu, T. Arghezi, G. Bacovia, I. Pillat etc.

La publication *Revista literară* est parue chaque semaine à Bucarest, depuis avril 1885 jusqu'au novembre 1905, ayant quelques numéros publiés sous le titre publication éclectique, sous la direction de Ș. Vellescu (1885) et Th. M. Stoenescu (directeur entre 1887-1907 et rédacteur entre 1885-1886), ayant comme rédacteurs C.A. Ionescu (1901), Th. D. Speranția (1902-1903) et Al. I. Șonțu (1904-1907). Les textes publiés dans les pages de cette revue, signés par des collaborateurs tels Al. Macedonski, D. Zamfirescu, Tr. Demetrescu, M. Demetriade, B. Florescu, Al. Vlahuță, B. Ștefănescu-Delavrancea, Al. Davila, M. Sadoveanu et C. Mille, représentaient un mélange de prose, théâtre, vers, esthétique, études de critique et d'histoire littéraires, fait qui dénotait également un certain manque d'orientation programmatique.

La revue *Albina. Revistă enciclopedică populară*, avec une apparition mensuelle à Bucarest, depuis octobre 1897- août 1916, a réuni des noms de valeur de la culture roumaine, tels pe P. Gîrboviceanu, C. Rădulescu-Motru, G. Adamescu, P. Dulfu, G. Coșbuc, I. Kalinderu qui ont milité pour la nécessité de contribuer à la promotion et au développement de la langue et de la littérature roumaine.

*Revista nouă*, avec une apparition hebdomadaire à Bucarest, depuis décembre 1887 – septembre 1895, sous la direction de Bogdan Petriceicu Hasdeu, a réuni un collectif de rédaction composé de personnalités roumaines importantes, telles: B. Șt. Delavrancea, Al. Vlahuță, G. Ionescu-Ion, I. Bianu, Th. D. Speranția, I. Ghica, D.A. Sturdza. L'orientation de cette visait les domaines de la littérature, de la linguistique, de la philologie, de l'histoire littéraire, du folklore et du théâtre, l'histoire politique roumaine et, sporadiquement, les journalistes visaient l'architecture, la géologie, la biologie, les sciences exactes, la chimie etc.

Il faut reconnaître le rôle décisif de la revue *Familia*, sous la direction d'Iosif Vulcan, publication qui se proclamait un miroir fidèle de l'évolution intellectuelle roumaine. Ayant le sous-titre de *Foaie enciclopedică și beletristică cu ilustrațiuni*, cette revue a cessé apparaître en 1906. Parmi les poètes et les écrivains prestigieux qui ont collaboré avec des articles dans les pages de cette publication, il faut mentionner M. Eminescu, V. Alecsandri, D. Bolintineanu, T. Cipariu, G. Coșbuc, Al. Vlahuță, B.P. Hasdeu, B. Delavrancea, D. Zamfirescu. Le trait définitoire des journalistes de *Familia* a été leur refus d'être perçus en tant qu'adeptes d'une certaine idéologie littéraire.

La revue *Telegraful român* est née en 1853, à Sibiu, à l'initiative d'Andrei Șaguna, en tant que publication officielle de la Métropole orthodoxe de Transylvanie, étant considérée la revue avec la plus évidente longévité de la culture roumaine. Ses articles avaient un caractère religieux, social, politique et

culturel, étant toujours argumentés de point de vue historique et linguistique dans un contexte social défavorable. Au fur et à mesure, la problématique des articles est devenue plus large, y compris des articles avec des informations littéraires et artistiques de l'actualité de l'époque, des comptes rendus et des traductions qui encourageaient les jeunes talents.

La publication *Revista critică-literară* est parue à Iași, de janvier 1893 – juin 1897, sous la direction d'Aron Densușianu. Parmi ses collaborateurs constants, il faut mentionner N. Densușianu, Ovid Densușianu, S. Florea Marian et T. Bud. Les textes de cette revue visaient tout particulièrement l'étude de l'histoire et de la critique littéraires, le folklore et l'ethnographie roumaines, de même que l'histoire et la philologie nationales.

La IXe décennie de la presse de province se situait sous la domination de la revue *Tribuna* (depuis 1884, à Sibiu), dont le directeur et le rédacteur responsable était l'écrivain I. Slavici, qui militait pour l'unité spirituelle nationale. Sa démarche se réalisait par le biais de l'étude de la langue et de la littérature roumaines, du respect des idées réformatrices, ayant comme point de repère l'actualité littéraire et culturelle de Bucarest.

Après l'an 1900, l'espace des publications roumaines était composé de plus de cinq cents de titres, des revues et des journaux à caractère social et politique. D'ailleurs, le monde de la presse littéraire devenait progressivement un monde actif et expansif qui se proposait de refléter les mouvements des idées littéraires pendant une époque attentive aux démarches de modernisation et d'affiliation aux nouvelles tendances européennes de la sphère sociale et culturelle.

### **III. En guise de conclusion.**

Notre démarche a visé la réalisation d'une approche descriptive et analytique de la presse littéraire aux frontières des deux siècles importants pour l'évolution de la culture roumaine. En fait, il s'agit d'un des plus importants moments que toute la société roumaine a traversé dans un remarquable processus de modernisation historique et culturelle.

Aux frontières des siècles passés, tous les segments de la société ont subi une transformation essentielle grâce à l'intellectualité roumaine qui manifestait son désir de connaître les événements sociaux et culturels de l'Europe. Les personnalités culturelles roumaines étaient parfaitement conscientes de la différence majeure qui existait entre les cultures européennes et la culture roumaine, entre les mentalités de l'époque et, par conséquent, elles ont lutté pour construire de nouvelles représentations de l'identité roumaine dans tout l'espace européen.

La fin du XIX e siècle et le commencement du XXe siècle ont été définitivement marqués par la prestigieuse activité déroulée par les grands classiques de la littérature et de la culture roumaines, par tous les actes volontaires de matérialisation de la pensée intellectuelle.

La presse littéraire de l'époque a été directement impliquée dans le processus de modernisation de la langue et de la littérature roumaines par toutes ses valences qui ont manifesté un grand impact sur le traitement des aspects significatifs liés à la problématique de la culture.

D'ailleurs, la société en soi, de même que les publications de l'époque ont construit une identité nationale particulière par les représentations sociales et linguistiques offertes, tout en faisant apparaître de nouvelles images et de nouveaux modèles dignes à être respectés dans la culture roumaine. Pour faire une évaluation globale de la presse littéraire aux frontières des siècles, nous pouvons considérer que les publications de ce type particulier de discours ont construit une étape importante pour la consolidation de toute l'identité roumaine.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHIE

- \*\*\* *Din presa literară românească a secolului al XIX-lea*, cu o prefață de Romul Munteanu, Editura Albatros, București, 1970
- \*\*\* *Din presa literară românească a secolului XIX*. Antologie, note și glosar de Aurel Petrescu, Editura Tineretului, București
- Andriescu, Alexandru, *Limba presei românești în secolul al XIX-lea*, Editura Junimea, Iași, 1979
- Bulei, Ion, *Atunci când veacul se naște*, București, Editura Eminescu, 1990
- Hangiu, Ion, *Dicționarul presei literare românești 1790-1990*, Editura Fundației Culturale Române, București, 1996
- Petcu, Marian, *Tipologia presei românești*, Editura Institutul European, Iași, 2000

# ANALIZA UNUI PRODUS POPULAR CULTURE. STUDIU DE CAZ: SERIALUL DE TELEVIZIUNE *DOCTOR HOUSE*

**Tasențe TĂNASE,**  
„Andrei Șaguna” University of Constanța  
[tashy@tashy.ro](mailto:tashy@tashy.ro)

**Nicoleta CIACU,**  
„Constantin Brâncoveanu” University of Brăila  
[nicoleta\\_ciacu@yahoo.com](mailto:nicoleta_ciacu@yahoo.com)

**Abstract:** In the definition of popular culture are faced enough difficulties, because of the diversity and spread of this field: from football matches to life in high school, cartoons until sope operas. The objectives of the proposed analysis are identifying intertextuality and entertainment features of a popular culture product, in television series Doctor House. The building of the the popular culture model starts from the mythical paradigms or used stereotypes and conventions that are easily recognizable to reach the public, such as quotes that remind us of the famous detective Sherlock Holmes. More than just identifying patterns, popular culture product took into account the target audience and satisfy the need to unwind, to relax, to forget about everyday life.

**Keywords:** popular culture, Doctor House, intertextuality, entertainment, TV series

## **1. Popular culture. Definiție, tipologii, caracteristici**

Produsul popular culture propus pentru analiză este serialul de televiziune „Doctor House”. Pentru a putea pune bazele unei analize pertinente, într-o primă instanță va trebui să definim atât conceptul de “popular culture”, din mai multe perspective și conform mai multor accepțiuni, trăsăturile fundamentale ale acestui concept, cât și ipostazele în care produsele popular culture se manifestă în spațiul public și/sau mediatic.

În definirea conceptului de popular culture sunt întâmpinate destule dificultăți, asta și din cauza diversității de răspândire a acestui domeniu: de la meciuri de fotbal, la viața din timpul liceului, la desene animate, până la *sope operas*. Cu toate acestea, putem identifica unele caracteristici definitorii

comune; popular culture se raportează la activitățile și la distracții din timpul liber, așadar la activitățile din afara constrângerilor muncii. De asemenea, produsele popular culture sunt percepute de publicul telespectator ca oferind forme de plăcere și de divertisment.

Dincolo de unele aspecte pozitive, Theodor Adorno, în studiul „Reconsiderarea industriei culturii”<sup>1</sup>, identifică și consecințele acestor produse popular culture asupra consumatorilor: popular culture produce prin intermediul filmului, al radio-ului și al revistelor, bunuri culturale standardizate cu intenția de a manipula masele și a le conduce spre pasivitate. Totodată produsele popular culture oferă „plăcerile ușoare”, fără a solicita niciun efort din partea acestora, ci doar prin simplul lucru de a „consuma” aceste produse îi determină pe aceștia din urmă să devină mulțumiți, ignorând, în fapt, problemele cotidiene cu care se confruntă în lumea reală.

Într-o altă accepțiune, cea prezentată de John Storey în cartea „Teorii Culturale și Popular Culture”<sup>2</sup>, în sfera popular culture putem include orice produs, care într-un anumit interval de timp sau perioada istorică nu respectă formele canonice de cult oficial recunoscute și comunicate de instituțiile și autoritățile acelei perioade. Ca să putem înțelege mai exact ce reprezintă un produs popular culture, trebuie să stabilim ce nu intră în această sferă. Astfel, vom raporta popular culture la cultura înaltă, cea a elitelor. În mai toate definițiile conceptului de cultură, se pune mai mult accent pe cultura înaltă și rareori se face referire și la popular culture. În fapt, putem deduce că popular culture reprezintă acel tip de cultură care a rămas după ce am hotărât ce înseamnă „cultură înaltă”. Cu toate acestea, indiferent de polul cultural în care se afla un produs, „înalt” sau „jos”, Jean Baudrillard a afirmat că produsele culturale sau, mai bine spus, cultura „nu mai este produsă pentru a dura. Se menține, desigur, ca instanță universală, ca referință ideală [...] dar, în realitate, chiar prin modul în care este produsă, ea este supusă aceleiași vocații a actualității ca și bunurile materiale”<sup>3</sup>. De asemenea, popular culture este prezentat ca fiind ansamblul practicilor de consum ale culturii comerciale furnizată într-o mare măsură de mass-media.

Cele cinci trăsături constitutive care stau la baza conceptului de “popular culture” sunt: *intertextualitatea*, *caracterul conservator al produsului*, *caracterul mimetic al produsului*, *neutralizarea*, *plăcerea sau divertismentul*.

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.ejumpcut.org/archive/onlinessays/JC20folder/AdornoMassCult.html>, accesat în data de 7 iunie 2012

<sup>2</sup> John, Storey, *Cultural Theory and Popular Culture*, Editura Pretince Hall, Londra, 2001, p. 6.

<sup>3</sup> Jean, Baudrillard, *Societatea de consum. Mituri și structuri*, Editura Comunicare.ro, București, 2008, p. 17.

**Intertextualitate**, potrivit lui John Fiske, pornește de la premisa că „orice text trebuie, în mod necesar, citit în relație cu alte texte”<sup>4</sup>. De asemenea, intertextualitatea „există, mai degrabă, în spațiul dintre texte” și nu rezidă dintr-o serie de aluzii clare, directe, pe care un text le face la un alt text. Același Fiske face o distincție clară între două tipuri de relații intertextuale, create fie pe verticală, fie pe orizontală. Relațiile intertextuale pe orizontală se referă la acele serii de texte primare „care sunt, mai mult sau mai puțin explicite, legate între ele, de obicei prin gen, personaje sau conținut, iar cele pe verticală „între un text primar, ca de pildă un program sau un serial de televiziune, și alte texte, de un alt tip, care fac referințe explicite la el”.

Prin **caracterul conservator** putem înțelege matrițarea generică și prefabricatele, printre care putem aminti și serializarea.

**Caracterul formulaic** al produsului popular culture reprezintă modul în care miturile și stereotipurile sunt folosite pentru comunicarea anumitor modele.

Prin **divertisment**, ca funcție a mass-media, se înțelege timpul destinat de către publicul spectator relaxării, recreării și distracției. De astfel, în opinia lui Ion Albușescu, exprimată în cartea sa, „Educație și mass-media/comunicare și învățare în societatea informațională”, mass-media înlesnesc o ambianță compensatorie consumatorului lor, care permite refacerea echilibrului lui psihic. Mai mult, „numeroși autori (J. Stoetzel, E. Morin, J. Cazeneuve ș.a.) au vorbit chiar despre un rol psihoterapeutic (catarhic) al mass-media: provocarea unei reacții de eliberare a individului, prin proiectarea și trăirea simbolică a unor emoții refulate sau a unor conflicte nerezolvate, care îi perturbă viața psihică. Receptiv la masiva ofertă de divertisment a mass-media, publicul larg are ocazia de a trăi prin procură, simbolic, ceea ce îi este refuzat în înlănțuirea obișnuită a vieții cotidiene”.<sup>5</sup>

## **2. Studiu de caz: Serialul de televiziune Doctor House**

### **2.1. Obiectivul general al cercetării**

**O:** Identificarea elementelor constitutive ale unui produs popular culture în serialul de televiziune Doctor House

### **2.2. Obiectivele specifice ale cercetării**

**O1:** Identificarea intertextualității în produsul popular culture Doctor House

**O2:** Identificarea divertismentului, ca trăsătură specifică unui produs popular culture, în serialul de televiziune Doctor House

---

<sup>4</sup> John, Fiske, *Introduction to communication studies, 2nd edition*, Editura Routledge, Londra, 1990, p. 82.

<sup>5</sup> Ion, Albușescu, *Educația și mass-media/ comunicare și învățare în societatea informațională*, Editura Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 2003, p. 34.

### 2.3. Metodologie

Canalul ales pentru acest studiu este postul de televiziune. Analiza acestui produs se va realiza prin prisma a trei trăsături care stau la baza definirii conceptului de popular culture, și anume: *intertextualitatea*, *caracterul conservator al produsului* și *divertismul sau plăcerea*. Astfel, vor fi analizate pasajele din alte opere literare sau seriale pe care serialul Doctor House le folosește, cum ar fi volumele detectivului Sherlock Holmes. Vom raporta trăsăturile specifice ale unui popular culture la „cultura înaltă”, cum ar fi opera lui Leonardo da Vinci, Cina cea de taină. De asemenea, vom identifica elementele din serialul Doctor House care ajută la satisfacerea nevoii publicului țintă de a se destinde, de a se relaxa, de a uita de cotidian.

### 2.4. Prezentarea serialului „Doctor House”

Serialul de televiziune „Doctor House” este un serial american de tip dramă medicală, ce a debutat pe canalul american de televiziune FOX la data de 16 noiembrie 2004. Doctor House a fost creat de către David Shore și a fost produs de regizorul de film Bryan Singer. Serialul se concentrează în jurul lui Dr. Gregory House (interpretat de actorul din Marea Britanie, Hugh Laurie), un personaj arogant, cinic, mizantrop, plin de păcate, dificil, care merge greu, din cauza unei probleme la un picior, dar – dincolo de toate defectele – nimeni nu-i contestă geniul său în domeniul medical. Acolo unde colegii lui nu găsesc soluții, ca un adevărat detectiv, dr. House descoperă în corpul pacienților săi sursa răului. Filmul urmărește povestea acestui medic asocial, lipsit de orice maniere și care, dacă ar putea, ar fi în stare să nu vorbească nici măcar cu pacienții lui. Și pentru că se confruntă zilnic cu propria boală (provenită de la un accident din trecut) el poartă un baston tot timpul, care îi subliniază și mai mult atitudinea brutală, deloc prietenoasă. Deși comportamentul lui atinge adesea limitele antisocialului, House este un diagnostician genial ale cărui gândire neconvențională și instincte fără cusur îi aduc respect din partea tuturor celor care îl cunosc, potrivit sinopsisului filmului prezentat pe site-ul [www.cinemagia.ro](http://www.cinemagia.ro)<sup>6</sup>. Acesta conduce o echipă de diagnosticieni în spitalul fictiv Princeton-Plainsboro Teaching Hospital (PPTH) în New Jersey, Statele Unite ale Americii.

Conceptul acestui serial a fost prezentat, în 2004, de către David Shore și Paul Attanasio, împreună cu partenerul de afaceri al lui Attanasio, Katie Jacobs, postului de televiziune american Fox. Aceștia l-au promovat ca pe un serial medical detectiv, realizat într-un spital în care medicii investighează simptomele și cauzele lor. Chiar dacă compania de televiziune a cumparat serialul, președintele postului de televiziune Fox (Gail Berman) a cerut explicit echipei de producție ca ideea centrală să fie despre medicină, însă „nu vreau să văd halate albe mergând pe hol”. Această afirmație a fost receptată de producători, care au schimbat semnificativ forma finală a serialului.

---

<sup>6</sup> [www.cinemagia.ro/filme/house-md-dr-house-20009/](http://www.cinemagia.ro/filme/house-md-dr-house-20009/)

Timp de opt ani, serialul a adunat oameni din întreaga lume, generând intrigi și mister în cazuri medicale neobișnuite, aidoma celebrelor mistere rezolvate de detectivul Sherlock Holmes. Mai mult decât atât, aceste cazuri au fost un real catalizator pentru dezbaterile problemelor de ordin filosofic și etic și a deciziilor luate de personaje, însă toate au relevat mai multe sau mai puține lucruri despre caracterul fiecăruia dintre medicii care se perindau anual în echipa lui Gregory House.

Pe tot parcursul episodului, membrii echipei întâmpinau mari probleme de înțelegere sau pe deplină apreciere cu privire la metodele de relaționare sau strict medicale aplicate de House. De astfel, el nu acceptă cazurile banale, obișnuite, ci atenția și interesul său se îndreaptă către cazuri „cool” (expresie folosită adesea de acesta). În final, spectacolul oferă un deznodământ fericit, din care actanții realizau că, indiferent de metodele sale neconvenționale și comportamentul său antisocial, fără geniul său pacienții în cauză ar fi murit.

Filosofia de viață a personajului central este o butada conform căreia „toată lumea minte” (Everybody lies). În acest sens, Gregory House și-a propus să-și demonstreze, iarăși și iarăși, că unii oameni sunt în stare să mintă chiar dacă de minciuna lor depinde propria lor viață.

## **2.5. Analiza serialului „Doctor House”, prin prisma trăsăturilor fundamentale ale Popular Culture**

**Caracterul intertextual** poate fi sesizat, în mod constant, în seriile de televiziune, care fac referire la texte sau episoade anterioare. Raportându-ne la serialul Doctor House, observăm multe referințe intertextuale la celebrul personaj Sherlock Holmes. Chiar și creatorul personajului Gregory House (David Shore) a recunoscut, într-un interviu publicat în ianuarie 2005 pentru publicația Zap2it<sup>7</sup>, că „Sherlock Holmes, dar și un fizician din lumea reală, Dr. Joseph Bell, m-au inspirat în crearea lui Doctor House”. De asemenea, David Shore afirmă că speră să „creioneze” din ce în ce mai multe paralele între serialul Doctor House și filmul Sherlock Holmes prin atribuirea celui mai bun prieten al lui Gregory House multe dintre caracteristicile Doctorului Watson, „mâna dreaptă” a detectivului. „De fiecare dată când pronunți *puzzle* sau *deducție brillantă* în aceeași propoziție, inevitabil te gândești la detectivul Holmes și la Doctor Watson”, a mai spus creatorul serialului Doctor House, exprimând câteva citate asemănătoare din serialul Doctor House.

În tabelul de mai jos puteți vedea câteva caracteristici comune dintre cele două personaje, Sherlock Holmes și Gregory House:

---

<sup>7</sup> <http://www.housemd-guide.com/holmesian.php>

| Sherlock Holmes  | Gregory House, M.D.  |
|--|--|
| „Poți deduce multe lucruri pur și simplu uitându-te la o persoană”           | Poți deduce și diagnostica multe lucruri pur și simplu uitându-te la o persoană  |
| Numele lui se aseamănă foarte mult cu “Homes” (Case)                         | Numele lui mai poate însemna „Casă”  |
| Sherlock folosește cocaina pentru a scăpa de plictiseală                     | House folosește Vicodin pentru problemele la picior, și de asemenea pentru plictiseala și durerea de a interacționa cu oameni „proști” |
| Holmes îl strigă pe prietenul lui cel mai bun după numele de familie, Watson | House îl strigă pe prietenul lui cel mai bun după numele de familie, Wilson  |
| Este arogant. Spune că smerenia este o minciună                              | Gregory House este extrem de arogant și afirmă constant că „toată lumea minte”   |
| Este apatic și leneș când nu are un caz la care să lucreze                   | Este leneș până când este forțat să se uite la un caz care-l intrigă   |
| Muzica este foarte importantă pentru Sherlock Holmes și cânta la vioară      | Muzica este foarte importantă pentru Doctor House și cânta la pian   |
| Este distant cu lumea, mai puțin cu prietenul lui                            | Îi ține pe toți la distanță, cu excepția prietenului lui cel mai bun   |
| Sherlock Holmes locuiește pe strada Baker nr. 221B                           | În sezonul 2, episodul 7, intitulat „Vânătoarea” descoperim că Gregory House locuiește la numărul 221B <sup>8</sup>                    |

De asemenea, intertextualitatea se poate observa în cazul citatelor din prima carte Sherlock Holmes, intitulată „Un studiu în Scarlet”, dar și din a doua carte, intitulată “Semnul celor patru”.

Spre exemplu, în cartea „Un studiu în Scarlet”, Doctor Watson spune despre Sherlock Holmes: „A fost o întreagă lume a sarcasmului în vocea lui, atunci când a vorbit”. Aproximativ același lucru spune și Dr. Wilson despre Dr. House de fiecare dată când personajul principal dovedește un mare apetit pentru sarcasm. Mai mult, Sherlock Holmes spune despre el: „Eliminând toți ceilalți factori, singurul care rămâne este adevărul”. Raportăm acest citat la motto-ul lui Doctor House, „Toată lumea minte” și, în genere, întreaga sa filosofie de viață, constatăm similitudini între cele două personaje.

<sup>8</sup> <http://house-md.livejournal.com/641963.html#cutid1>

Plecând de la premiza că popular culture este acel tip de cultură care a mai rămas după ce am înlăturat „cultura înaltă”, putem constata că în serialul Doctor House, dar și în alte seriale difuzate pe postul de televiziune Fox, sunt parodiate sau doar copiate multe scene aparținând culturii înalte. Spre exemplu, putem observa că afișul de promovare a sezonul 4 este inspirat din celebra pictură a lui Leonardo Da Vinci, „Cina cea de taină”, în care Doctor House era asemuit cu Mântuitorul Iisus Hristos, iar ceilalți membri ai echipei erau apostolii săi.



**Figure 1 - Leonardo Da Vinci - Cina cea de taină**



**Figure 2 - Afișul de promovare a sezonului 4 a serialului Doctor House**

**Divertismentul** în serialul Doctor House este unul accentuat. Chiar dacă episoadele sunt filmate într-un spital (un mediu sobru), în mijlocul unor cazuri medicale foarte complicate, umor nu lipsește în niciun moment.

Voi enumera câteva scene comice, pe care mulți le pot considera ca făcând parte din umorul negru:

- în câteva episoade, doctor House este surprins de colegii săi în timp ce mănca, asculta muzică sau pur și simplu medita chiar în morgă sau într-o sală în care se aflau pacienții în comă.

- într-un episod, doctor House, care nu a reușit să salveze un pacient care vroia să-i ofere ficatul prietenului său, diagnosticat înainte de moarte cu hepatită, le propune colegilor medici, la modul cel mai serios cu putință, o practică extrem de neobișnuită: vindecarea ficatului celui care a decedat și implantarea ficatului vindecat în corpul prietenului său. Uimiți, chiar șocați, colegii din echipa doctorului House îl întreabă „Vrei să facem un diagnostic diferențiat pe o persoană decedată?”, urmat de răspunsul liniștit „O să încercăm s-o vindecăm!”. A urmat o altă întrebare venită de la un coleg: „Vrei să vindeci moartea?”, la care răspunsul lui Gregory House a fost un râs diabolic, care ne amintește de doctorul care l-a înviat pe Frankenstein. Scena respectivă a avut, pe Youtube, peste un milion de vizualizări, iar fanii serialului au folosit acel râs și în glumele cu prietenii sau ca ringtone al telefonului mobil.

- încă de la începuturile serialului, doctor House reușește să-și convingă colegii, mult mai serioși decât el, să facă pariuri pe bani cu privire la diagnosticele pe care aceștia le dau pacienților. După câteva refuzuri pe care aceștia le-au argumentat ca fiind lipsite de etică, Gregory House, prin comportamentul său manipulator și (aparent) imatur, reușește să creeze o întregă competiție a pariurilor în echipa sa. Acest aspect a reușit să-i apropie pe telespectatori și să-i facă să înțeleagă că o altă filosofie de viață a lui House, „rezultatul final contează, indiferent de mijloace (convenționale sau neconvenționale)”, este una care trebuie să fie luată în calcul, chiar în practica medicală. De altfel, telespectatorii au înțeles, după câteva episoade în care s-au familiarizat cu comportamentul lui House, că până la urmă orice persoană trebuie evaluată mai mult după rezultatele pe care această le generează, decât după comportamentul său. Atât colegii, cât și pacienții, au făcut de multe ori abstracție de comportamentul său bizar sau neconvențional și l-au apreciat pentru faptul că a reușit să găsească o rezolvare atunci când nimeni nu o găsea.

### **Concluzie**

În urma analizei efectuate, putem afirma că serialul de televiziune „Doctor House” aparține sferei populare culturale întrucât întrunește caracteristicile sale specifice.

În construcția modelului se pornește de la paradigme mitice sau sunt folosite stereotipuri și convenții, care sunt ușor recognoscibile pentru a ajunge

la public, cum ar fi citate care ne aduc aminte de celebrul detectiv Sherlock Holmes. Mai mult decât identificarea unor modele, produsul popular culture a avut în vedere și satisfacerea nevoii publicului țintă de a se destinde, de a se relaxa, de a uita de cotidian. Astfel, urmărind acest produs, publicul resimte acea plăcere echivalentă a unei forme de libertate și de escapism.

## **BIBLIOGRAFIE**

### **Monografii**

- Albulescu, Ion, *Educația și mass-media/ comunicare și învățare în societatea informațională*, Editura Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 2003, p. 34.
- Baudrillard, Jean, *Societatea de consum. Mituri și structuri*, Editura Comunicare.ro, București, 2008, p. 17
- Fiske, John, *Introduction to communication studies, 2nd edition*, Editura Routledge, Londra, 1990, p. 82
- Storey, John, *Cultural Theory and Popular Culture*, Editura Pretince Hall, Londra, 2001, p. 6

### **Resurse web**

- <http://www.housemd-guide.com/holmesian.php>
- <http://www.ejumpcut.org/archive/onlinesays/JC20folder/AdornoMassCult.html>
- <http://www.cinemagia.ro/filme/house-md-dr-house-20009/>
- <http://www.sherlockholmeswiki.com/page/Similarities+Between+Holmes+and+House+M.D>
- <http://www.fanpop.com/spots/house-md/articles/9218/title/house-md-based-sherlock-holmes>

### **III. RECENZII**



---

**Pierre Bourdieu, *Regulile artei. Geneza și structura câmpului literar*,  
II<sup>ème</sup> édition, texte traduit par Laura Albulescu et Bogdan Ghiu, préface  
de Mircea Martin, Grupul Editorial ART, București, 2007**

---

La synthèse de Pierre Bourdieu est un plaidoyer en faveur de l'analyse scientifique (sociologique) de la littérature, désignée, à son avis, non pas à limiter, mais à intensifier l'expérience littéraire, une approche nuancée concernant les conditions sociales de la production et de la réception de l'œuvre d'art; elle comprend non seulement des éléments dignes d'être pris en considération, mais aussi quelques affirmations controversées. Si nous devons répondre aux deux questions en guise de prémisse de l'argumentation, cela va de soi que la lecture des textes littéraires n'est pas une lecture exclusivement littéraire (même si elle est la plus indiquée), ni même l'analyse scientifique «n'est pas désignée à coup sûr» à épuiser la spécificité de l'œuvre littéraire. Justement parce que le texte littéraire se caractérise par une grande ouverture, très bien mise en évidence par les linguistes et les sémioticiens, il est si permissif à la lecture et interprétation; mais il ne faut pas omettre deux de ses attributs fondamentaux: l'univers fictionnel et le but esthétique. Et, renoncer autant à l'emphase qu'à l'ironie, nous ne voyons aucun obstacle pour qu'il soit nommé *le producteur de l'œuvre littéraire* utilisant le terme consacré de *créateur*.

Cependant, il y a un brin de vérité dans l'affirmation, contestée par Bourdieu, que l'œuvre d'art (dans ce cas, littéraire) se constitue en une provocation adressée à la compréhension, qu'elle résiste à l'explication. Il ne faut pas ignorer – même si Bourdieu le fait – les nombreuses recherches entreprises partout dans le monde par les critiques, les historiens, les théoriciens littéraires, parfois par les écrivains eux-mêmes sur leur objet d'étude; ils se sont servi d'un appareil conceptuel complexe et raffiné, sans même exclure l'investigation de type sociologique (par exemple, un double système critique structuraliste-sociologique; Nicolae Manolescu, lui-même, l'a utilisé dans son étude sur le roman – pour rappeler en passant l'espace théorique roumain). De telles analyses démontrent la reconnaissance dont l'approche scientifique de la littérature bénéficie à l'intérieur du champ littéraire, la confiance en la connaissance rationnelle, sans nier, cependant, l'existence d'une connaissance spécifique, artistique (*sensible*). Ce qui n'équivaut pas à un privilège accordé à l'art/à l'artiste, mais à une reconnaissance de l'unicité de l'être humain, de ces *prédispositions*, cette fois-ci innées, biologiques, et non pas socialement héritées. Et puisque l'antinomie *intelligible/ sensible* ne peut pas être éludée, le sociologue essaiera de la dépasser par la construction des «systèmes de relations intelligibles, capables de rendre compte de données sensibles».

Si l'attitude narcissiste, i.e. sacrifier l'objet d'art et l'auteur (une attitude décelée ça et là et que Pierre Bourdieu n'oublie pas de sanctionner, mais qu'il généralise à tort) est endommagée, son contraire – réduire l'œuvre d'art à sa pure nécessité historique, à l'identification de ses contingences génétiques –, n'est pas souhaitable. C'est ce que notre auteur essaie d'éviter, sans complètement réussir (en parenthèse, la définition de sa démarche est mal formulée: «Cela signifie, bel et bien, regarder en face les choses et les voir telles quelles»).

Son ambition est de faire de l'investigation sociologique une analyse «strictement interne»; pour exemplifier, il l'applique sur l'oeuvre de Flaubert *L'Éducation sentimentale*. S'il n'y a rien d'étonnant dans la conclusion selon laquelle «la structure de l'espace social dans lequel se déroulent les aventures de Frédéric, arrive à coïncider avec la structure de l'espace social dans lequel l'auteur lui-même est situé», il est difficile de comprendre comment cette structure devient «la structure immanente de l'oeuvre»; c'est ce qu'il y a de plus contestable est le principe selon lequel cette structure «à peine énoncée, devient indiscutable. De toute façon, il résulte que Flaubert peut être considéré un socioanalyste, de l'oeuvre et de lui-même; ce qu'il appelle *éducation sentimentale* n'est autre chose que «le processus de vieillissement social», qu'il soumet à l'analyse. Par une sorte d'expérience sociologique, l'auteur du roman choisit les personnages, il leur distribue les atouts (ces «propriétés incorporées», et «le capital»-économique, culturel, social) et il les met dans le champ du pouvoir, caractérisé par les deux pôles entre lesquels s'exerce une série de forces sociales, d'attractions et de rejets (dont la manifestation est psychologiquement motivée). Une fois établis l'enjeu et les trajets permis à l'intérieur du champ, la structure de l'espace social est re-créée. Il ne reste à l'observateur que de constater le fonctionnement impeccable des mécanismes sociaux, et l'affirmation de la loi de l'incompatibilité entre les univers sociaux qui s'excluent réciproquement: la carrière artistique et le monde des affaires. Le double jeu, cet essai de réconcilier deux contraires: «l'art et l'argent, l'amour fou et l'amour d'intérêt», s'avère inefficace parce qu'il défie la loi fondamentale du champ du pouvoir; cette loi, que le protagoniste Frédéric découvre progressivement, constitue son *éducation sentimentale*.

Ce que Bourdieu voit juste de constater est que «à travers „une histoire“ l'auteur réussit à révéler la structure la plus profondément cachée, la plus obscure, étant donné qu'elle est la plus directement liée à ses investissements originaux et qu'elle constitue même le principe des structures mentales et des stratégies littéraires». Puisque, continue-t-il, Frédéric n'est pas une projection de l'auteur, comme nous avons l'habitude de le considérer, mais «une interprétation de l'objectivation de soi»; les preuves mises en discussion sont la correspondance de Flaubert et son rejet concernant les déterminations sociales. Cependant, Flaubert se sépare de son personnage par la démarche esthétique «par l'acte même d'écrire l'histoire de Frédéric», opposant à l'indétermination passive du personnage, l'indétermination active du créateur. Par ailleurs, «Flaubert met en circulation la formule générative à l'origine de sa propre création romanesque: la relation du double refus entre les positions opposées aux divers espaces sociaux et les prises de position correspondantes». Si une telle analyse de la genèse de l'oeuvre dans un contexte historique donné peut être, dans le cas ci-dessus, justifiée et possible, la question inévitable vise la possibilité de généraliser la méthode. La réponse semble plutôt négative, si l'on prend en considération non seulement les autres genres littéraires, mais aussi les autres formules romanesques ou les autres auteurs.

Pour consolider les conclusions concernant le principe génératif de l'oeuvre flaubertienne et la formule par laquelle il définit son esthétique – «formalisme réaliste» –, Pierre Bourdieu soumet à l'observation, patiemment et fréquemment, le contexte historique et le champ littéraire français de la deuxième moitié du XIX<sup>ème</sup> siècle. Il analyse les conditions économiques spécifiques, les nouvelles formes de domination, l'ingérence du politique, les rapports entre le *salon littéraire et la bohème*, les procès intentés aux écrivains, le développement *d'un monde économique à l'envers* (ce

mécanisme que les artistes mettent à l'œuvre et dans lequel ils sont enfermés; l'artiste triomphe sur le plan symbolique s'il échoue sur le plan économique etc.), c'est-à-dire tous ces éléments qui ont mené à la formation du champ littéraire et artistique, et puis à son évolution jusqu'à l'acquisition d'un haut niveau d'autonomie. Il est nouveau pour un sociologue d'ajouter à sa démonstration des considérations strictement littéraires, par exemple des renvois à l'emploi du style indirecte libre (impliquant toutes les conséquences), à l'ambiguïté du point de vue narratif, à l'abandon de la perspective unique, etc. qui lui servent admirablement pour justifier la polarisation et les hiérarchies de ce champ spécialisé, les différences entre les genres ou les différences stylistiques et thématiques. Il le fait risquant une formulation pas tout à fait scientifique: «Précisément à travers le travail sur la langue [...] opère la magie évocatrice qui, tout comme une incantation, fait apparaître le réel. C'est seulement quand l'écrivain se laisse posséder par les mots qu'il découvre que ces mots pensent pour lui et lui dévoilent le réel».

Peut-être que le chapitre le plus rigoureux, une enquête sociologique dans le vrai sens du mot, est celui dans lequel est analysé le marché des biens symboliques: leur production et leur circulation, les deux cycles de production dirigés par des logiques économiques opposées, le rôle que les institutions et les autres agents impliqués dans les mécanismes du marché jouent. Les exemples, les statistiques et les graphiques offerts rendent compte de la finesse analytique de l'auteur.

Toutefois, Bourdieu met son entière force spéculative au service de la consolidation d'une science des œuvres, appuyée sur sa propre théorie des champs, le concept de champ permettant, selon lui, «le dépassement de l'opposition entre la lecture interne et l'analyse externe», bénéficiant des gains de deux approches. Il part de la démonstration du mythe fondateur du «projet originaire» et il entreprend une digression critique dans la tradition théorique littéraire (la linguistique saussurienne, le formalisme russe, la sémiotique structuraliste, la génétique littéraire). Son point de vue s'oppose au principe qui affirme une évolution interne des genres, déplaçant l'accent sur la structure des relations objectives qui agissent à l'intérieur du champ, vu comme un univers social autonome. Ainsi, la plus grande partie des stratégies littéraires «sont surdéterminées et de nombreux „choix“ sont *des coups doubles*, à la fois esthétiques et politiques, internes et externes». Bref, nous allons voir en quoi consiste la théorie proposée.

Le champ se compose d'un réseau de relations objectives entre *positions* (de domination, de subordination, de complémentarité, etc.), qui positions correspondent aux *prises de position* homologues (des œuvres, des polémiques, des manifestes, etc.). Les prises de position sont l'enjeu d'un conflit permanent, le résultat d'un combat; leur sens et leur valeur sont variables. Celui qui entre dans le champ de production culturelle acquiert *un code spécifique* de comportement et d'expression, il découvre un ensemble de contraintes et un ensemble d'emplois possibles, c'est-à-dire «l'univers limité *des libertés sous des contraintes et des potentialités objectives* qu'il propose: des problèmes à résoudre, des options stylistiques ou thématiques à exploiter, des contradictions à dépasser, même des ruptures révolutionnaires à réaliser» (*n. tr.*). Il est à noter que ces actes révolutionnaires doivent potentiellement exister comme *des lacunes structurales*, qui ne peuvent être perçues que par ceux qui détiennent une certaine position dans le champ et un certain *habitus* (un système de dispositions). Ce qu'il arrive à l'intérieur du champ (les succès ou les échecs, la consécration ou l'exclusion) conduit à la redéfinition permanente du «projet créateur» et à un certain trajet social et artistique. Donc, il y a

une nécessité de champ, une logique de la concurrence, voire des choix qui, inconsciemment, visent un profit matériel ou symbolique.

En somme, conformément à la démonstration de Pierre Bourdieu, la liberté de création s'avère illusoire. Elle s'inscrit dans un univers limité des possibles, se manifeste notamment comme potentialité, dépend d'un habitus spécifique et d'une position favorable dans la distribution du champ – un vrai terrain miné – se soumettant à sa logique spécifique. Si nous nous posons, en quelque sorte, le problème de l'existence d'un calcul conscient des stratégies, la réponse reçue serait que la lucidité, en tout cas partielle, varie en fonction des agents proprement-dits et des moments. Quant à la transformation probable du champ, «elle est inscrite, à chaque instant, dans la structure du champ, mais chaque agent choisit son avenir – participant de cette manière à la transformation du champ – réalisant les potentialités objectives qui déterminent la relation entre ses pouvoirs et les objectifs possibles inscrits dans le champ».

Voici, donc, une véritable tentative d'exhibition des mécanismes sociaux qui entraînent l'apparition des œuvres d'art, la construction d'une théorie permettant de décrire et de comprendre l'effort déployé par l'écrivain «contre les déterminations et grâce à elles». Ainsi, il est compréhensible pourquoi, pour Bourdieu, l'option déclarée de Mallarmé de refuser «l'élimination sans pitié de la fiction» n'est pas une solution satisfaisante: elle maintient la prééminence du plaisir esthétique à la compréhension.

À quel point cette méthode proposée est utilisable et fertile, reste à voir. Mais elle se constitue d'un point de vue original, capable de provoquer la réflexion et de tempérer de nombreuses formulations pathétiques et mystifiantes du monde de lettres. Indiscutablement, la synthèse sociologique de Pierre Bourdieu gagne une place bien définie à l'intérieur du tableau vaste de l'interprétation des œuvres littéraires.

**Florica TEODORIUC,**  
Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare” din Suceava  
[florinateodoriuc@yahoo.com](mailto:florinateodoriuc@yahoo.com)

---

**Cornel Munteanu, *Eminescu. Polimorfismul operei*, Wydawnictwo  
Uniwersytetu Jagiellonskiego, Krakow, 2012, 248 p.**

---

Cartea lui Cornel Munteanu, profesor la Universitatea din Baia Mare, pornește de la raporturile operei eminesciene cu canonul estetic, se realizează tendințelor necanonice din ultima vreme de înscriere a poeziei eminesciene în modernism și, „în punctul terminus, volumul oferă un Eminescu al studentului în litere, dându-i sugestii de a-i provoca noi dezbateri asupra operei, a-l încuraja într-un dialog deschis și fără complexe” (p. 9).

Autorul își exprimă deschis adevărată față de școala eminescologică de la Cluj și dezvoltă în substanța propriei cărți viziunea critică din studiile lui D. Popovici și Ioana Em. Petrescu. Stilul critic al lui Cornel Munteanu este însă produsul școlii filologice sucevene, fondate de profesorul Mihail Iordache, caracterizat printr-o angajare polemică în dezbaterile actuale și spirit cozeur.

Criticul propune o abordare integratoare a practicilor scrisului eminescian și „multe din sugestiile acestor prolegomene vin din interferențele literaturii cu teoria textului

ori la confluența cu filosofia ființei sau cu discursul publicistic” (p. 232). El dezbate mai întâi problema canonului estetic („surogat al absolutismului în literatură” – p. 13), impus de critica literară, care ar reduce literatura „la norme și reguli” extrinseci ei, anihilându-i „libertatea de a produce texte, multe și diferite”, dar și lectura multiplă; extins în istoria literară, acesta „produce o adâncire a opozițiilor dintre grupări și generații”, concretizată în negarea afinităților și refuzul modelelor, în condițiile în care „în afara canonului estetic funcționează și alte tipuri, precum canon didactic ori canon moral” (p. 18).

Astfel, criticul propune o lectură în linia teoriilor cu punctul de plecare în poststructuralism (invocându-i Foucault, Lyotard și Bourdieu), a literaturii ca discurs social, și pledează, citând-o pe Ioana Em. Petrescu, pentru o interpretare complementară și multiplă, raportată la logica interioară a operei, care să urmeze multiplele căi ale zămislirii universului artistic, „dinamică și deschisă, care să încurajeze cititorul în a înainta în lectură pe diferite canale de interpretare”, reconfigurând însuși „conceptul de valoare al operei literare, adăugând valorii estetice intrinseci, un plus de valoare extrinsecă” (p. 23-24).

Desigur, aceste idei nu sunt chiar noi în bibliografia românească; o „critică completă”, angajând **opera** din cât mai multe perspective, a fost susținută de Ibrăileanu; Călinescu a transformat-o în operă de creație, explicând în *Principiile de estetică* rolul subiectivității și impresionismului în interpretarea datelor obiective ale obiectelor artistice, iar Tudor Vianu a vorbit și el despre necesitatea unei interpretări integratoare a operei, prin cele două tipuri de istorie literară, internă și externă. De fapt, problema pe care pare a o ridică observația de mai sus ar fi, în termeni călinescieni, cea a corelării dintre valoarea operei eminesciene și idealul artistic contemporan. De aici și importanța raportării criticului la filosofia poststructuralistă și postmodernistă, cât și privilegierea „noii critici” eminescologice.

Referindu-se, în capitolul al III-lea, *Eminescologie*, la istoria receptării operei eminesciene („o încercare de definire și tipologizare a științei critice în Eminescu”), el remarcă „o desfășurare amplă de principii, metode, formule și limbaje ale criticii”, o îndepărtare de momentul unei sinteze a receptării operei, generând, în mod fatal, și radicalizarea a două atitudini, encomiastică și contestatară. Desigur, pare puțin ciudată această spaimă de fragmentare (a operei și a lecturii, în perspectivele diferite – estetică, impresionistă, formalistă, modelară, stilistică, filosofică etc.) și aspirația spre o „sistematică” a receptării operei eminesciene, atât de necesară, totuși; pentru a o realiza, Cornel Munteanu propune proiecte, precum realizarea unui dicționar al eminescologilor, a unui dicționar de termeni-concept ai limbajului critic din eminescologie și a unui dicționar de idei în eminescologie, care să ofere o imagine globală asupra perspectivelor exegetice existente.

De fapt, Cornel Munteanu nu se desparte de „modernismul” critic (centrat pe valoarea estetică), deoarece, în absența unei modernizări depline a politicii, a instituțiilor literare și a instrumentelor necesare cunoașterii, acesta oferă singurul suport (deși irepetabil în substanța sa) pentru reorientarea privirii posterității. *Eminescologia de sinteză*, cu studiile remarcabile ale lui G. Călinescu, E. Papu, I. Em. Petrescu, D. Popovici, T. Vianu pare a fi terenul care a nutrit „eminescologia ontologică”, despre care exegetul crede că e capabilă să situeze opera lui Eminescu într-un loc nou, „în anticamera modernismului”, prin studiile lui C. Noica, Svetlana Paleologu-Matta, Th. Codreanu sau Mihai Cimpoi.

Aceste direcții pot „a-l scoate pe Eminescu de sub canonul estetic”, și pe acest traseu criticul reinterpretează teme precum *Poezia tainei, Filosoficul și fantasticul, Ființă-Neființă în viziunea arheului eminescian, Tipuri de eroi lirici*.

Departajării „specioase” canon-anticanon, el îi preferă una cu deschidere mai mare, dintre convențional și neconvențional, sau dintre monomorf și polimorf (p. 21). În această ordine de idei, în cel de al doilea capitol, el propune „un Eminescu inovator al formelor” prin *Polimorfismul operei*, care este structurat, la rândul-i, de polifonia vocilor eului liric. Polimorfismul „desemnează un text care poate lua mai multe înfățișări sau, mai precis, același text de o anumită formă și structură poate cumula mai multe forme literare” „în funcție de structura ideatică sau registrul poetic și stilistic al operei” (p. 51).

Polimorfia reprezentărilor este specifică momentului Marilor Clasici, care se distanțează de structurile textuale monomorfe ale predecesorilor. Criticul identifică două tipuri de construcție polimorfă, triadică și asociată; în aplicațiile pe care le face pe textele eminesciene, el remarcă în „scheletul” fiecărui text, în versuri sau în proză, o construcție „verticală” complexă; astfel, în *Luceafărul* coexistă forme precum pastelul, idila și meditația, în *Scrisori* se combină epistola, satira și epopeea cosmogonică și în fiecare text „regăsim simultan poezie meditativă, erotică, dar și componente ale poeziei mitologice ori sociale”(p. 54).

Corelative ale construcției triadice sunt construcțiile asociate, „structuri de texte reluate, anticipate ori redimensionate de la un text la altul sau chiar între texte de genuri diferite, bunăoară de la poezie la proză” (p. 70), manifestând unitate de viziune și de discurs, precum *Luceafărul* și *Sărmanul Dionis*, ori *Archaeus* și *Avatarii faraonului Tla*. În aplicațiile sale, autorul reorganizează sugestii de lectură din studiile Ioanei Em. Petrescu, lui Tudor Vianu sau D. Popovici. O atenție deosebită o acordă *Eului angajat*, în subcapitole precum *Resursele satirei în Epigonii, Junii corupți*, ori unor *Probleme de poetică eminesciană*, precum cea a titlului.

Pe lângă analiza aplicată a unor texte din operă, cartea propune deopotrivă o imagine diacronică și sincronică a exegezei eminesciene și integrează o serie de „conspecte critice” ale unor cărți apărute din zorii Anului Eminescu până în prezent, care clarifică principalele teme, perspective și metode de interpretare, și pot constitui veritabile modele pentru renovarea discursului exegetic (Constantin Amărușei, Mihai Cimpoi, Th. Codreanu, Gheorghe Glodeanu, Dan Mănuță, Dumitru Micu, Mariana Neț, Doru Scărlătescu).

Ce înseamnă a fi eminescolog pentru Cornel Munteanu? „Eminescolog este un critic consacrat și bine orientat în fenomenul receptării lui Eminescu, al cărui reper este opera sau biografia eminesciană, în jurul cărora propune un construct critic fundamentat teoretic și aplicat la obiectul său. Acest construct trebuie să ofere o nouă sugestie de lectură și interpretare, să dea o amprentă specifică și originală acestei interpretări și care să-l raporteze și să-l situeze pe o anumită poziție în rândul criticii eminescologice.” (p. 135). Adică un fel de Călinescu și Bourdieu la un loc, o conștiință critică puternică și autonomă, în a cărei „producție” se întâlnește vocația unui actor creator cu luciditatea unui judecător al câmpului literar și a cărei autoritate este determinată de ierarhizarea internă, în funcție de „relevanța demonstrației și afirmațiilor” (p. 135).

**Sabina FÎNARU,**  
Universitatea “Ștefan cel Mare” din Suceava  
[sab59ina@yahoo.com](mailto:sab59ina@yahoo.com)

---

**Jill Lepore, *The Story of America*, Princeton University Press,  
Princeton and Oxford, 2012, 416 p.**

---

Jill Lepore is a Harvard historian and a *New Yorker* staff writer. Her distinctive approach to the *history* of America comes primarily from a unique blending of academic investigation with a particular skill in the art of the essay and an extraordinary sense of the narrative. This allows for a challenging and complex treatment of history, from the perspective of the written stories which built it, as well as for a “telling” of history as a story.

*The Story of America* is a collection of twenty essays, in which the author makes the case that the rise of democracy is bound up with the history of its reading and writing, which is why the study of American history is inseparable from the study of American literature. American origin stories are investigated – from John Smith’s account of the founding of Jamestown in 1607 to Barack Obama’s 2009 inaugural address – with a view to illustrating that, over the centuries, Americans have read and written their way into a political culture of ink and type. From past to present, Lepore argues, Americans have wrestled with the idea of democracy by telling stories.

The *Introduction* brings forth the argument that “to say the United States is a story is not to say that it is fiction”; it is, instead, to suggest that it follows certain narrative conventions, in light of the fact that “all nations are places, but they are also acts of imagination” (p.3). The purpose of the book is, therefore, not so much to tell the story of America as to offer a study of the story, aiming, among other things, not to add to a literature of hero worship and national self-congratulation, already large (p.16.).

Having to do with cultural history, mostly, the essays excavate the origins of everything from the Constitution to the American language dictionary, from the sixteenth century to the twenty-first. Along the way, the study presents fresh readings of Benjamin Franklin’s *Way to Wealth*, Thomas Paine’s *Common Sense*, “The Raven” by Edgar Allan Poe, as well as histories of lesser known genres, including biographies of presidents, novels of immigrants, and accounts of the Depression. While history is considered the art of making an argument about the past by telling a story accountable to evidence, the historical inquiry suggested by the study undertakes a particular reading of a variety of documents, scrutinized with “one eyebrow cocked”. “Shifty-looking characters with ink-stained hands” are also identified in travel narratives, campaign biographies and in the dime novel.

The thesis that documents are not to be trusted while under historical investigation is illustrated by the pertinent analysis of its very first “stories”. In the first chapter/essay, Jamestown, Virginia, is demonstrated to have been dressed up and pressed into the services of two conflicting types of narrative, making it the birthplace of the American dream (as in the writings of John Smith), on the one hand, and the birthplace of the the American nightmare, on the other (referring to accounts of the arrival of the first Africans in 1619). In the critical eyes of the modern historian, what happened in Jamestown, remains a story of “some lessons painfully learned, and others not learned at all. Here are two. The world isn’t made of oatmeal. And to conquer isn’t the only way to live” (p.30).

If Smith is termed a Crusader, rather than a Founding Father, and certainly not considered a trustable historian, the governor and first chronicler of Plymouth plantation, William Bradford, is linked with the early colonial art of writing history (p.32). *Of Plymouth Plantation*, as we know it, however, is revealed to be the product of historical rewriting, in the form of a Harvard historian's valuable edition of Bradford's history, edited in 1952. Earlier printed editions are denounced as erroneous and injudicious transcripts of the chronicler's manuscript. With Benjamin Franklin, the author argues, one should beware of a permanent appetite for satire and imposture, whereas the brilliant forty-six-page piece of writing of an apparently "lesser Founder", Thomas Paine, is believed to have convinced the American people of what more than a decade of taxes and nearly a year of war had not: that it was their destiny to declare their independence from Britain. While history itself proves to have often been unkind to Paine, and Americans ambivalent about him, the type of critical study Jill Lepore undertakes, is bound to admit, just as John Adams once did, that *Common Sense* made declaring independence possible, through its gripping prose, and, ultimately, that "History is to ascribe the American Revolution to Thomas Paine" (p.63).

Illustrating the opposite trend in history, the essay *We the parchment* is a brilliant argument against reducing ideas to icons, both in history and in politics: The Constitution is not just "ink on parchment" but also the set of meanings that have been made of its forty-four hundred words and the course of events created by its interpretations, for more than two centuries. Still, to record plain history, Lepore ironically notices that "crying constitution" remains a minor American art form. Supporting evidence comes from accounts of impeached presidents waving around pocket constitutions and congressmen mistaking the preamble to the Constitution for the opening sentences of the Declaration of Independence, while trying to prove political eloquence. Often cited and cried out, one of the shortest constitutions in the world is critically exposed as rarely read and fully understood by its people: "It is the stripes on William Grimes's back, the rule of law, a shrine in the National archives, a sign carried on the Washington Mall, the opinions of the Court, and the noise all of us make, when we disagree. If the Constitution is a fiddle, it's also the music that's ever been played on it. Some of that music is beautiful; much of it is humdrum; some of it sounds like hell". We know of no similar approach to the parchment, in terms of both style and depth of historical insight!

In counterpoint, the following chapter identifies the years when the American Constitution was drafted with a different, less known story: that of New York's debtor's prison. Drawing on John's Pintard's writings as well as the records of the New York Society for the Relief of Distressed Debtors, the study reveals a staggering number of 1,162 debtors committed to prison in New York between 1787 and 1788, most of whom owed less than twenty shillings. The practice prevailed in most parts of the early modern world and, in the seventeenth century, it travelled, with the English common law, to America. In 1831, when the Union voted to abolish imprisonment for debt, most of the countries in Europe would still put debtors in jail (the British Parliament did not ban the practice until 1869). With the argument that debt and slavery are, historically and conceptually tangled together, the author cannot help but wonder, along with the petitioners, why Americans allowed it to exist as a product of feudalism. Salvation is believed to have come with the bankruptcy law being liberalized and the implicit historical realisation that most people who fall into debt are victims of the business cycle, and not of fate, or divine retribution: "Forgiveness of debts, of

everyone's debts lay behind some large part of American prosperity, when times were prosperous" (p.109). Moreover, it accounted for a major shift in literature writing: while nineteenth-century British writers, such as Dickens and Thackeray, kept writing gloomy novels with scenes set in debtor's prisons, American writers turned to "shiny, bright-eyed new plots, rags-to-riches, the hazards of new fortunes"(p.109).

More recent stories come under scrutiny in an approach to historical records of murder, for example. *Rap sheet* tackles provocative notions such as the "murderousness of nations", questioning, in the line of modern historical studies of homicide, the existence of an American way of murdering, rooted in the nation's politics and social culture: for instance, do mobility, federalism, slavery and tolerance account for the fact that the United States has the highest homicide rate of any affluent democracy? A different standpoint in historical criminology advances the thesis that democracy came too soon to the United States, not allowing the people to get used to the idea of a state monopoly on force. Americans therefore preserved for themselves not only the right to bear arms, instead of yielding that right to a strong central government, but also medieval manners: "impulsiveness, crudeness, and a fidelity to a culture of honor. We're backward in other words, because we became free before we learned how to control ourselves" (p.295). Irrespective of possible interpretations, the point made by the author is that murder has a history, and it is well worth investigating.

So is "presidential eloquence": "Every inaugural address is a history lesson" (p.315). This is the thesis of a witty and utterly revealing analysis of fifty-seven American inaugural addresses, offered by the last chapter of the book. The essay brilliantly touches upon rhetoric (length of sentences and speeches, precision, sloganeering) as well as on what we consider pragmatic dimensions of historical discourse. Relating to the purpose of communication, the inaugural is taken to serve four objectives: reconstituting the people, rehearsing shared values, setting forth policies and demonstrating the president's willingness to abide by the terms of his office. In reference to the channel and context of the utterances, one surprisingly finds that Washington's inaugural speech, while setting a precedent, was actually addressed to the Congress and only symbolically to the citizenry. In the words of a political scientist, since Roosevelt and Woodrow Wilson, "popular and mass rhetoric has become a principal tool of presidential governance" in the United States (Tulis, apud Lapore, p. 312). Finally, one also learns that, with inaugurals being televised (ever since Truman's 1949 speech) and then streamed on the internet, as in the case of Barack Obama, "speaking to posterity no longer means writing for readers" (p.306)! It is only right, then, that presidential speeches should close the book on American democracy writing and reading.

A captivating and provocative piece of reading, Jill Lepore's book offers a history of American origin stories and a meditation on storytelling itself. The author tells resounding stories about real people forging American roots and development, but always through a deeply documented history. History, in Lepore's hands, appears as conflicting and ragged but, at the same time, as gripping as a novel.

**Ana-Cristina CHIRILĂ (ȘERBAN),**  
Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare”, Suceava  
[anacristinaserban@yahoo.fr](mailto:anacristinaserban@yahoo.fr)

---

**Alex Goldiș, *Critica în tranșee. De la realismul socialist la autonomia esteticului*, Editura Cartea Românească, 2011**

---

Apărută în anul 2011, la Editura Cartea Românească, lucrarea lui Alex Goldiș este o valorificare literară a tezei sale de doctorat și a fost primită de specialiști cu mult entuziasm. Structurată în cinci capitole precedate de un „Argument“ și urmate de „Concluzii“, cartea urmărește să compună fizionomia criticii românești postbelice, întrucât, așa cum afirmă însuși autorul, „mitologia secretă a criticii e cel puțin la fel de importantă ca postulatele ei deschise“ (p. 284). Încă din argument, Alex Goldiș mărturisește faptul că intenția sa inițială a fost aceea de a realiza o descriere a modelelor teoretice impuse în judecata literară românească postbelică, dar a considerat că ar fi „mai adecvată și mai interesantă“ (p. 7) o istorie a acestor forme. Ordonându-și demersul potrivit criteriului cronologic, cercetătorul urmărește lupta creației cu puterea politică, o putere care capătă, de multe ori, dimensiunile unui personaj.

Dezvoltarea criticii românești din perioada postbelică este asemănată de Alex Goldiș unui război de impunere a valorii firești în literatură, cea estetică. Metafora războiului este aplicabilă doar unui context particular, cel românesc, întrucât condițiile de manifestare literară sunt unele deosebite. Nevoită să se raporteze puterii politice nou instaurate, stalinismul, care avea pretenția de a construi prin intermediul prozei, mai ales, un *om nou*, critica trebuie să combată, mai întâi, schematismul producțiilor literare din această perioadă și tendința ideologizantă, implicată a textelor.

Prima etapă a bătăliei pentru dezvoltarea firească a manifestării literare se consumă în deceniul al cincilea al secolului trecut, când editoriale anonime sau puse pe seama unor „bravi oameni ai muncii“, dar cu evidente cunoștințe în domeniul esteticii, corectează, prin paginile revistei „Scânteia“, eventualele derapaje ale actului critic de la adevărata sa misiune, aceea de a da seamă, alături de scriitor, de felul în care „câștigurile noii epoci“ sunt transmise și inoculate oamenilor din societatea „de producție“. Nu fără ironie, dar detașat și obiectiv, Alex Goldiș prezintă derapajele logice ale teoreticienilor români ai realismului socialist, care, deși grăbiți în a pune în practică ideea partidului asupra literaturii, eșuează lamentabil, demonstrând, de fapt, caracterul vag și hibrid al acestui „supracurent“, caracterizat de reciclarea unor termeni manifestați în critica interbelică, precum „realism“ sau „romantism“, transformați acum în modalități de percepere a realității, iar, pe de altă parte, de insuficienta specializare estetică a limbajului critic.

Caracterizată, din punct de vedere ideologic, de două tipuri de raportare la reacția socială, una închisă, de „monobloc“, care sancționa orice abatere de la linia partidului, manifestată către finalul deceniului cinci, și una ceva mai permisivă, în deceniul șase, teoria realismului socialist este susținută, fie din convingere, fie dintr-un instinct de conservare, de nume precum Ion Vițner sau Ov. S. Crohmălniceanu, ultimul dintre ei, pus întâi la zid printr-un editorial-rechizitoriu după model rusesc, lăudat apoi pentru aceleași idei, aplicate de această dată poeziei și nu prozei, fiind folosit de Alex Goldiș pentru a scoate în evidență fisurile care apar în blocul ideologic după destalinizarea din 1953.

Tânărul critic observă cu pertință două caracteristici ale acestei perioade a luptei: pe de o parte, re-descoperirea și valorizarea operelor marilor clasici, precum Mihai

Eminescu sau I. L. Caragiale, dar și reluarea rolului firesc de către revistele literare, acela de gazdă și de promotor al ideilor de înnoire a literaturii, de oglindă a stării culturii la un anumit moment cronologic. Tratată cu reticență, prima dintre aceste trăsături este contextualizată sub forma unei acțiuni de „falsificare a moștenirii literare“ (p.41) petrecută după 1950.

În ceea ce privește re-intrarea în drepturi a revistelor culturale românești, Alex Goldiș evidențiază rolul celei clujene, „Steaua“, punctând în mod obiectiv faptul că aceasta este prima publicație care manifestă un spirit de disidență în epocă. După părerea mea, Alex Goldiș supralicitează importanța acesteia, care, oricât de curajoasă în acest moment (să observăm că autorul însuși arată faptul că lipsa de obediență apare în articole care nu ocupă prima pagină, ci mai ales ultima, și care utilizează un limbaj ironic, acțiuni justificabile într-o perioadă de „îngheț ideologic“, în care abaterile erau drastic sancționate), rămâne, totuși, importantă mai ales în context local, deși manifestările ei nu trec neobservate de „dogmaticii“ vremii.

Alex Goldiș așază existența războiului de impunere a valorii estetice sub paradigma transformărilor de regim politic pe care le suferă spațiul românesc. Începându-și capitolele cu o prezentare a scenei politice din diversele decenii urmărite, autorul induce, de fapt, ideea, exprimată apoi în mod clar, că reprezentanții noii critici, precum Nicolae Manolescu, Eugen Simion sau Matei Călinescu, speculează relaxarea politicului în impunerea ideologiei partidului, ducând, mai ales, o luptă neconvențională, exprimată, în text, prin metafora „războiului în tranșee“, menită să desemneze o tatonare a celor două tabere în încercarea de a apăra sau de a pune la zid noua literatură, manifestată de reprezentanții generației '60 sub influența Noului Roman francez. Meritul criticului de la Cluj mi se pare a fi, în această parte a textului, revalorizarea unei lucrări umbrite de acuzația ralierei la ideile marxiste. Arătând rolul pe care *D'un réalisme sans rivage* a lui Roger Garaudy îl joacă în deceniul al șaselea în lupta pentru re-cucerirea esteticului, autorul impune acest text atenției publice.

Criticul observă cu obiectivitate faptul că ambele tabere, a „dogmaticilor“ și a „liberalizatorilor“, încearcă, prin tatonări reciproce, introducerea unei grile de lectură proprii. Vorbim despre o înfruntare de tip subiectiv, în care cronică literară, prin caracterul ei efemer, gazetăresc, reușește să păcălească ochiul atent al ideologilor, impunând valoarea estetică nu prin intermediul vreunui manifest, ci prin victorii mărunte și „mici acumulări“ (p. 105). Alex Goldiș explică, astfel, importanța din ce în ce mai mare pe care cititorii o acordă cronicii literare, care începe să se abată, pe teritoriul culturii românești, de la rolul ei, acela de a înregistra chipul literaturii într-o epocă determinată, preluându-l pe cel de apărător al tinerei literaturi de uniformizare. Întrucât critica și producția literară se așază de aceeași parte a baricadei în lupta împotriva politicului, nu este exagerată observația pe care o face autorul, aceea referitoare la comuniunea dintre scriitori și critici, extrem de vizibilă în volumul lui Mircea Martin din 1969, *Generație și creație*, volum care surprinde misiunea de credință a cronicarului literar, care-și vede activitatea „ca pe o comunicare esențială cu literatura și ca pe o construcție a propriei identități“ (p. 119).

„Instaurarea autonomiei esteticului“ se realizează abia după 1960 și este cuantificată de Alex Goldiș prin intermediul unei „antimetode“, adică nu prin evidențierea schimbării de canon, ci prin tonul și reacția celor care se opun acesteia. Fără a demonstra vreo atitudine partinică, încercând cu obiectivitate să-i înțeleagă și pe „înnoitori“ și pe „dogmatici“, autorul înregistrează diferendul dintre aceștia, pledând fiecare pentru

propriile concepții. Foarte interesantă este, în această parte a textului, sesizarea aspectului de solidaritate care se manifestă în interiorul unei generații, de data aceasta cronologică. Fie că vorbesc în termenii criticii „totale“ sau „unilaterale“, prin intermediul unor „lecturi infidele“ sau prin „psihanaliza creației“ (p. 147), reprezentanții noii generații de critici trimit, fără niciun fel de dubiu, către ideea de existență în opera literară a unui substrat ce trebuie descoperit prin aplicarea unor tehnici critice, variabile de la un cronicar la altul. Diversificarea metodelor, polemica în interiorul generației referitoare la primatul uneia sau alteia dintre modalitățile de abordare a textului literar sunt considerate semne ale instaurării autonomiei esteticului, realizată „la diferite niveluri și în raporturi inegale de Nicolae Manolescu, Eugen Simion, Adrian Marino, Ion Negoitescu, Mircea Martin, Matei Călinescu, Gheorghe Grigurcu sau Mihai Ungheanu“ (p. 150), dar în contradicție cu intenția reformării marxismului a lui N. Tertulian.

Următoarele două capitole ale lucrării surprind lupta pentru specializarea limbajului critic și pentru orientarea către modele teoretice, luptă dată acum între reprezentanții noii generații de critici și ilustrând conceptul de „unitate în diversitate“. Specificul proaspăt eliberatei meta-literaturi românești este, cum observă în mod just Alex Goldiș, lipsa specificității, manifestată fie prin deschiderea totală, lipsită de analiză și instauratoare de haos, spre toate modelele teoretice occidentale, fie prin „narcisism“. Deși adoptă ideile Noii Critici, referitoare la „realitatea nevăzută a operei“ și la „polivalența interpretării“ (p. 175), figuri centrale ale peisajului literar postbelic, precum Nicolae Manolescu sau Eugen Simion, le trec prin filtrul tiparului interbelic acceptat de regimul comunist, lucru care are drept finalitate îndepărtarea acestora de preluarea vreunui model teoretic în favoarea importului de concepte preluate din curente diferite și aplicabile imediat textului, acțiunea ducând la o lipsă de specializare a instrumentelor critice românești.

Trebuie observată, în această parte a textului, maturitatea de care dă dovadă tânărul critic de la Cluj în evaluarea modelelor. Astfel, deși scoate în evidență, la modul laudativ, rolul pe care Nicolae Manolescu îl joacă în lupta dusă pentru reinstaurarea criteriului estetic de ierarhizare a operelor, el nu se poate opri de la a adopta o poziție critică față de întârzierile pe care întoarcerea la teoria interbelică le produce în planul culturii românești. Atitudinea lui Alex Goldiș se distanțează de intransigență și de căderea în dogmatism, fiind nuanțată prin exprimarea rolului „de tranziție“ (p. 190), de „a recupera o literatură pierdută și de a descoperi una nouă“ (p. 190) specific meta-literaturii noastre.

Liberalizarea extremă a criticii românești postbelice se realizează, cum just observă Alex Goldiș, prin intermediul unui gen literar de care abuzează cercetătorii acestei perioade, eseul, raportat în epocă la un alt concept, cel de monografie, ultimul respins, aproape demonizat, perceput ca purtător de mesaj dogmatic. În această cheie explică autorul preeminența criticii ca practică, văzută ca încercare de curățare a vechilor dogmatisme pentru a se atinge conceptul de „critică pură“ către care aspirau creatorii generației '60.

Utilizând un amestec original de spirit călinescian și de metode aparținând Noii Critici, cei care populează, în perioada de după 1967, scena criticii românești sunt animați de dorința de a reconstrui o literatură autohtonă valoroasă prin descoperirea lumii, a cosmosului ascuns în opera fiecărui scriitor re-valorificat. Această operațiune, definitorie pentru climatul cultural românesc, are rolul de a creiona fața unei lumi, întrucât operele sunt văzute, în mod primordial, drept confesiuni.

Alex Goldiș s-a bucurat de aprecierea unui număr important de personalități literare, care au evidențiat, printre altele, „documentarea remarcabilă“, „cercetarea

riguroasă și ritmul dezbaterii“, „modalitatea reconstrucției contextelor social-politice și istorice“. Dincolo de unele exagerări legate de statutul, încă, de ucenic al autorului, se afirmă un critic lucid și obiectiv, care se impune deja în peisajul literelor românești.

**Elena BĂICEANU (PÂRLOG),**  
Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare” din Suceava  
[parlogelena@yahoo.com](mailto:parlogelena@yahoo.com)

---

**Ana Selejan, *Adevăr și mistificare în jurnale și memorii literare apărute după 1989*, Editura Cartea Românească, 2011, 220 p.**

---

Distinsă de Filiala Sibiu a Uniunii Scriitorilor din România cu premiul pentru cartea anului, la secțiunea „Critică și istorie literară”, lucrarea *Adevăr și mistificare în jurnale și memorii literare apărute după 1989* (Ed. Cartea Românească, 2011), semnată de Ana Selejan, depășește, prin nuanțarea critică a discursului, efortul documentaristic din volumele publicate anterior de universitara sibiană. Cunoscută pentru conturarea unei imagini fruste a vieții literare din perioada totalitaristă, așa cum se desprinde din *Trădarea intelectualilor, Reeducare și prigoană*, din cele șase volume ale *Literaturii sub totalitarism*, dar și din *Poezia românească în tranziție. 1944 – 1948*, autoarea își moderează, în ultima sa carte, tonul vindicativ, construind captivante încercări de portret, prin punerea în oglindă a autoficțiunilor „explicatoare sau moralizatoare” cu mărturiile din publicațiile vremii.

Grila documentelor vremii, pe care Ana Selejan alege să o utilizeze, permite deoalarea câtorva dintre pârgھیile care au dus la zămislirea unei literaturi încorsetate într-o ideologie totalitară, completând astfel studiile apărute anterior sub semnături precum cea a Sandei Cordoș (*Literatura între revoluție și reacțiune*, 1999) sau a lui Eugen Negrici (*Literatura română sub comunism – Poezia*, 2002; *Proza*, 2003).

Chiar din *Argument*, autoarea formulează întrebări vizând reconstituirea, asumarea sau eludarea unor evenimente particulare importante pentru tabloul general, anunțând o prezentare în ordine cronologică a celor zece mărturii aduse în discuție. Ov. S. Crohmălniceanu, Petru Dumitriu, Mihai Beniuc, Dumitru Micu, Sorin Toma și Lucia Demetrius se rătăcesc, voluntar sau nu, în labirintul înșelător al memoriei capricioase, pe când Miron Radu Paraschivescu, Victor Felea, Nina Cassian și Petre Solomon țin un jurnal sau recurg la însemnări diaristice, cu (autoimpuse) amnezii parțiale. Cu toții se regăsesc dezonozați, la sfârșitul rechizitoriului Anei Selejan, când sunt „demascate” stridențele între lumea autoficțiunii și realitatea documentelor.

Detectând (și blamând), în *Jurnalul unui cobai* (1994), eludarea mârșaviilor diaristului, Ana Selejan consideră că funcția de document și savoarea portretelor caricaturale ale unor *cobreslași* (cuvântul este împrumutat de la Magda Ursache) sunt atenuate de omisiunile frapante ale lui Miron Radu Paraschivescu privind campaniile sale din presa comunistă: demersurile categorice pentru impunerea „culturii în sens unic”, campania privind criza culturii (1946) și denigrarea lui Tudor Arghezi (1947). Astfel încât, în opinia cercetătoarei, jurnalul-roman devine drama scriitorului-instrument ideologic care, alungat de la masa puterii, oscilează între osanale epistolare închinat lui Gheorghe Gheorgiu-Dej și etichete injurioase pentru alți „muncitori cu condeiul”.

Același stil brut, frust (mai ales când se referă la exilul românesc) remarcă autoarea și la Petru Dumitriu. „Confesionistul” își mărturisește avaturile în interviurile publicate de Eugen Simion (1994, 1998) și de George Pruteanu (1995). Autorul *Cronicii de familie* își recunoaște duplicitatea, expunându-și compromisurile și asumându-și vina. (Cercetătoarea îl compară, și în acest sens, cu Miron Radu Paraschivescu, deși una este să spui, în memorii sau în interviuri postdecembriste, adevăruri pe care toată lumea le știe și altceva este să le scrii într-un jurnal, în „vremi” ale provizoratului existențial, și încă fără detașarea pe care ți-o oferă distanțarea temporală.)

Deși observă că Petru Dumitriu utilizează aceleași formulări în ambele interviuri, se lasă așteptată o concluzie. Să fie criza de conștiință a scriitorului cea care a generat un discurs disculpator îndelung repetat, întâi în sine, apoi pentru exil (care, de altfel, l-a respins)? Sau situația precară a perioadei de început de drum în Occident să fi dus la nașterea unei autobiografii înduioșătoare, de asemenea prea ades recitată?

Analizând activitatea literară a lui Petru Dumitriu, în paralel cu mărturisirile acestuia, Ana Selejan conchide că atât contribuțiile consistente la crearea personajelor tipologice anume cerute de obtuzitatea ideologică (comunistul, tractoristul, chiaburul), cât și agresivitatea din articolele contra lui Tudor Arghezi sau G. Călinescu, toate puse de autorul lor pe seama condiției de „sclav”, au avut un impact puternic în îndoctrinarea scriitorilor și în pervertirea literaturii române. Dar, în pofida panglicilor multicolore fluturate în mereu aceleași cuvinte de căință, cercetătoarea detectează faptul că nu acest impact nefast este marele regret al lui Petru Dumitriu, ci – fata morgana – succesul literar niciodată atins după evadarea în Occident.

Comentariul Anei Selejan stă sub semnul unei mirări tăioase în fața denaturărilor comise de critici literari autentici: în *Amintirile deghizate* (1994) ale lui Ov. S. Crohmălniceanu, intransigența dogmatică a criticului marxist-leninist este (auto)travestită în ajutor providențial acordat unor scriitori precum Hortensia Papadat-Bengescu, Tudor Arghezi sau Ion Barbu. Sunt imediat puse la index minciunile referitoare la Ion Barbu sau la victimizarea memorialistului, altminteri unul dintre clasicii realist-socialiști. La fel, în cazul lui Dumitru Micu: cercetătoarea consideră o mistificare grosolană concluzia acestuia, că vinovați pentru ideologizarea literaturii sunt mai ales politrucii, nu și cei care au scris la comandă într-o cultură literaturocentrică. Cu toate acestea, memoriile lui Dumitru Micu, *Timpuri zbuciumate* (2001), îi prilejuiesc Anei Selejan observații, pe un ton mai curând apreciativ, cu privire la valoarea documentară și la calitatea de *Bildungsroman* a acestora, la crezul literar și la figurile publice prinse cu dexteritate în insectarul evocărilor, la severa introspecție a unui privilegiat al regimului totalitar.

Cercetătoarea este stupefiată de incapacitatea unor figuri publice ale totalitarismului de a-și vedea propria vină, precum poetul stalinist Mihai Beniuc și criticul marxist Sorin Toma. Dar, dacă în primul caz, se găsește „stimabilă” consecvența convingerilor ideologice ale lui Mihai Beniuc, memoriile lui Sorin Toma provoacă o schimbare drastică de ton. Comentând pe marginea evocărilor și a regretelor celui care a instrumentat critic *cazul Arghezi*, autoarea capitolului *Contestarea lui Tudor Arghezi (Reeducare și prigoană, 2003)* își amintește de Iuda. Criticul de circumstanță Sorin Toma este blamat nu numai pentru abjectul, infamantul și aberantul său articol din 1948, ci și pentru contribuțiile consistente la etatizarea literaturii și la eliminarea modernismului. Sunt etichetate fără rezerve scrierile celor doi memorialiști: demagogie, mistificare, neadevăruri și chiar aberație.

În analiza Anei Selejan, Victor Felea și Nina Cassian se dovedesc doi „literatori de rând” duplicitari, ce râvnesc la vizibilitate publică. Îi demască tot prin

raportul dintre presa care comenta *literatura aservită* (sintagma îi aparține lui Eugen Negrici) și însemnările din jurnale sau memorii. Autoarea *Trădării intelectualilor* comentează cu destulă greutate și silă primul volum din *Memoria ca zestre* (2003, 2004, 2010) a Ninei Cassian. După cum mărturisește, dificultatea este dată nu de structura narativă etajată (jurnal dublat de adnotări ulterioare, așadar dublu discurs, diaristic și memorialistic), ci de decupajele pe care cercetătoarea le realizează voluntar, din dorința de a ignora confesiunile de natură erotică din „jurnalul amoroș al unei mitomane”.

În *Memoriile* Luciei Demetrius, autoarea *Literaturii sub totalitarism* identifică patru axe de susținere: lumea literară guvernată ideologic, crezul literar, teatrul și familia, toate reconstruite cu „miza și ținta auctorială” (p. 163) de a asigura un loc în galeria scriitorilor autentici. Valoarea de document a *Memoriilor* este alterată, parțial, de notele false create în încercarea de a reabilita imaginea unor ideologi notorii (Nicolae Moraru, Ofelia Manole), aflați cândva în ipostaza de binefăcători ai memorialistei. În finalul comentariilor și confruntărilor cu realitatea din *Adevăr și mistificare în jurnale și memorii apărute după 1989*, profilul Luciei Demetrius pare să fie cel mai luminos.

Cartea Anei Selejan se încheie în aceeași notă în care a început, prin relevarea tehnicii omisiunilor, de data aceasta la Petre Solomon. Poetul și traducătorul se înscrie în paradigma textului evocator prin notele memorialistice și de jurnal cuprinse în *Am să vă povestesc cândva acele zile* (primul volum apare în 2006, iar cel de-al doilea în 2008). Analizând, din primul volum, perioada cuprinsă între 1952 și 1965, cercetătoarea observă că accentul cade pe viața literară, cu extrem de puține bucle autoreferențiale, iar omisiunile făcute cu scopul de a evita adevărurile compromițătoare dau o notă falsă întregului tablou.

Căutând, în memorii și jurnale, profilul scriitorului din perioada totalitară, Ana Selejan urmează un algoritm eficient: stabilește axele textului, delimitează un interval temporal cuprins între 1944 și 1965, pune în oglindă operele analizate și presa literară a vremii, determină imaginea lumii literare și identifică strategiile la care au recurs, voluntar sau nu, memorialiștii și diariștii.

Scrise în scopul reabilitării, memoriile, jurnalele și mărturisirile din interviuri devin, pentru cunoscuta documentaristă, dovezi ale unor strategii și stratageme de ameliorare a imaginii scriitorului realist-socialist. Omisiunile, eludarea unor fapte (Miron Radu Paraschivescu, Petre Solomon), falsul, justificările, erorile de informație (Ov. S. Crohmălniceanu), edulcorările (Lucia Demetrius), regretul (sincer?) și autocritica (Petru Dumitriu), demagogia și aberațiile (Mihai Beniuc), falsa reabilitare (Dumitru Micu, Victor Felea), erorile de gândire (Sorin Toma) și mitomania cu accente erotice (Nina Cassian) sunt demascate prin suprapunerea autoficțiunilor peste documentele vremii.

Cartea Anei Selejan nuanțează critic zece portrete de scriitori aserviți, încadrați într-una din categoriile: „fidelii”, „duplicitarii” sau „conformiștii” (p. 145) și identifică tehnicile distorsionante ale fiecăruia. Mistificările sunt demascate și sancționate prompt, iar consecințele, mai mici sau de-a dreptul monstruoase, ale contribuției fiecăruia la prigoana esteticului sunt relevate cu exactitate. Îndreptând niște „istorii”, Ana Selejan le restituie cititorilor un fragment dintr-o Istorie (a literaturii).

**Adriana ȚEPEȘ (RUSU),**  
Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare” din Suceava  
**[adriana\\_rusu\\_2@yahoo.com](mailto:adriana_rusu_2@yahoo.com)**

Parcurgând traseul sinuos de la lectorul ideal la exeget, prin re-lecturi paralele și suprapuneri ale textelor analizate, Călin-Horia Bârleanu „îmbracă” ipostaza unui fin psihanalist al operei lui Mircea Cărtărescu, scriitor contemporan acuzat uneori că se adresează unui public restrâns, unui lector specializat, capabil să recepteze mesajul încifrat pe parcursul a sute de pagini de proză, poezie sau memorialistică. Fără a emite pretenția de a fi revelat toate înțeleșurile universului cărtărescian, autorul reușește în lucrarea sa – *Mircea Cărtărescu. Universul motivelor obsedante* (Iași, Editura „Universitas XXI”, 2011, 300 p.) – să pătrundă dincolo de lumina *orbitoare* în care sunt învăluite textele pentru a dezvălui substanța intertextuală generatoare a acestui univers întrețesut atât cu „elemente de psihanaliză, cât și elemente psihanalizabile” (p. 41). Așa cum însuși autorul mărturisește în „Introducere”, obiectivul său principal este acela de a evidenția prin analiza operei lui Mircea Cărtărescu motivele obsedante, ce constituie pilonii construcției ficționale, motive utilizate nu numai în mod conștient de către autor, ci, mai ales, inconștient.

Structura tripartită a lucrării – 1. „Psihanaliză și literatură”, 2. „Proza”, 3. „Concluzii” – reflectă rigoarea analizei întreprinse, care pornește de la o bază teoretică solidă cu privire la psihanaliză (cum reiese și din „Bibliografia generală” de la sfârșit), indispensabilă analizei pe text realizată în partea cea mai consistentă a exegezei și se încheie prin câteva concluzii pertinente asupra operei cărtăresciene. Privind retrospectiv legătura dintre psihanaliză și literatură, Călin-Horia Bârleanu precizează atât aspectele pozitive, cât și cele negative ce decurg din aplicarea metodei freudiene în planul ficțiunii. După această incursiune a teoriilor psihanalitice în spațiul culturii române, analistul poposește pe *harta* operei lui Mircea Cărtărescu. Corpusul supus analizei psihanalitice îl reprezintă cu precădere proza – *Visul*, *Nostalgia*, *Orbitor*, dar sunt relevante și referirile la poezie, *Jurnal* sau eseul *Pururi tânăr, înfășurat în pixeli*, deoarece autorul mărturisește opțiunea sa pentru viziunea cronologică (p. 285), indispensabilă unui demers critic a cărui miză constă în evidențierea motivelor obsedante din care a fost plâsmuit textul.

Aparatul de interpretare simbolică a operei lui Mircea Cărtărescu are în centru tema visului, considerată „formă unică de manifestare a scriitorului” (p. 55) și evidențiază legătura cu motivul macului – simbol esențial al peisajului oniric narativ, sugerând în subtext uitarea, somnul și viziunea thanatică. Simbolul primordial ce conduce spre lumea visului și, de aici, spre interioritatea abisală este scoica, element analizat de interpret. Atent la detaliile purtătoare de semnificații, caracteristică principală a scriiturii lui Mircea Cărtărescu, acesta intră în „jocul scriitorului cu literatura și de-a literatura” (p. 185), reușind să-l de-mascheze pe naratorul ascuns în spatele operei prin intermediul motivelor obsedante inconștiente, reluate și amplificate de creator pe parcursul actului scrierii conștiente: motivul oglinzii, motivul obsesiv al androgenului, motivul labirintului, motivul dublului (din perspectivă jungiană: *anima-animus*), motivul gheții, motivul Totului „cuprinzând, până la urmă, un tot care se află în toate” (p. 94), motivul scoicii, motivul florii, simbolul focului, motivul alesului, motivul orbitorului și al transparentului. Viziunea operei ca un întreg este conferită prin arta creatorului de a reuni aceste motive, aparent disparate, în vis. Visătorul reface prin

intermediul visului traseul spre interiorul său și, totodată, spre trecut, timpul rememorat prin excelență de către narator.

Drumul inițiat prin labirintul prozei scriitorului postmodernist debutează cu nuvelele din volumul *Nostalgia*, fără însă a eluda diferențele dintre acestea și cele cuprinse în *Visul*. De-construcția fiecărui text relevă o poietică a imaginilor, simbolurilor, miturilor și *motivelor obsedante*, reflectate în oglinda trecutului și aflate la rădăcinile imaginației, care le disociază potrivit celor două polarități: *Animus* (entitatea masculină) și *Anima* (entitatea feminină). Acuitatea analitică recunoaște în personajul Svetlana pe Gina (p. 101) sau în studentul Vali pe Andrei, ele fiind ipostaze „diferențiate doar de timp“ (p. 98), marcând la nivelul discursului ficțional nu numai un anume *evoluționism* cărtărescian, ci și matricea inconștientului, transpusă prin limbaj în imagini recurente, chiar obsesive.

Denumindu-l „romanul-metaforă al operei lui Mircea Cărtărescu“ (p. 139), exegetul a remarcat pe lângă drama însingurării și depersonalizarea voită a naratorului „aceeași reverie a cărții care să cuprindă Totul“ (p. 144), realizată, poate, prin trilogia *Orbitor*. Percept ca o reală aplicație psihanalitică întreprinsă la vârstele maturității și adolescenței cu frecvente digresiuni în trecut (copilăria) pentru re-găsirea propriei identități, textul se conturează în jurul câtorva simboluri obsedante: imaginea closetului, ușile stacojii închise cu lacăte grele, păianjenii, imaginea dublului – Victor, toate acestea ajutându-l pe narator „să transforme în literatură absolut tot ce atinge. Romanul său este rotund, făcând un drum în sine pentru a se descoperi, transformat în fetiță de părinții săi“ (p. 147).

Cercetarea continuă cu abordarea *Jurnalului* scriitorului, în care Călin-Horia Bârleanu identifică, în fază incipientă, obsesii (cea a scrisului) sau motive (visul, zăpada, Victor etc.), folosite „inconștient de scriitor, în evocarea aceleiași scene, la nesfârșit, în variante ușor modificate“ (p.176). Mecanismul metaforic, voluptatea rememorării și procesul de prelucrare a biografismului într-un vizionarism oniric îl îndreptățesc pe exeget să recunoască măiestria scriitorului de a mânui cuvântul, evidentă în paginile de literatură ce pot fi decupate din *Jurnal*. Ideologia lui Cărtărescu cu privire la literatură – ca ocupație de bază transpare și-n textele nonficționale, precum *Baroane!* sau *Pururi tânăr, înfășurat în pixeli*.

Studierea din perspectivă psihanalitică a fiecărei *aripi* a trilogiei *Orbitor* dezvăluie cititorului decriptarea mesajului literar prin identificarea a numeroase motive, reluate sistematic pentru a imprima ficțiunii coerență și coeziune: dublul, Bucureștiul, labirintul, mama, fluturele, uși și oglinzi, psihologie și sexualitate, nostalgia neobișnuitului, inițierea și ființa androgin, păianjeni, jocul copilăriei/copilăria mitică, *Orbitor* și *Totul*, influențe biblice, apariția lui Victor. Subtitlul retoric „Aceleași motive, noi viziuni?“ reprezintă parcă o invitație adresată lectorului, ajuns spre finalul studiului, de a reflecta asupra validității și verosimilității *motivelor obsedante* asupra cărora s-a insistat pe parcursul demersului interpretativ.

Se poate observa că autorul acestei lucrări evită utilizarea limbajului freudian (de exemplu, complexul lui Oedip în loc de „Mama“) pentru denumirea imaginilor ce revin agasant în fiecare text; acest fapt relevă intenția lui Călin-Horia Bârleanu de a psihanaliza textul literar/opera, nicidecum pe autor. Meritul său constă în a fi reușit să-l lămurească pe cititor că Mircea Cărtărescu nu este privit ca un caz patologic, nu autorului i se dă un diagnostic, ci operei; obsesiile sunt ale naratorului, chiar dacă prin el se face auzită vocea inconștientă a autorului. Tocmai această obstinație de a lămuri lucrurile a determinat o oarecare scindare a *universului motivelor obsedante*, care se cristalizează fragmentat, pe măsură ce este interpretată fiecare pagină a textelor analizate.

Alături de *universul motivelor obsedante*, psihanalizate și psihanalizabile, întrupate în complexul eroului sau complexul paginii albe, autorul evidențiază și alte aspecte ale textelor: ludicul, privit de Mircea Cărtărescu drept o cale de a atinge magicul, ironia prezentă în ultimul volum al trilogiei *Orbitor* și inserția elementelor realiste, care clarifică motivația scriitorului de a refuza „încăpățânat realitatea, prin duritatea și obtuzitatea ei paradoxală“ (p. 188). Pornind de la teoria lui C. G. Jung despre arhetip, se oferă și o posibilă explicație pentru „tăria cu care se impune opera lui Cărtărescu“ (p. 288) în conștiința publicului contemporan: pentru că arhetipul se adresează tuturor oamenilor și opera exercită o fascinație deosebită asupra publicului cititor.

Avem de a face, prin urmare, cu o analiză detaliată a prozei lui Mircea Cărtărescu și o interpretare plauzibilă prin prisma freudiană și jungiană, care a facilitat înțelegerea punctelor nodale ale unui univers ficțional labirintic, în care „atribuirea mai multor sensuri și planuri unui singur subiect fiind o tehnică specifică cărtăresciană“ (p. 286). Trebuie observat însă faptul că poeziei scriitorului postmodernist nu i se acordă aceeași importanță ca prozei, deși câteva aprecieri sporadice indică prezența acelorași motive și-n poezie, într-o fază incipientă.

Contestabilă, poate, sub aspectul metodei de investigare (abordarea psihanalitică/psihocritica fiind privite cu o oarecare reticență de către critica literară, considerând interpretarea reductivă și speculativă, acuzând-o că pune accentul pe cercetarea autorului și nu a operei), cartea lui Călin-Horia Bârleanu reușește să vină în întâmpinarea cititorului, care nu poate depăși la o simplă lectură barierele impuse de încifrarea mesajului. Sprijinindu-se pe ajutorul unor savanți precum Freud, Jung, Adler sau Rank, autorul reușește să decodifice sensurile ascunse ale textelor cărtăresciene. Tehnica sa, introspecția psihanalitică, pare a fi aceea de a-l „bate“ pe autor cu propriile-i arme: conștient de faptul că Mircea Cărtărescu este „cunoscător al psihologiei analitice“ (p. 288), utilizează aceleași metode și pentru a parcurge demersul decodificării în sens invers: „așa cum l-au ajutat ele pe scriitor să ascundă mulțimea de sensuri închise cititorilor obișnuiți, ne-au ajutat și pe noi să identificăm câteva dintre motivele literare nedescoperite încă“ (p. 289).

Mai mult decât o direcție interpretativă, cartea de față a reușit să impună, în urma lecturii critice, un *diagnostic* corect operei, și nu autorului ei: puterea discursului narativ rezidă în arta cu care sunt întrețesute în scriitura conștientă motivele *obsedante* cu arhetipurile izvorâte din inconștient, astfel încât universul criptic configurat de scriitor s-a pretat interpretării psihanalitice, demonstrând că resursele conceptuale ale doctrinei impuse de Freud nu au fost epuizate în totalitate.

**Silvia COMANAC (MUNTEANU),**  
Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare” din Suceava  
[yonk\\_1174@yahoo.com](mailto:yonk_1174@yahoo.com)

---

**Cristina Scarlat (coordonator), Mac Linscott Ricketts, Marcello de Martino, *Mircea Eliade Once Again*, Editura Lumen, Iași, 2011, 345p., ISBN 978-973-166-276-3**

---

*Mircea Eliade Once Again* se dezvoltă drept un volum „mozaic”, reunind articole ce reprezintă fragmente din monografii, intervenții personale sau la conferințe internaționale, capitole din teze de doctorat, toate demonstrând, de fapt, intenția coordonatoarei, Cristina Scarlat, de a arăta că Mircea Eliade „adună, radial, entuziasmul, opiniile, cercetările, preocupările unei *comunități* speciale: aceea a eliașiștilor de pretutindeni”. Nume cunoscute în analiza operei/biografiei lui Mircea Eliade (Mac Linscott Ricketts, Mircea Handoca, Sabina Fînar, Mihaela Gligor) se întâlnesc cu cercetători mai puțin afirmați (Monica Domnari, Traian Penciu) în aceeași încercare, reușită de altfel: de a demonstra că ideile savantului roman și elemente ale biografiei sale, transfigurate sau nu artistic, rămân puncte de reper în multe domenii și pot „schimba viața”<sup>1</sup> multora dintre noi.

În ce măsură Mircea Eliade a schimbat viața Sabine Fînar putem descoperi pătrunzând pe itinerarul indian propus în studiul „Restoring the Indian Palimpsest”. Plecând, pas cu pas, pe urmele tânărului Eliade, cercetătoarea prezintă, detaliat, evocativ și sub semnul emoției, un itinerar în care trecutul și prezentul se glisează, luminându-se reciproc, ca „între oglinzi paralele”. Impresionează evocarea casei din Bhowanimore, în care Maitreyi este încă, în 2007, o prezență-absență la fel de vie ca în romanul omonim, prin amintirile celor care au iubit-o și cu care Sabina Fînar a intrat în dialog. Totul făcut în intenția ca ulterior, noi, lectorii, să ne „întâlnim” cu India de ieri și de azi, într-un „prizonierat” al istoriei depășit prin anamneza ce ne întoarce în timp și ne purifică de timp, deopotrivă.

O întoarcere în trecutul lui Eliade ne propun Mac Linscott Ricketts și Giovanni Casadio. Dincolo de informații deja cunoscute din *Rădăcinile românești ale lui Mircea Eliade*<sup>2</sup>, monograful american oferă câteva „fragmente” inedite din biografia savantului: de exemplu, faptul că există într-adevăr o „declarație”, care a făcut posibilă eliberarea din lagărul de concentrare, semnată în timpul internării lui Mircea Eliade la Moroeni. Aceasta nu conținea o „desolidarizare” de Mișcarea Legionară, ci un angajament de neimplicare politică. „Mircea Eliade visto da Mircea Eliade”, dar din perspectiva lui G. Casadio, prin paginile *Jurnalului portughez*, traversează două itinerare, intim și politic, analizate cu o finețe a detaliului ce impresionează.

*Coincidentia oppositorum*, concept esențial pentru înțelegerea operei scriitorului, este ilustrată diferit de Adrian Boldișor, Monica Domnari și Sabina Fînar. Primul dintre cercetători, într-un studiu analitic și comparativ, demonstrează că Mircea Eliade și Thomas J. J. Altizer, deși preocupați de aceleași probleme (sensul religiei, istoria, sacrul și profanul etc), le înțeleg diferit. Cu argumente solide și o bibliografie foarte bine articulată, analistul susține

---

<sup>1</sup> „Eliade changed my life” reprezintă o sintagmă deja cunoscută, utilizată ca titlu de articol atât de către Sorin Alexandrescu, cât și de Mihaela Gligor.

<sup>2</sup> Traducerea românească a monografiei *Mircea Eliade: the Romanian roots, 1907-1945*, scrisă de Mac Linscott Ricketts, a fost publicată în 2004 de Criterion Publishing House, București.

ideea că teologia „morții lui Dumnezeu“, al cărei înfocat susținător este Altizer, operează, de fapt, cu aceleași concepte ca și istoricul religiilor, dar înțelese diferit și insuficient explicate/argumentate de către teolog, conform opiniei specialiștilor. Monica Domnari ne conduce anevoios printre concepte teoretice recurente în opera savantului, bine explicate și parțial aplicate în câteva nuvele fantastice, în vreme ce Sabina Finaru analizează și exemplifică într-o manieră inedită și captivantă conceptul de *coincidentia oppositorum*: *Șantier*, un metaroman, este o fuziune sugestivă a elementelor specifice romanului și jurnalului, ceea ce conferă textului o structură dublă, la fel ca *Maitreyi*, ce ascunde sub atmosfera exotica și iubirea dintre Allan și personajul eponim, un scenariu inițiativ, un *pattern* mitologic.

Trei dintre studii susțin, într-o manieră diferită, capacitatea recuperatorie. Marcello De Martino „recuperează“ un studiu nepublicat, scris de Eliade în drum spre Ceylon, în 1928, printr-o atentă comparare a romanului *Lumina ce se stinge* cu câteva lucrări filozofice ale lui J. Evola, dezvăluind astfel o parte dintre firele discursului despre gândirea magică<sup>3</sup>. Traian Penciu demonstrează forța recuperatorie a teatrului, reprezentând în literatura lui Mircea Eliade un fir al Ariadnei, ce salvează omul de „teroare istoriei“. Inițierea prin spectacol presupune, pentru autorul acestui text, deschidere către experiențe spirituale, recunoașterea mitului și recuperarea *acelui timp*, prin anamneză. Mircea Handoca, care a contribuit esențial la „recuperarea“ lui Mircea Eliade în spațiul românesc, chiar și atunci când vremurile erau ostile, pune în lumină modul în care scriitorul Eliade l-a „recuperat“ pe sculptorul Brâncuși. O „recuperare“ la puterea a doua, piesa de teatru *Coloana nesfârșită* este, deopotrivă, o punte între artiști români (Eliade scrie despre Brâncuși, dedicând textul unui alt artist, Ionel Perlea) și o dovadă a dialogului intercultural, al cărui promotor a fost nu doar savantul, ci și scriitorul Eliade.

Pentru a rămâne în atmosfera de „mozaic“ anunțată în Cuvânt înainte, coordonatoarea volumului, Cristina Scarlat, propune un studiu interdisciplinar care instituie un „dialog“ pertinent între literatură și alte limbaje ale artei: film, muzică, pictură. Corpusul de exemple selectate (telefilmul lui Viorel Sergovici, operele muzicale ale lui Șerban Nichifor, respectiv Luis de Pablo și tabloul lui Dimitrie Gavrillean) susțin într-o manieră exemplară ipoteza cercetării, reflectată și în titlu, – „*textul Domnișoara Christina de Mircea Eliade reprezintă un construct semiotic radial*” –, oferind lectorului plăcerea de a călători în lumea artei prin intermediul unor opere ce traspun în limbaje diferite, mai mult sau mai puțin fidel, elemente ale textului sursă.

Finalmente, afirmăm, alături de Mihaela Gligor: *Eliade changed my life* („Eliade mi-a schimbat viața”). Susține această afirmație, în studiul său, atât prin corespondența dintre Mircea Eliade și Henry Pernet, cât și prin mărturiile de suflet ale celor care au avut norocul de a-l cunoaște sau de a schimba scrisori cu el. Autoarea afirmă în articol un adevăr care motivează cu prisosință apariția acestui volum ce reprezintă o nouă „întâlnire“ cu omul, scriitorul și savantul Mircea Eliade: el a schimbat viața celor cărora destinul le-a oferit șansa de a-l întâlni personal, dar și celor care s-au întâlnit cu el în și prin opera lui.

**Mihaela TEODOR (CHIRIBĂU-ALBU),**  
Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare“, Suceava  
[mihaelachiribau@hotmail.com](mailto:mihaelachiribau@hotmail.com)

---

<sup>3</sup> Titlul manuscrisului pierdut este *Faptul magic*. Articolul a fost scris de Mircea Eliade pentru jurnalul *Duh și slovă*.

*Omul din Calidor*, de Petru Ursache, marchează în interpretarea operei lui Paul Goma un moment semnificativ și necesar și, considerăm, va influența pentru mult timp modul în care va fi apreciată, de acum încolo, opera disidentului român. Asta pentru că dă rămă miturile pe care și le-au luat drept alibi generații întregi de critici care au aplicat, așa cum constată Ursache, cea mai nedreaptă „grilă de receptare” a operei în ceea ce-l privește pe Paul Goma.

Cel puțin două elemente de forță se impun din capul locului, iar discutarea studiului își pierde din tărie dacă nu ține cont de aceste două direcții. În primul rând, universitarul ieșean atacă nișa de literatură nonfictională care a dus la excluderea lui Goma din viața literară ulterior perioadei postdecembriste, când Goma a fost tratat ca retrograd și antisemit, verdict dat în lipsa unei analize serioase. Apoi, documentația este dublată de experiența mărturisitoare, de acel *și eu am văzut asta*, sau chiar prin apelul la paremiologie, solidarizare necesară încă din momentul Chartei '77. La toate acestea se adaugă notorietatea autorului. Nu poate fi ignorat nici faptul că, în judecățile pe care le emite, Petru Ursache nu se sprijină pe niciun studiu anterior dedicat lui Goma, nici chiar în momentul în care pune sub lupă acuzații dintre cele mai grave, aspect ce demonstrează suflul nou al analizei.

Dacă partea a doua a titlului ales de Petru Ursache nu pune probleme în analiză, fertila metaforă *calidor* fiind preluată dintr-un roman gomian („Din calidor. O copilărie basarabeană”), calificativul *Omul*, în detrimentul scriitorului, disidentului sau al altor termeni folosiți excesiv, până la golirea de sens, impune, din capul locului, o redimensionare a perspectivei. Petru Ursache va căuta, așadar, de-a lungul celor peste 300 de pagini să găsească acea dimensiune lăuntrică ce asigură combustia unui creator fidel, în toate *anotimpurile* sale, aceluiași crez. Miza este, așadar, imensă, demonstrația impunându-se în direcția stabilirii devenirilor literare izvorâte dintr-un sistem de gândire unitar. Efortul lui Petru Ursache este de a da o altă interpretare acestei fidelități față de crez, pe care unii au văzut-o drept secare a forțelor creatoare sau, în cel mai fericit caz, oprire a lor la borna '77. Să amintim doar opinia lui Marius Miheț, exprimată în *Caiete critice*, nr. 4/ 2012, sincronizată temporal cu lansarea *Omului din Calidor*, acesta insistând asupra unei „încremeniri în proiectul disidenței” – sublinierea îi aparține autorului (*Literatura document și literatura nonficțiunii*, p. 29).

Studiul discutat aici arată că o astfel de analiză este păguboasă și ține de fărâmițarea operei, de analizarea separată a genurilor pe care Goma le încearcă de-a lungul vremii: roman – jurnal – eseistică. O astfel de operație este considerată drept o incompetență: „Există critici literari și istoriografi care se opresc, din daltonism, doar la una dintre dimensiunile personalității scriitorului Paul Goma” (p. 258). Interpretarea pe care o dă Ursache momentului '77, văzut drept o metaforă a tensiunilor auctoriale, ține de lupta pentru Drepturile omului de la care Goma nu a abdicat în niciun rând al operei sale. Dincolo de a-și fi epuizat substanța la acel prag temporal, care vestește pentru câțiva divorțul de roman, nedrept echivalat cu moartea autorului, momentul '77 este o constantă ușor de stabilit mai ales prin analiza scrisorilor gomiene, trimise către Kohout, Ceaușescu, Iliescu și, în cele din urmă, Băsescu. Aceasta este prima mișcare pe care Petru Ursache o face, convins că „lectura pentru lectură îl poate ține

departe de înțelesurile de fond pe cititorul neavizat ori rătăcit printre izvoare controversate. De aceea îi recomand să raporteze informația la contextul istoric, agitat și grav, care a provocat-o” (p. 143). Vorbim, așadar, de o apropiere față de text în baza teoriei cauză-efect, coroborată cu o pertinentă privire de ansamblu.

În lupta sa de restabilire a adevărului față de însemnătatea lui Goma, Petru Ursache nu va da uitării grelele încercări ale vieții literare la care acesta a fost supus prin topirea celor trei manuscrise: *Culoarea curcubeului* (Gabriel Liiceanu, Editura „Humanitas”), *Garda inversă* (Marin Sorescu) și *Patru dialoguri* (Marian Papahagi, Editura „Echinox”). Va conchide: „Să fim înțeleși: nimic nu poate fi socotit mai grav decât arderea cărților”, iar eventualele scuze, „economice sau ideologice”, „îl coboară definitiv” (p. 157) pe cel ce le invocă. În ceea ce-l privește pe Liiceanu, Petru Ursache îl va situa în descendența directă a lui Mihai Gafița, primul cenzor al lui Goma. Mediul cultural românesc poartă, deci, rănilor nevindecate ale vechiului regim: „Ar fi utilă o paralelă între munca artizanilor corpului omenesc din închisorile comuniste, fie cu palma – cu sudalma, fie cu nuiua – cu ciomagul și ceilalți slujbași și confrăți care și-au adjudecat ca zonă de lucru mic-puțina cultură română, sub avântul revoluției proletare și al luptei de clasă” (p. 205), conchide criticul.

Unul dintre miturile pe care Petru Ursache îl va demonta se referă la sintagma *un Soljenițin român*, care mult timp a fost cartea de vizită a lui Goma, în special în mediul literar european. În acest sens, criticul va realiza o paralelă între cei doi scriitori angajați în lupta împotriva comunismului, discutând despre *O zi din viața lui Ivan Denisovici* și *Gherla* și observând „simultaneitatea elaborării și chiar a publicării”. Ideea indusă că Goma ar fi fost influențat de scriitorul rus este deci nefondată, deși măgulitoare inclusiv pentru Goma însuși, fiind însă o prejudecată cu fundament politic deoarece, „în termeni hegelieni, conținutul a luat-o înaintea forme” (p. 163).

De departe cea mai curajoasă opinie, exprimată într-o lume obsedată de *political correctness*, este lansată în legătură cu presupusul antisemitism al lui Goma – aspect față de care cei mai mulți evită să se pronunțe. Petru Ursache dovedește curaj în folosirea termenilor, dar și responsabilitate a ideilor, atitudini de care sunt capabili numai exegeții de o profundă deontologie profesională. Într-o epocă ce recomandă ocolirea fricoasă a tabuurilor, Ursache nu se va sfii să aprecieze, în legătură cu mareșalul Antonescu, „profilul moral și uman al celui mai important erou al vremii” (p. 245), dar nici să repete întrebările lui Goma referitoare la rolul evreilor în marea dramă a Basarabiei postbelice. Nu este vorba, nici la Paul Goma, nici la Petru Ursache, de motivarea unor crime abominabile și condamnabile, ci doar de o privire în cerc la care obligă adevărul istoric. De aceea, scrieri precum *Săptămâna roșie* au o valoare inestimabilă: „Paul Goma reprezintă documentul de care istoria noastră recentă are nevoie pentru legitimare” (p. 207).

Petru Ursache propune, așadar, o carte ce anulează multă cerneală veninoasă care a curs împotriva lui Goma, redând culturii perioadei pilonul ei cel mai de încredere. Privindu-și chipul în această oglindă, disidentul român, de obicei extrem de rezervat față de laudele care i se aduc, nota în *Jurnal 2012*, în însemnarea din 14 ianuarie: „Am terminat *Omul din Calidor*. Sunt fericit. Și istovit./ Nu-i voi putea scrie lui Petru Ursache cuvintele potrivite” (p. 63).

**Ramona JITARU,**  
Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare” Suceava  
[ramonajitaru@yahoo.com](mailto:ramonajitaru@yahoo.com)

---

**Michael P. Winship, *Godly Republicanism: Puritans Pilgrims and a City on a Hill*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts/London, England, 2012, 339p.**

---

The study of early America has been a constant preoccupation for scholars on both sides of the Atlantic. In an academic area where the works of Perry Miller or Sacvan Bercovitch are still regarded as some of the most important and influential, Michael P. Winship's *Godly Republicanism: Puritans, Pilgrims, and a City on a Hill* provides a very valuable and highly acclaimed contribution to the ever-intriguing story of Puritanism.

This impressive study consists of ten chapters, covering the whole period from the birth of Elizabethan Republicanism to the apocalypse of the puritan ideas of Godly Republicanism. The analysis itself starts from a question formulated by Joshua Scottow in 1691, when the early days of triumphant Puritanism in New England succumbed to the new wave of immigrants in search of economic success: 'What is become of the primitive Zeal, Piety, and Holy Heat found in the hearts of our parents? Their Soul lively Thirstings and Pantings after God and his Ways had metamorphosed into Land and Trade breathings.'(p.1) The author of this book carefully investigates historical and literary sources in order to provide an in-depth story of Puritanism, one of the most accomplished so far, which, in its turn, provides an extensive answer to the question mentioned above. As Winship himself explains, it is 'a study in applied sacred political theory, it is about Massachusetts's republican civil and church governments created in the early 1630s and about the fear of tyrannical power that in large measure shaped them.'(p.4)

The book's first four chapters take the reader back to the British Isles and Holland and look into the doctrines behind the English Presbyterian churches, in order to define the complex contexts which eventually led to Massachusetts congregationalism. The first chapter, *The Rise and Bleeding Fall of Elizabethan Godly Republicanism*, explains the ways in which the radical Puritans of the Elizabethan period believed that their republican churches would generate a wave of national reformation and cooperate with the Protestant monarchy. Their ideals fell apart by the 1580s, when the bishops, whom they identified with a hideous antichristian tyranny, managed to infiltrate at various levels of the state. 'It was the bishops of England, arrogant, corrupt, greedy, and grasping, claimed the Presbyterians, who were the greatest obstacle to effective preaching in England. It was the bishops who, for money, licensed the inadequate preachers and gave them multiple livings. It was they who were the greatest obstacle to discipline, since they appointed the corrupt officials who ran the disciplinary machinery of the church and exploited that machinery for their own financial gain. Moreover, it was the bishops who thwarted the further reform of the church by punishing puritan ministers and whispering poison in good Queen Elizabeth's ears that prevented her from realizing that the Presbyterians were her most loyal subjects.'(p. 20-21) As the investigation continues, Winship explains that "'Puritanism" emerged as a term of insult to describe the reformers who were busy labeling their opponents "popish."'(p. 29) The beginning of the second chapter, *The Separatist Beginnings of Elizabethan Congregationalism and Presbyterianism*, focuses on the role played by Henry Barrow in the denunciation of

bishops and his support for a Reformation based on introverted and self-isolating groups which, according to Murray Toulmie, cited by Winship, 'were doomed to a sterile isolation among the protestant radicals in England.' (p.39) In the author's view, Barrow's perspective was important in the formation of Massachusetts because 'he took what had been a separatist drift away from Presbyterianism toward what was to be called congregationalism, clarified it, and defined it sharply as a deliberately anti-presbyterian church polity.[...] In that Elizabethan back and forth between separatists and Presbyterians lay the beginnings of Massachusetts's own congregationalism, as well as some of its earliest religious conflicts.' (p.41) As a precursor to New World Puritanism, Barrow did not consider that Christian liberty was a privilege; on the contrary, it was a serious and frightening responsibility, threatening those who failed at it. Chapter 3, *James I and a New Crisis of Antichristian Power*, analyzes the early seventeenth century Puritans' rude awakening under James I, whose hostility led to the appearance of separatist groups that fled the country. 'After James was crowned James I of England in 1603, radical Puritans discovered his profound hostility to them. As a result of that hostility they found themselves plunged into a new crisis of illegitimate, antichristian power, this time with the monarch implicated in ways that Elizabeth adroitly avoided.'(p.68) James I showed a rapid appreciation of the Church of England, a rather unexpected move, particularly for the Puritans who were expecting a Scottish infusion. But that did not happen and his consistent effort to remodel the Scottish Church based on the English one led to rebellion in 1639. Under these new circumstances, the Puritans were suddenly left without political goal; moreover, for radical Puritans, the future promised nothing but 'escalating conflict against Antichrist and his power, with the odds increasingly against them.' (p.70) A very interesting segment of this chapter is dedicated to Henry Jacob, whom Winship calls a 'shadowy figure' engaged in a grim religious debate on the issue of Christ's physical descent into hell after his death and the ways in which Christ gave his power to each individual church. This led him to the conclusion that 'covenanting was an act of free, mutual consent among the people.' (p.83). The fourth chapter, *The Triumphs and Trials of the Lord's Free People*, focuses on the small group of separatists which first chose to leave England and, while in Holland, decided to further develop their views on the other side of the Atlantic. The analysis follows the Leiden separatists and the connections they established with radical Puritans. Winship underlines the fact that the two groups could not decide whether these connections could be taken as the sign of a new fusion or as the premises of a conflict between them. The separatists concluded that 'the reformation they thought to create with puritans was not possible in the Old World, and they began to consider Virginia as a destination.'(p.110) Winship argues that the uncertainty mentioned above 'would cross the Atlantic and play a major role in the creation of Massachusetts's city on a hill.'(p.110)

The second part of the book focuses on the development of Puritanism on the other side of the Atlantic. Chapter 5, *Christian Liberty at Plymouth Plantation*, analyzes one of the most controversial issues related to American Puritanism, that of a community of immigrants in search of religious liberty. The question here is how exactly this group of Leiden separatists understood the concept of religious liberty. Winship writes: 'That the Pilgrims came to America seeking religious liberty is common American knowledge. Not so well known is the kind of religious liberty they were seeking, a tightly defined, not particularly tolerant, specifically Christian liberty,

as befitted the lofty ambitions of a group of seventeenth-century Protestant zealots.’ (p.111) An interesting story included in this chapter reveals the way in which a combination of two factors helped them cross the Atlantic: on the one hand, in need of a permission for settlement from the Virginia Company, they adapted their radical views and adopted a misleading tone, which would make them pass as regular Puritans; on the other hand, fortunately for them, the company itself was in a desperate search for settlers and agreed to take them to the New World. Chapters 6 and 7 (*Separatism at Salem?* and *The Appeal of Massachusetts Congregationalism*, respectively) analyze how ‘the founders of the first Massachusetts church, at Salem, learned not only congregationalism from the Pilgrims but separatism; and how the separatism of the Salem church both shaped and catalyzed Massachusetts congregationalism.’ (p.10) Winship’s approach starts from one of Perry Miller’s statements, according to which the church of Salem (1629) owed nothing to the colony of Plymouth. He contradicts the famous historian of early America, concluding that ‘despite Miller’s impact on later historians, his reasons for dismissing Plymouth were consistently flimsy. There is a great deal of evidence indicating that Plymouth, far from being pathetically unimportant, was the exemplar and catalyst for Massachusetts’s congregationalism, with major short- and long-term consequences on both sides of the Atlantic.’ (p.135) Chapter 8, *Designing a Godly Republic*, looks at the ways in which godly ecclesiastical republicanism became godly civic republicanism. Winship develops his analysis around the settlers’ fear of unchecked power, which they inherited from the Old World. He argues that ‘the decision to create a new body of freemen and dilute the power of the assistants had nothing overtly religious about it, but it was scarcely coincidental that the settlers had just instituted a form of church government that drastically restrained and carefully monitored the power of church rulers and that the defenders of congregationalism insisted that all governments had to be founded on the consent of the governed.’ (p. 193) Chapter 9, suggestively titled *A City on a Hill*, describes in detail the controversies that challenged the stability of the colony’s unity between church and state, the most notable case being that of Roger Williams. Winship explains how the colony’s overcoming of such situations led to the general belief that their form of republicanism was mandated by divinity. This conclusion opened the way for revolutionary interpretations of the New Testament, according to which the churches of Massachusetts would be a point of reference for the rest of the world, fulfilling God’s plan. ‘Although the original emigrants did not leave England with much of a blueprint for either their republican churches or state, by the mid-1630s the tiny republican theocracy of Massachusetts offered, at least in the minds of some of its most zealous and influential partisans, a pattern for all the world to emulate. It had become a shining city on a hill, for better or worse.’ (p.232)

In the closing chapter, *Godly Republicanism’s Apocalypse*, Michael Winship takes the reader back to England, in an attempt to identify the influence of the New England beliefs on mid-seventeenth-century changes in English religious and political realities. Such influences, predicted by John Cotton in the 1640s, ‘ensured,’ according to Winship, ‘a tumultuous reaction to the British imperial innovations of the 1760s and 1770s that finally provoked the American Revolution.’ (p.248-249)

Michael P. Winship’s book offers a new and elaborate perspective upon the much debated story and role of Puritanism, with a strong emphasis on the *godly*

*republicanism* it brought along. The theses, challenges, and conclusions are thoroughly formulated and deeply investigated, based on a sharp and careful distinction between the different varieties of Puritanism (the author convincingly identifies 8 categories of Puritans). Meticulously researched, this authoritative retelling of the Puritans' endeavor represents an outstanding and provocative contribution to the field of colonial America and opens new and stimulating directions of study for scholars and students interested in this fascinating unit of British and American history.

**Codruț ȘERBAN,**  
Universitatea „Ștefan cel Mare”, Suceava  
**[codrutserban@litere.usv.ro](mailto:codrutserban@litere.usv.ro)**

## **IV. NOTE DESPRE AUTORI**



## NOTE DESPRE AUTORI

**Ana-Cristina CHIRILĂ (ȘERBAN)** is PhD Candidate at The Stefan cel Mare University of Suceava, Faculty of Letters and Communication Sciences. Areas of research: Translation Studies, Tennessee Williams, and the Dramatic Discourse.

**[anacristinaserban@yahoo.fr](mailto:anacristinaserban@yahoo.fr)**

**Elena BĂICEANU (PÂRLOG)** is PhD Candidate at The Stefan cel Mare University of Suceava, Faculty of Letters and Communication Sciences. Areas of research: Mythology, Modernism, George Balaita, Literary criticism.

**[parlogelena@yahoo.com](mailto:parlogelena@yahoo.com)**

**Nicoleta CIACU** Assistant professor, PhD Candidate of Communication Sciences at the Constantin Brâncoveanu University of Brăila, Faculty of Administrative and Communication Sciences. She is teaching courses in Public Relations, Promoting through Advertising, Techniques in creating image, Multimedia, Elaboration of PR products with audio-visual techniques, Sectorial Public Relations, Editing techniques in Public Relations and Journalism. She is a PhD Candidate in Political Sciences at State University of Moldova, Chișinău, and her PhD thesis will analyze phenomenon of spectacularization of political information in contemporary media. Areas of research: social media, political communication, media communication, public relations.

**[nicoleta\\_ciacu@yahoo.com](mailto:nicoleta_ciacu@yahoo.com)**

**Onoriu COLĂCEL** PhD., is a lecturer at the Faculty of Letters and Communication Sciences of “Stefan cel Mare” University of Suceava, the Department of Foreign Languages. His fields of interest are Contemporary English Literature, Literary Cultural Studies, Literary Criticism and Theories of Reading. He currently lectures on Contemporary English Literature and Cultural Studies.

**[onoriucolacel@yahoo.com](mailto:onoriucolacel@yahoo.com)**

**Silvia COMANAC (MUNTEANU)** is PhD Candidate at The Stefan cel Mare University of Suceava, Faculty of Letters and Communication Sciences. Areas of research: Psychoanalysis, Postmodernism, Mircea Cartarescu, Literary criticism.

**[yonk\\_1174@yahoo.com](mailto:yonk_1174@yahoo.com)**

**Ioana-Crina COROI** is assistant lecturer at the Faculty of Letters and Communication Sciences at “Ștefan cel Mare” University of Suceava, Romania. Her fields of research

are: French linguistic, interculturality, semiotics and discourse analysis. She obtained her PhD in Philology at the Romanian Academy. She published three books on discourse analysis, various papers in collective volumes and several translations from French into Romanian related to linguistic and cultural anthropology.

**crinacoroi@yahoo.fr**

**Om Prakash DWIVEDI** is Assistant Professor of English at the University of Taiz. His research papers have appeared in reputed international journals as *Transnational Literature* (Australia), *Journal of Asia Pacific Studies* (USA), *DOST* (University of Turin, Italy). His recent publications include *Literature of the Indian Diaspora* (Pencraft International, 2011), *Changing Worlds/Changing Nations: The Concept of Nation in the Transnational Era* (Rawat Books, 2012) and *The Other India: Narratives of Terror, Communalism and Violence* (Cambridge Scholars Publishing). His forthcoming book is titled *Essays on Postcolonialism in the Age of Globalization* (2013).

**om\_dwivedi2003@yahoo.com**

**Sabina FÎNARU** is Associate Professor at the Department of Romanian Language and Literature, Faculty of Letters and Communication Sciences, where she has been teaching courses on the History of Romanian Literature, Trends in European Criticism, and Latin. Between 2000 and 2002, she taught Romanian Language and Civilization at Delhi University (India). She is Director of the Inter Litteras Research Center – Ștefan cel Mare University of Suceava and author of the books *Eliade prin Eliade [Eliade through Eliade]* (3rd edition, Bucharest, 2006), *Literatura română de la început* (Suceava, 2005), *Curs de limba latină cu elemente de literatură, cultură și civilizație* (Suceava, 2004), as well as of numerous articles and studies.

**sab59ina@yahoo.com**

**Ramona JITARU** is Ph.D. student at „Ștefan cel Mare” University in Suceava and is preparing a research paper on Paul Goma’s diary, coordinated by Professor Vasile Spiridon.

**ramonajitaru@yahoo.com**

**Cornelia MACSINIUC**, Ph.D. is Associate Professor of literature at the Faculty of Letters and Communication Sciences at the University of Suceava. She is the author of, among other books, *Towards a Poetics of Reading. Poststructuralist Perspectives* (Institutul European, 2002). Her research interests and publication areas include English literature, cultural studies, literary and cultural theory, and utopian studies.

**corneliamacsiniuc@yahoo.com**

**Petru Ioan MARIAN** graduated from the Faculty of Letters of „Ștefan cel Mare” University, Suceava in 2002. He graduated from the master program of studies ‘Literary Hermeneutics’ of „Alexandru Ioan Cuza”, Iași (2002-2004), followed by that of “P. R. and Communication” of Ștefan cel Mare” University, Suceava (2005-2006). Between 2007-2010, he attended the PhD. ‘Science of Communication’ program of studies of ‘București University,’ Faculty of ‘Journalism and Communication Sciences’. Since 2007 he teaches university classes for the Faculty of Letters and Communication Sciences of „Ștefan cel Mare” University, Suceava. He is part of the research network „Diversité des expressions

culturelles et artistiques” of ‘AUF’and, since 2011, he is member of ‘The Romanian Association of Press History’ (ARIP). He is working in the fields of mass-media research, communication sciences, and cultural studies.

[marian\\_petru@yahoo.com](mailto:marian_petru@yahoo.com)

**Ovidiu MORAR**, Ph.D., is Associate Professor of literature at the Faculty of Letters and Communication Sciences at the University of Suceava. He is the author of the books *Avatarurile suprarealismului romanesc* (Univers, 2003), *Avangardismul romanesc* (Ideea Europeană, 2005), and *Scritori evrei din România* (Ideea Europeană, 2006). His research interests and publication areas include Romanian literature, literary theory, and cultural studies.

[ovidiumorar10@yahoo.com](mailto:ovidiumorar10@yahoo.com)

**Nicoleta-Loredana MOROȘAN** is a lecturer at the Faculty of Letters and Communication Sciences at “Ștefan cel Mare” University of Suceava, Romania. She holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in French and English studies from University of Iași, Romania, and a *diplôme d’études supérieures spécialisées* delivered by the University of Poitiers, France. Her fields of research are: plurilingualism, integrated didactics, interculturality and discourse analysis. She obtained her PhD in Philology with the thesis *Structures théâtrales et rhétoriques raciniennes*. She published a book on discourse analysis (*Perspectives discursives: concepts et corpus* in collab., 2007), various papers in collective volumes and several translations from French into Romanian related to linguistics and cultural anthropology, such as: *Cavalerii și cavaleria pe înțelesul nepoșilor mei* by Alain Demurger (2010), *Lingvistică pentru textul literar* (in collab.) by Dominique Maingueneau (2008), *Evul Mediu pe înțelesul copiilor* by Jacques le Goff (2008), *Discursul literar: Paratopie și scenă de emunțare* by Dominique Maingueneau (2007).

[nicomorosan@yahoo.fr](mailto:nicomorosan@yahoo.fr)

**Daniela PETROȘEL** (b. 1977) is a lecturer at the Department of Romanian Language and Literature, Faculty of Letters and Communication Sciences, “Ștefan cel Mare” University of Suceava, Romania. Her fields of interest are Romanian Literature, Literary Criticism, and Theories of Reading. She teaches courses on History of Romanian Literature, Europeanism and Ethnocentrism, The Theory of Parody etc. She obtained the Ph. D. in Philology, and published her thesis in 2006 under the title *Rhetorics of Parody*. She edited two volumes with different topics on Romanian literature and criticism, and published various papers in collective volumes. Her recent research focuses on the relations between Literature and Technology with an emphasis on Avant-Garde, science-fiction and cyberfiction.

[daniela.petrosel@gmail.com](mailto:daniela.petrosel@gmail.com)

**Dan-Nicolae POPESCU**, PhD, is Lecturer of English at the “Ștefan cel Mare” University of Suceava where he has been teaching since 1998. His areas of interest are the history of English literature (mainly Mediaeval & Renaissance), British culture and civilization, literary translations, and the literature of the American South.

[dan\\_ppsc@yahoo.com](mailto:dan_ppsc@yahoo.com)

**David RAMPTON**, Professor of English at the University of Ottawa, is the author of *Vladimir Nabokov: A Critical Study of the Novels* (1984), *Vladimir Nabokov* (1993), *William Faulkner: A Literary Life* (2007), and *Vladimir Nabokov: A Literary Life* (2012). He has published numerous articles on 19th and 20th-century American Literature, and co-edited four anthologies of essays and short fiction.

**[David.Rampton@uottawa.ca](mailto:David.Rampton@uottawa.ca)**

**Andra-Lucia RUS** is a PhD candidate at the Faculty of Letters, Babeş-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, with a thesis on “The City in the Novels of the Norwegian Writer Lars Saabye Christensen”. She holds a Bachelor degree in English and Norwegian language and literature and a Master degree in British Cultural Studies,

**[rus\\_andra@yahoo.com](mailto:rus_andra@yahoo.com)**

**Codruț ȘERBAN** is a lecturer at the University of Suceava and he holds a Ph.D. in American literature (*Counting Winters: Dimensions of Time in Native American Traditional Writings*). His research interests centre on American literary and cultural studies, with special emphasis on Colonial America, Native American studies, and the American West.

**[codrut\\_serban@yahoo.com](mailto:codrut_serban@yahoo.com)**

**Tănase TASENȚE** is Assistant professor, PhD Candidate of Communication Sciences at the Andrei Șaguna University of Constanța, Faculty of Communicational and Political Sciences. He is teaching courses in Political Journalism, Online Journalism, Multimedia, Introduction in the Internet Technology, Public Relations and Advertising, Trends and orientations of foreign press. He is a PhD candidate in Communication Sciences at University of Bucharest and his PhD thesis will analyze public perceptions of political communication through new media channels. Areas of research: social media, political communication, media communication, public relations.

**[tashy@tashy.ro](mailto:tashy@tashy.ro)**

**Mihaela TEODOR (CHIRIBĂU-ALBU)** is a Ph.D. student at the The Stefan cel Mare University of Suceava, Faculty of Letters and Communication Sciences; Ph.D. Domain: Philology (Literature), Ph.D. supervisor: Professor Vasile Spiridon, Ph.D. Her Ph.D. thesis is entitled *Mircea Eliade. Labyrinthine Itineraries*.

**[mihaelachiribau@hotmail.com](mailto:mihaelachiribau@hotmail.com)**

**Florica TEODORIUC** is a teacher in the special educational system – a school for the deaf; she is a PhD student at the “Stefan cel Mare” University of Suceava, Faculty of Letters and Communication Sciences. Her thesis, under the scientific supervision of Professor Mircea A. Diaconu, explores the political post-communist novel area.

**[florinateodoriuc@yahoo.com](mailto:florinateodoriuc@yahoo.com)**

**Luminița-Elena TURCU** is Associate Professor at the University of Suceava. She completed her Ph.D. thesis in 2000 and published it as *The Spell of Darkness: The Rise and Evolution of the English Gothic Novel* (2002). Her research interests centre on literary, cultural, and translation studies, on literatures in English, with special emphasis on Gothic fiction.

**[l\\_turcu@yahoo.com](mailto:l_turcu@yahoo.com)**

**Adriana ȚEPEȘ (RUSU)** is a Ph.D. student at the The Stefan cel Mare University of Suceava, Faculty of Letters and Sciences of Communication; Ph.D. Domain: Philology (Literature), Ph.D. supervisor: Professor Vasile Spiridon, PhD. Her Ph.D. thesis is on Eugen Negrici.

**[adriana\\_rusu\\_2@yahoo.com](mailto:adriana_rusu_2@yahoo.com)**

**Mircea ȚUGLEA**, born 1974, freelance writer and translator, PhD at University of Bucharest (*Paul Celan and Romanian Avantgarde*, 2007), currently working at InPrint Publishing House and as researcher for Romanian Academy (2011-2013).

**[mircea.tuglea@gmail.com](mailto:mircea.tuglea@gmail.com)**